

## THERMO-ELECTRO CHEMICAL SURFACE ENERGY CONVERSION INFLUENCE ON AIRPOLLUTION

Vetreș Ion<sup>1</sup>, Ioana Ionel<sup>1</sup> Milan Pavlović<sup>2</sup>,Mirjana M. Ševaljević<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup>Faculty of Mechanics, University *POLITEHNICA* Timisoara \_\_monica@hotmail.com' <sup>2, 3</sup>Tehnical faculty "Mihajlo Pupin" Zrenjanin, University in Novi *Sad*milanpavlovic50@gmail.com, sevaljevic.mirjana@gmail.com

#### ABSTRACT

Water vapor condensation and crystallization active centers on gas exposed solid dust particle enable thermoelectrochemical energy catalytic storage and conversion. Thermodynamic diagnostic method is developed for determination catalyst working function and thermo-electro chemical surface reaction kinetic. The diagnostic results of catalyst working function and hydrogen evolution rate constants justify the functional dependence obtained on the basis of monitoring data in six day period, in different seasons and location in Romania and Serbia. These indicate to relative electric permittivity dominant influence, depending on Helmholtz surface and Helmholtz outer and diffusion planes in catalyst electric double layer.

#### Indexing terms/Key words

Air pollutant monitoring, Pollutant diffusion/migration current, Thermo-electrochemical conversion kinetic, Catalyst work function, Double electric layer .

#### Academic Discipline And Sub-Discipline

Chemical Thermodynamic in Ecology

#### Subject Classiffication

**Ecological Studies** 

#### Type (Method/Approach)

Theoretical Approach to Monitoring Data

## **Council for Innovative Research**

Peer Review Research Publishing System

#### JOURNAL OF ADVANCES IN BIOTECHNOLOGY

Vol 3, No 3.

www.cirjbt.org , jbteditor@gmail.com



#### INTRODUCTION

Aerosols play important role in photo-electrochemical energy conversion and in altering cloud properties. The troposphere (80 % mass of atmosphere is mostly heated by transfer of energy from the surface, so on average the lowest part of the troposphere is warmest and temperature decreases with altitude, 6 °C/km [1]. This promotes vertical mixing in troposphere. Some gases in the atmosphere which do not interact with sunlight in the visible spectrum absorb and emit infrared radiation  $CO_2$  and  $H_2O$  cause green house effect, and can cause temperature inversion analogous to endoreversible solar driven sorption refrigeration system and relaxation plasma-chemical reactions [2, 3, 4].

Chemisoptions water layer on mineral dust produce thermoelectric current intensity change,  $i_0=C_i\eta_H/d\tau_p$  measured on the basis of alternating excitation temperature signals  $T_{e,H+}=T_0+T_asin(\omega\tau)$  [5]. On inter-metallic surfaces of the deposited alloys and gas bubbles equal anode and cathode chemical exchange electron currents induce the stationary corrosion potentials [6]:

-on Fe with the small hydrogen over-potential, 0,08V and oxygen over-potential 0,25V

-on the inter-metals alloying and successive doping with the atoms which increase the hydrogen over-potential (Cu, Cd at

Where electron temperature mostly coincides with translational temperatures of heavy particles, then chemical relaxation processes control electron energy according to conservation equation:  $d/dx(3/2N_eRT_e)=Q_{exc}-\Sigma Q_{rel}$  depending on pressure and temperature, tested in literature [8].

The objective of this work is to obtain a clear view of the influence of thermo-electrochemical energy conversion in irreversible heterogeneous processes and storage in reversible homogeneous processes, on air pollution. The understanding of connection between thermo-electrochemical energy conversion in mechanical work and storage as chemical free energy confirm the reasons:

- working functions can be measured as free energy change of mono-atomic layers in alloy inter-metallic surface of one metal upon the other, as catalyst Volta potential  $E_{i(ci=0)} = E_{i}^{\theta}$  in end titration point

- neutrals migration current can be diagnostified as equal diffusion mass transport rate constant,  $k_m = k_d$  [9,10].

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

Diagnostics of air-pollution kinetic and catalyst workig functionjustify the functional dependences obtained on the basis of monitoring data in six day period, in different seasons and location in Romania and Serbia.

Air quality is performed by Polytechnic University of Timisoara mobile laboratory and LIDAR system. The equipments are part of the air quality monitoring mobile laboratory and procedures used are in full compliance with ISO/CEN 17025:2005 standard for quality assurance in analytic laboratories. The laboratory is the property of "Politehnica" University of Timisoara and more details and information's (including certifications) can be found on <u>www.mediu.ro</u> Linde and DKD (Deutsche Kalibrier dienst) calibrations gases (NO, SO<sub>2</sub>, CO, CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>) were used.

Ečka,	3,93	0,70	48,72	34,01	10,10		0,44	4,37	392	7,2	26,815
Timis. 1	4,12	0,70	18,γ3	38,35	5,0γ	46,61	0,29	4,γ1	39γ,7	10,4	γ <b>7</b> ,948
Elemir	4,06	1,01	31,55	28,86	3,55	43,75	0,3	4,35	366,6	11,3	17,738
Banats.	4,27	0,28	31,25	16,46	2,89	12,86	0,3	4,57	386	4,3	12,14
Pančevo	4,40	0,43	41,49	20,00	7,34	40,4	0,77	4,75	376	5,37	14,62
Vršac	4,60	2,11	35,21	76,63	3,57	47,04	0,44	5,04	380	28,54	48,09
Turn.S.	4,35	2,72	22,76	55,53	11,40	46,05	0,405	4,75	373	22	33,55
Resita	5,08	1,65	42,56	58,21	7,63	71,69	0,56	4,96	392	21	37,91
Timis. 2	4,95	1,16	15,02	49,32	20,87	51,45	0,84	5,79	396,7	21,8	27,535
C aver	4,40	1,18	32,36	41,35	8,12	49,57	0,48	4,78	384,33	14,66	27,37
±∆c <sub>max</sub>	±0,6	±1,5	±16	±35	±12,7	±22	±0,36	±1	±12,7	±13,8	±21

Table 1.The average	measured pollutant contents in six day period, for each examined location (published on
	ICCEM 07, Wien 2013)

Diffusion transport rate constants of neutral is determined on the basis of the monitoring results of pollutant daily mass concentrations  $\gamma$  (CH<sub>4</sub>, CO, SO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, PM1O, NMHC, CO<sub>2</sub>, NO and NO<sub>2</sub>) measured in sixth day period., on the basis of Lingane function





## $\ln \gamma_p = \pm k \cdot \tau - \ln \gamma_{p(\tau 0)} (1)$

# Table2.The pollutants diffusion rate constants ±k, day <sup>-1</sup> obtained as the slope linear function on the basis of measured pollutant content and time which fit Eq.(1) in sixth day period, on examined location and season (published on ICCEM 07, Wien 2013)

	k <sub>dCH4</sub>	k <sub>dCO</sub>	k <sub>dSO2</sub>	k <sub>dO3</sub>	<b>k</b> <sub>dNOx</sub>	k <sub>d РМ10</sub>	K <sub>d NMHC</sub>	K <sub>d THC</sub>	k <sub>d CO2</sub>	<b>k</b> <sub>dNO</sub>	k <sub>d NO2</sub>
	day⁻¹	day⁻¹	day <sup>-1</sup>	day <sup>-1</sup>	day <sup>-1</sup>	day⁻¹	day <sup>-1</sup>	day <sup>-1</sup>	day⁻¹	day <sup>-1</sup>	day⁻¹
Ečka, April/Maj	0,0139	0,09	0,008	0,1917	0,1		-0,054	-0,0149	-0,0326	0,2853	0,0362
»	- 0,0159	-0,02	-0,009	-0,2755	0,031		-0,0106	-0,0106	-0,0187	-0,0673	-0,0267
Timisoara, June/July	0,027	-0,14	0,074	-0,0931	-0,0421	-0,23	0,1741	0,0306	-0,0187	0,0863	0,0451
**	- 0,0287	0,31	-0,057	0,1298	0,0431	0,064	-0,0923	-0,0753	-0,0139	-0,102	-0,0183
Elemir, August	-0,02	0,379	-0,039	0, <mark>1</mark> 573	0,138	0,24	0,1458	0,034	-0,01 <mark>39</mark>	0,1877	0,1954
"	0,0295	-0,10	0,114	-0,5313	-0,214	-0,15	-0,2226	-0,0645	-0,0619	-0,4652	-0,2525
Banatskov.s August	-0,034	0,1744	-0,052	0,0969	0,0189	0,15	0,3466	0,0568	0,0454	0,2728	0,0687
"	0,019	0,1385	-0,120	-0,1418	0,0523	-0,15	-0,336	-0,0383	-0,0465	-0,2082	
Pančevo, August	0,0663	0,1299	0,146	-0,0931	0,413	-0,1	0,3185	0,099	<mark>0,0458</mark>	0,3951	0,3408
"	- 0,0613	- 0,1222	-0,145	0,0672	-0, <mark>28</mark> 97	0,034	-0,3697	-0,0132		-0,3401	-0,2343
Vršac, September	- 0,0635	-0,03	-0,232	0,0311	-0,138	-0,15	0,3185	0,0862		-0,3161	-0,526
"	0,0998	0,087	0,12	-0,2453	-0,263	<mark>-0</mark> ,03	-0,3697	- <mark>0,0672</mark>	-		
Turn Sever. November	0,0213	0,314	0,211	-0,18	-0,4602	-0,18	0,1468	0,0368			0,1203
"	0,0343	0,022	-0,454	-0,25	0,1966	0,104	-0,1942	-0,0201	0,1163		-0,4322
Resita,Nov.	0,0294	0,2172	-0,152	0,1525	-0,2172	-0,17	0,1406	0,0373		0,4676	0,1683
"	-0,091	-0,12	-0,116	-0,0769	0,0539	0,165	-0,1942	-0,0632		-0,3018	-0,185
Timisoara, November	_ 0,0091	_ 0,1213	-0,052	0,0546	-0,0652	-0,11	0,1404	0,0373	0,0033	0,0708	0,3344
"	0,01	0,0606	0,049	-0,0753	0,0768	0,079	-0,1673	-0,0326	-0,0059	-0,0635	-0,1063





Diagnostics free energy,  $\Delta G_p$  of pollutant is carried out on the basis of equilibrium constant, calculated as the ratio between polutant increasing diffusion rate constant ( $k_d=k_1$ ) and desreasing content diffusion rate constants, ( $-k_d=k_1$ ) measured in the same period sixth day monitoring period:

$$\Delta G_p = -RT \ln \frac{k_1}{k_{-1}} \, \text{(2)}$$

The obtained linear functional dependence with average pollutant content on the examined location and season enable:

- the determination of gaseous pollutant content,  $\Delta \gamma_{pol_{(\Lambda G p=0)}}$  with minimal free energy state (k<sub>1</sub>=k<sub>-1</sub>):

$$\Delta G^{\theta}{}_{p}{}_{,k} = tg \cdot \gamma_{pol} + \Delta G^{\theta}{}_{0} (3)$$
$$\Delta \gamma_{pol}{}_{(\Delta Gp=0)} = -\frac{\Delta G^{\theta}{}_{0}}{tg} \qquad (4)$$

-the determination of catalyst working function in the gaseous pollutant mono-atomic layer end titration point in alloy intermetallic surface:

$$\Delta G^{\theta}{}_{c}(\gamma_{p}=0) = FE^{\theta}{}_{0} = (F\varphi)_{c} (5)$$

Adsorbed dipole activate photo chemical relaxation in entropy driven relaxation processes in inner Helmholtz plane of electric double layer [11] at equal surface temperature where:

- Te temperature of gas electron, defined according to molecular kinetic theory
- and T<sub>H+</sub> temperature of gas hydrogen ion, defined according to molecular kinetic theory

- at equal exchanged heat,  $-q_{res}/T_e=q_{em}/T_{H+}$ , components emmit plasmon in a small time interval and volume [12] at equal electron-hydrogen ion density and electron-hydrogen temperature which increase coefficient of ambipolar diffusion diffusion,  $D_{amb}=D_{H+}(1+T_e/T_{H+})$  and diffusion rate constant,  $k_{amb}=2k_d$ . Kinetic energy equalisation,  $T_e=T_{H+}$  enable

electron and hydrogen velocity ratio, i.e its rate constants ratio,  $\frac{k_{H+}}{k_e}$  controlled with relatio:

$$\frac{k_{H+}}{k_e} = \sqrt{\frac{m_e}{m_H}}$$
(6)

Isobaric surface energy thermo-electrochemical conversion enable oxygen-hydrogen electrochemical equilibrium achieved at equal hydrogen pressure with oxygen atmospheric partial pressure [13]. Then migration current controlselectric power

for hydrogen evolution  $k_{H2}F\eta_{H2}$  equal gaseous pollutant diffusion power controlled with its kinetic energy,  $k_{d,p}\frac{3N}{2F}$ .

$$k_{H2}F\eta_{H2} = k_{d,p}\frac{3RT}{2F}$$
 (7)

where:

$$\eta_{H2,th} = \frac{k_{d,p} 3RT}{k_{H2} 2F}$$
(8)

Hydrogen evolution over-potential in chemisorptions waterlayer onmineral dustproduce thermoelectric current intensity change:  $i_0 = C_f \eta_H / d\tau_p$  in electric double layer [5]. Then active surface capacity,  $C_{catalyst} = \varepsilon_r \frac{F}{E^{\theta}_W}$  control catalyst



## **ISSN 2348-6201**

work function, FE  $_{c}^{\theta}$  and relative electric permittivity of chemisorbed dipole and Ohm resistance,  $R_{\Omega} = \frac{\eta_{H2}}{k_{H+}F}$ . Then current intensity relaxation time:

$$\begin{split} \tau_{e} &= R_{\Omega} \cdot C_{\text{(9)}} \\ \tau_{e} &= R_{\Omega} \cdot C = \frac{\eta_{H2}}{k_{H+}F} \cdot \varepsilon_{r} \frac{F}{E^{\theta}_{W}} \text{(10)} \end{split}$$

control hydrogen overpotential depending on catalyst work function and adsorbed dipole electric permitivity:

$$\eta_{H2ech} = \frac{k_{H+}}{k_e} \frac{E^{\theta_W}}{\varepsilon_r}$$
(11)

Equal evolved hydrogen over-potential in thermo chemical reaction (Eq. 8) and in electrochemical reaction due to the reacting contact surfaces separation with adsorbed dipole (Eq. 11), afte the combining with Eq. 6 gives linear functional dependence between ratio of air pollutant diffusion rate constant and hydrogen evolution rate constant, on catalyst working function:

$$\frac{k_{d.p}}{k_{H2}} = \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{F}{\varepsilon_r RT} \sqrt{\frac{m_e}{m_H}} E^{\theta}_W$$
(12)

The re-written form:

$$\frac{k_{H2}}{\left(m_{H}/m_{e}\right)^{1/2}} \cdot \frac{FE^{\theta}_{W}}{\varepsilon_{dip}} = k_{d,p} \frac{RT}{\varepsilon_{0}}$$
(13)

indicate to enhanced hydrogen evolution rate constant,  $(m_H/m_e)^{1/2}$  times:

$$\frac{k_{H2}}{\left(m_{H} / m_{e}\right)^{1/2}} \cdot = k_{d,p} , \quad (14)$$
where: 
$$\frac{FE^{\theta}w}{\varepsilon_{dip}} = \frac{RT}{\varepsilon_{0}}$$

Pollutant stationary homogeneous state control equal diffusion velocity and surface reaction velocity:

$$j_m = v_{d.p}F$$

$$v_{d.p} = k_{H2} (\gamma_p - \gamma_{kdp=0}) \gamma_{H2}$$
 (15)

Then diagnostic of hydrogen evolution rate constant, k<sub>H2</sub> enable pseudo first process rate constant:

$$k_{d.p} = k_{H2}(\gamma_p - \gamma_{kdp=0})$$
 (16)

on the basis of the slope or the re-written form of the linear functional dependence which fit experimental data for pollutant mass content,  $\gamma$  and its diffusion rate constant  $k_d$ :

$$\gamma_{p} = \frac{k_{d.p}}{k_{H2}} + \gamma_{kdp=0} (17)$$





## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The monitoring results (Table 1) are used to calculate the pollutant diffusion rate constants (Table 2), as well as in diagnostics of parameters dominant in pollutant thermoelectric surface energy conversion influence on air pollution (Table 3).

Table 3. Diagnostics of the parameters which control thermo-electric conversion and air pollution  $\Delta E^{\theta}_{p}$ =tg· $\gamma_{p}$ + $\Delta E^{\theta}_{0}$ -linear functional dependences between air pollutant content,  $\gamma$  and its polarization  $\Delta E^{\theta}_{p}$ =  $\Delta G^{\theta}_{p}$ /F, according to Eq. (3a)

 $\gamma_{p, (\Delta G=0)}$ - air pollutant content for the pollutant minimal free energy state calculated acc to Eq. (4)

 $k_{p(\Delta Gp=0)} = k_d = -k_d$ - equal increasing and decreasing pollutant diffusion rate constant (Table 1 and Table 2)

 $\Delta G_{0}^{\theta} = FE_{0}^{\theta}$  - catalyst working function, calculated as free term (at  $\gamma_{p}=0$ ) in Eq. (5)

 $\gamma_{p=0} = tg \cdot k_{d\Delta E p=0} + \gamma_{p,kd=0}$  functional dependence on the basis of Eq. (17),

 $k_{H2}=1/T_{H2}$  - hydrogen evolution rate constant calculated on the basis of the slope of Eq.(17)

 $E^{\theta}_{cat. process} = \Delta G^{\theta}_{0, \gamma=0}/F$  - the possible catalyst reversible process identified by comparison of  $\Delta E^{\theta}_{0} = \Delta G^{\theta}_{0}/F$  with electrochemical potentials from table data





Sample	$\begin{array}{c} \Delta E^{\circ}{}_{p} = tg \cdot \gamma_{p} + \\ \Delta E^{\theta}{}_{0} \end{array}$	$\gamma_{p},$ ( $\Delta G=0$ )	k <sub>d</sub> p(ΔG=0)	ΔG° <sub>0</sub> kJ/mol	$\begin{array}{c} \gamma_{p=0} \\ = tg \cdot k_{d\Delta E p=0} + \\ \gamma_{p,kd=0} \end{array}$	k <sub>H2</sub> s <sup>-1</sup>	T K	$\mathbf{E}^{\mathbf{ heta}}_{ ext{ cat process}}$
	[ICEEM 007]	g/m	5				к	•
Nb,poll, loc , month	0.022294							10.00/25.0./
1.01	0,0895				y = -4163,2x +			$+0,09(23_20_{3_2})$
1. CH <sub>4</sub>	R <sup>2</sup> = 0,8886	3,85E-	3,36E-		0,0061			S <sub>4</sub> O <sub>6</sub> +2e)
Tim, VI, VII		03	07	8,64	R <sup>2</sup> =0,7645	-0,00024	295,2	
2.00	0,0595γ - 0,02		1,39E-					0,01(NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> +H <sub>2</sub> O+2e/
2.00	R <sup>2</sup> = 0,7821	0,34 E-	0	1.00		0.00000	200.0	NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> +2OH <sup>-</sup> )
PančVIII		3	6	-1,93	»	-0,00388	296,6	
3.00	0,0519γ - 0,0763	1		-	y = -209,03x + 0.0012			-0,12(CO <sub>2</sub> +2H <sub>3</sub> O
5.00	$R^2 = 0,9789$	1,47 E-	1,85E-	7.26	$P^2 = 0.8020$	0.00479	206.6	+2e/CO+3H <sub>2</sub> O)
Resita Nov		3	00	-7,30	R =0,8929	-0,00478	290,0	2+ - /
4.NMHC	y =- 0.114x+0.053	0.47 E-	3.94E-					-0,07(Cu(NH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> <sup>-+</sup> +2e/
Ban. A.VIII	$R^2 = 0,9949$	3	06	5,13	»	-0,00478	296,6	Cu+4NH <sub>3</sub>
	y=-		1					-0,07(Cu(NH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> <sup>2+</sup> +2e/
5.1010110	0,0934γ+0,077	0,83 E-	1,78E-	7.47		0.00470	206.2	Cu+4NH <sub>3</sub>
Tim, XI	R <sup>2</sup> = 0,9238	3	06	7,47	»	-0,00478	286,2	2+
6. THC	$y = 0,01\gamma - 0,0378$ $R^2 = 1$	3,78 E-	8,68E-		1 1			-0,036(Fe/Fe <sup>3+</sup> +3e <sup>-</sup> )
Vršac IX	N - 1	3	07	-3,65	»	-0,00024	294,2	
7. PM10	y=0,0005γ -							-0,07(Cu(NH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> <sup>2+</sup> +2e/
Basika W	0,03 $B^2 = 0.9027$	6,63E- 05	1,93E- 06	-3 21	»	0.023648	276.6	Cu+4NH <sub>3</sub>
Resita Al	-0.0021v+			0,11	y = 42.286y -	0,020010		-0.07(Cu(NH)) <sup>2+</sup> +2e/
8. O <sub>3</sub>	0,0301	1 425	7 205		2E-05	11		
Tim XI	R <sup>2</sup> = 0,8213	1,43E- 05	7,29E- 07	2,90	$R^2 = 0,9983$	0,023648	276,6	Cu+4NH <sub>3</sub>
,	-0.0233v +			1	y = -4163.2x +			$+0.09(25_{2}O_{2} / 5_{2}O_{2}^{2} + 2e)$
9. CH₄	0,0895	2 955	2 265		0,0061	19		
Tim, VI, VII	$R^2 = 0,8886$	03	07	8,64	R <sup>2</sup> =0,7645	-0,00024	295,2	
	y = -			-	y = -257,61 x	-		-0,036(Fe/Fe <sup>3+</sup> +3e <sup>-</sup> )
10.NO	, 0,0099γ+0,042	4 23F-	2 78F-	£	+ 0,0007			$-0.048(\Omega_2/H\Omega_2^{-1} \text{ on } C)$
Ban A.VIII	R <sup>2</sup> = 0,8042	06	06	4,03	R <sup>2</sup> =0,9565	-0,00388	296,6	
11 NO	y = -0,0027γ							-0,07(Cu(NH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> <sup>2+</sup> +2e/
	+0,05	1,87E-	7,75E-	1.00		0.022640	276.6	Cu+4NH <sub>3</sub>
	R <sup>-</sup> = 0,8392	05	07	4,80	»	0,023648	276,6	2+
12. NO	y = -0,0054γ + 0.07	1.29F-	1.09F-					-0,07(Cu(NH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> -
Tim VI,VII	R <sup>2</sup> = 0,9104	05	06	6,74	»	-0,0004	295,1	+2e/Cu+4NH <sub>3</sub>
13 50.	-0,0054γ +							-0,036(Fe/Fe <sup>3+</sup> +3e <sup>-</sup> )
13. 30 <sub>2</sub>	0,0396	7,31E-	1,55E-	2.02		0.00004	276.6	-0,048(O <sub>2</sub> /HO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> on C)
Tim XI	к <sup>2</sup> = 0,9038	U6	Ub	3,82	»	-0,00024	276,6	
14. CO <sub>2</sub>	y=- 0.0061y+2.277	3 72F-	5 27F-			-5 92F-		-2,25(H <sub>2</sub> +2e=2H <sup>-</sup> )
Ban A. VIII	$R^2 = 0,9981$	01	07	219,70	»	06	296,6	2,07(O <sub>3</sub> +2H <sub>2</sub> O+2e/O <sub>2</sub> +2OH <sup>-</sup>
15 0440	-0,001γ +							0,01(NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> +H <sub>2</sub> O+2e/
15. PIVI10	0,0128	12,8 E-	1,74E-			-5,92E-	<b>.</b>	NO <sub>2</sub> +20H <sup>-</sup> )
<b>225</b> vir Page	R <sup>2</sup> = 0,9987	3	06	1,24	»	06	296,6	Júly 7, 2014



## ISSN 2348-6201

16. PM10	-0,0155γ + 0,6933	4,48E-	2,26E-			-5,92E-		$0,69(O_2+2H_3O^++2e/H_2O_2+2H_3O)$
Turn Sev XI	R <sup>2</sup> = 0,9506	05	06	66,90	»	06	276,6	112021211201
17. NO <sub>2</sub> ResitaXI	y=0,0027γ - 0,09 R <sup>2</sup> = 0,8827	3,34E- 05	2,03E- 06	-8,70	»	-5,92E- 06	276,6	-0,12(NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> +7H <sub>2</sub> O+8e/ NH <sub>4</sub> OH+9OH <sup>-</sup> )
18. CO <sub>2</sub> Tim VI,VII	y=0,0086γ- 3,348 R <sup>2</sup> = 0,8019	2,39E- 01	1,89E- 07	- 323,12	y = -160302x + 0,3536 R <sup>2</sup> =0,8142	-5,92E- 06	295,2	-3,1(3N <sub>2</sub> +2H <sub>3</sub> O <sup>+</sup> +2e/ 2NH <sub>3</sub> +2H <sub>2</sub> O)
19. SO <sub>2</sub> Ečka IV, V	0,0026γ - 0,0272 R <sup>2</sup> = 0,9832	1,04E- 05	9,84E- 08	-2,62	»	3,88E-06	288,1	0,01(NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> +H <sub>2</sub> O+2e/ NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> +2OH <sup>-</sup> )
<b>20. THC</b> Ečka IV, V	y=-0,2062γ+ 0,92 R <sup>2</sup> = 0,9249	4,48 E- 3	1,16E- 07	89,09	y = 257442x - 0,0253	3,88E-06	286,2	-0,92 (SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> + H <sub>2</sub> O+2e/ SO <sub>3</sub> <sup>2-</sup> +2OH <sup>-</sup> )
21.NMHC <sub>PančVIII</sub>	y =1,4592x - 0,44 R <sup>2</sup> = 0,9438	0,29 E- 3	3,94E- 06	-42,13	»	-0,00478	296,6	-0,44(Fe <sup>2+</sup> +2e)=Fe





The slope of obtained linear functions (Fig. 1) enable diagnostic ofdouble electric layer surfaceelectric permittivity effective inthermo-electric energy conversion influence on air pollution:

$$tg = \frac{2}{3\varepsilon_r (m_H / m_e)^{1/2} \text{RT}}$$
(18)  
$$\varepsilon_r RT = \frac{2}{3(m_H / m_e)^{1/2} tg}$$
(19)



According to obtained results (Table 3, Fig.1), inner Helmholtz plane in double electric layer ( $\epsilon_r=6$ ) [11] is not effective in examined thermo-electric energy conversion influence on air pollution.

However pollutant vertical transport can activate water vertical transport in term chemical electric energy conversion processes at stationary chemical potential in surface Helmholtz plane ( $\epsilon_r$ =17), and stationary isobaric work in outer Helmholtz plane ( $\epsilon_r$ =38), as well as in diffusion layer ( $\epsilon_r$ =77.2). It can influence to exchanging water sensible heat corresponding to relative electric permittivity value of hydration layer in double electric layer, above boiling temperature where  $\epsilon_r$ <55 and under water boiling temperature,  $\epsilon_r$ >55, for liquid water  $\epsilon_r$ =77 at 25 °C on condensation active centers, up to water crystallization temperature, where  $\epsilon_r$ =88 [10].

#### CONCLUSION

The conclusion remarks are as follows:

The monitoring results of air pollution fit the obtained functional dependences for thermo chemical pollutant transport

The article was originated **on the Romania-Serbia Cross-Border Co-operation Programme:** "Sustainable development for Banat Region by means of Education and Scientific Research and Development in Transboundary air Quality Monitoring Issues" IPA CBC Programme Romania-Serbia. 2010-2011 <u>http://banatair.mec.upt.ro</u>, and based also on research developed in the frame of the the project AirQ, financed by the Romanian national authority UEFISCDI (2012-2014) <u>http://airq.mec.upt.ro</u>.:

kinetic effective in thermoelectric current intensity change in double electric layer depending on catalyst working function, with strong correlation coefficient, R<sup>2</sup>=0,91-0,98.

- Diagram in Fig. 1a indicate to relative electric permittivity of surface Helmholtz plane (ε<sub>rsamples 1-14</sub> =38,75/RT) influence on decreasing rate constant of pollutant stationary chemical potential, with positive catalyst working function couple with endothermic relaxation processes, from -2,25 V (H/H<sup>-</sup>) with 2,07 (2O<sub>3</sub>/3O<sub>2</sub>) up to-0,12 (CO<sub>2</sub>/CO) and -0,07 V (Cu(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sup>2+</sup>)/Cu+NH<sub>3</sub> with 0,09 V(3RT<sub>H2O,b</sub>)
- 2. Diagram in Fig. 1b, indicate to relative electric permitivity of inner Helmholtz plane ( $\epsilon_{rsamples, 15-18} = 17,2/RT$ ) influence on decreasing rate constant of pollutant stationary entropy driven relaxation processes, with negative working functions relaxation from -3,1 V(N<sub>2</sub>/NH<sub>3</sub>) to 0,69 V ( $O_2/H_2O_2$ )
- 3. Diagram in Fig. 1c indicate to relative electric permitivity ( $\epsilon_r \text{ sample, 15-18}=77,2/RT$ ) influence on increasing rate constant of pollutant isobaric vertical transport work, CO<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, THC and NHC from April to August with the negative working functions from -3,1 V V(N<sub>2</sub>/NH<sub>3</sub>) to -0,44 V(Fe<sup>2+</sup>/Fe) up to 0,01 V (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>/NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>).

The thermo-electrochemical energy conversion in mechanical work and storage as chemical free energy justify :

- working functions measured as free energy change of monatomic layers in alloy inter-metallic surface of one metal upon the other can be diagnostified in end titration point, as catalyst reversible cell voltage at zero current

- and that migration current of neutral is equal to diffusion mass transport rate constant,  $k_m = k_d$ 

The obtained results of thermodynamic study of air thermo-electrochemically pollutant transport could be useful in the planning of a way to achieve better efficiency of a cold plasma processes to remove and oxidize different kinds of pollutants, with increased performance in eco-efficient electrical and innovative technology development, as well as indoor and gas exhaust treatment in industry.

#### ACKNOLEDGMENTS

This paper is partially supported by the Sectoral Operational Programme Human Resources Development (SOP HRD), financed from the European Social Fund and by the Romanian Government under the project number POSDRU/159/1.5/S/134378.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Chang , I.C., Hana, S.R., 2005 Air quality model performance evaluation, Meteorology and Atmospheric Physics 87, 167-196
- [2] Alam, K.C.A., Saha, B.B., Akisawa, A., and Kashiwagi, T., 2001 Optimization of solar driven adsorption refrigeration system, Energy Conversion and Management **42**, 741-753.
- [3] Vlachos, D. G. 1998 Stochastic modeling of chemical micro reactors with detailed kinetics –induction times and ignitions of H<sub>2</sub> in air, Chemical engineering Science **53**, 157-168.



- [4] G.Weick, D.Weinmann, G,-L.Ingold and R.A.Jalabert, 2007Anomally in the relaxation dynamic close to the surface plasmon resonance, Europhys. Lett., 78, 2700
- [5] Rotenberg, Z.A. 1997 Thermoelectrochemical impedance, Electrochemica Acta, 42(5), 793-799
- [6] Jelena M. Jakšić, NedeljkoKrstajić, Bane N. Grgur, and Milan M. Jakšič, 1998Hydridic and electro-catalytic properties of hypo-hyper –d-electronic combinations of transitions metal intermetallic phases, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 23667-681
- [7] Ševaljevic, M.M., 2000.Development of the Galvanostatic method for gaseous arsenic hydride generation and successive lead and cadmium pre-concentration for increasing AAS Determination Sensitivity, Ph.D. Thesis, Faculty of Technology, Novi Sad.,
- [8] Gorelov V.A., Gladyshev M.C., Kireev, A. Yu et al. 1998 Experimental and numerical study of non-equilibrium UV-emmision in NO and  $N_2$ + in shock layer, J. of Thermopysiscsand heat transfer **12**, 172-179

[9] M. M. Ševaljević, M. Stanojević, S. N. Simić, and M. Pavlović, 2009 Thermodynamic study of

aeration kinetic in treatment of refinery wastewater in bio-aeration tanks, Desalination, 248, 941-960

[10] SlavkoMentus, 2001Electrochemistry, University in Belgrade, Faculty for PhysicalChemistry,

- [11] Mark H. B. 1990Electrocatalysis and its application to analysis, Analyst 115, 667
- [12] T. V. Shabzyan, I.E. Perakisand J.Y. Bigot, 1998 Size dependent surface Plasmon dynamic in metal nano-particles, Phys. Rev.Lett. 81, 3120
- [13] Ševaljević, M. M., Simić, S. N. and Ševaljević, P. V. 2012Thermodynamic diagnostic of electron densities in gas bubbles in aerated saturated refinery waste water, Desal. Wat. Treat. 42 144-154.

