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#### Abstract

ABSTRAC In this paper, we study the existence of multiple sign-changing solutions with a prescribed $L^{p+1}$ - norm and the existence of least energy sign-changing restrained solutions for the following nonlinear Schrödinger-Poisson system: $$
\begin{cases}-\Delta u+u+\phi(x) u=\lambda|u|^{p-1} u, & \text { in } \square^{3}, \\ -\Delta \phi(x)=|u|^{2}, & \text { on } \square^{3} .\end{cases}
$$

By choosing a proper functional restricted on some appropriate subset to using a method of invariant sets of descending flow, we prove that this system has infinitely many sign-changing solutions With the prescribed $L^{p+1}$ - norm and has a least energy for such sign-changing restrained solution for $p \in(3,5)$. Few existence results of multiple sign-changing restrained solutions are available in the literature. Our work generalize some results in literature.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

In this paper, we study the multiplicity of sign-changing solutions of the following nonlinear Schrödinger-Poisson system:

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta u+u+\phi(x) u=\lambda|u|^{p-1} u, & \text { in } \square^{3}  \tag{1.1}\\ -\Delta \phi(x)=|u|^{2}, & \text { on } \square^{3}\end{cases}
$$

where $p \in(3,5), \lambda \in \square$ is a parameter. This system has been first introduced in [1] as a physical model describing a charged wave interacting with its own electrostatic field in quantum mechanic. The unknowns of the system are the field $u$ associated to the particle and the electric potential $\phi$. The presence of the nonlinear term simulates the interaction between many particles or external nonlinear perturbations. We refer the readers to [1] and the references therein for the physical aspects of problem (1.1). Similar equations have been very studied in literature, see [2-7,10-16].

The $\lambda \in \square$ in (1.1) is called a frequency. For fixed $\lambda$, system (1.1) has been extensively studied on the existence of positive solutions, ground states, radial and non-radial solutions and semiclassical states, see e.g. [6-17], etc. As shown by recent results the structure of the solution set of (1.1) depends strongly on the value of $p$ of the power-type nonlinearity. In [6] and [8], a related Pohozeav equality is found, and then the authors proved that system (1.1) does not admit any nontrivial solution for $p \leq 2$ or $p \geq 5$ if $\lambda=1$. While as $p \in(2,5)$, the existence and multiplicity results have been obtained for $\lambda>0$ by using variational techniques.

To continue the statement well, let us fix some notations. We will write $H^{1}=H^{1}\left(\square^{3}\right), D^{1}=D^{1,2}\left(\square^{3}\right)=\left\{u \in L^{6}\left(\square^{3}\right): \nabla u \in\right.$
$\left.L^{2}\left(\square^{3}\right)\right\}$ as the usual Sobolev spaces, and $H_{r}^{1}, D_{r}^{1}$ the corresponding subspaces of radial functions. Recall that the inclusion $H_{r}^{1} \rightarrow L^{q}=L^{q}\left(\square^{3}\right.$ ) is compact for $2<q<6$ (see [18]). In the present paper, we will take $H=H_{r}^{1}$ as the work space. Sometimes we will simply write $\int f$ to mean the Lebesgue integral of $f(x)$ in $\square^{3}$. We make use of the following notations.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |u|_{p}=\left(\int_{\square^{3}}|u(x)|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \text { for } p \in[2,+\infty) \text { and } u \in L^{p} ; \\
& \|u\|=\left[\int_{\square^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\int_{\square^{3}}|u|^{2} d x\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \text { for } u \in H^{1}=H^{1}\left(\square^{3}\right) . \\
& c, d, c_{j}, d_{j} \text { Denote positive constants which can change line to line. }
\end{aligned}
$$

We say that $\left(u_{c}, \lambda_{c}\right) \in H_{r}^{1}\left(\square^{3}\right) \times \square$ is a couple of solution to (1.1) if $u_{c}$ is a solution to (1.1) with $\lambda=\lambda_{c}$. Motivated by the fact that physicists are often interested in restrained solutions or normalized solutions, that is, solutions with a prescribed $L^{p+1}$ - norm, we consider for each $c>0$ the following problem:

## $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ : There exists a couple $\left(u_{c}, \lambda_{c}\right) \in \boldsymbol{H}_{r}^{1}\left(\square^{3}\right) \times \square$ of solution to (1.1) such that $|\boldsymbol{u}|_{p+1}^{p+1}=c$.

Recently, normalized or restrained solutions to elliptic equations attract much attention of researchers, see e.g. [1931]. In [19], Liu and Wang considered the restrained problem to the following quasilinear Schrödinger equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+V(x) u-\frac{1}{2} u \Delta u^{2}=\lambda|u|^{p-1} u \quad \text { in } \square^{N} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

They proved the existence of a positive solution with the restraint $\int_{\square^{N}}|u|^{p+1} d x=1$, and $\lambda$ appears as an unknown Lagrangian multiplier, to Eq. (1.2). In [20], Xiong and Liu proved the existence of a sign-changing solution with the restraint $|u|_{p+1}^{p+1}=1$ to (1.2). In [21], Benci and Cerami considered the following semi-linear Schrödinger equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u-\lambda u=g(u), \quad \lambda \in \square, \quad x \in \square^{N} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

With $g(u)=|u|^{p-1} u$, they proved the existence of multiple positive solutions with the restraint $|u|_{p+1}^{p+1}=1$ to (1.3).
In [23], by using a minimax procedure, Jeanjean proved that for each $c>0$, there is a couple $\left(u_{c}, \lambda_{c}\right) \in H^{1}\left(\square^{N}\right)$ $\times \square^{-}$of weak solution to (1.3) with $|u|_{2}^{2}=c$. In [26], Bartsch and De Valeriola considered the semi-linear Schrödinger equation (1.3) and proved that there are infinitely many normalized solutions to Eq. (1.3). In [27], Bellazzini et al. considered (1.1) and proved that for $p \in\left(\frac{7}{3}, 5\right)$ there exists $c_{0}>0$ such that for any $c \in\left(0, c_{0}\right)$, equation (1.1) has a couple $\left(u_{c}, \lambda_{c}\right) \in H^{1}\left(\square^{\mathrm{N}}\right) \times \square^{-}$of weak solution with $|u|_{2}^{2}=c$ by using a mountain pass argument on

$$
S(c)=\left\{u \in H^{1}\left(\square^{3}\right):\left.u\right|_{2} ^{2}=c\right\}, \quad c>0 .
$$

Luo in [30] proved that when $p \in\left(\frac{7}{3}, 5\right)$, there exists $c_{0}>0$ such that for any $c \in\left(0, c_{0}\right)$, equation (1.1) admits an unbounded sequence of couples of weak solutions $\left\{\left( \pm u_{n}, \lambda_{n}\right)\right\} \subset H_{r}^{1}\left(\square^{N}\right) \times \square^{-}$with $\left|u_{n}\right|_{2}^{2}=c$ for each $n \in \square^{+}$. Luo and Wang in [31] proved that there are infinitely many normalized high energy solutions to Kirchhoff-type equations restrained on $S(c)=\left\{u \in H^{1}\left(\square^{3}\right):\left.u\right|_{2} ^{2}=c\right\}, c>0$.

On the other hand, the problem of finding sign-changing solutions is a very classical problem. In general, this problem is much more difficult than finding a mere solution. There were several abstract theories or methods to study signchanging solutions. In recent years, for fixed $\lambda$, Wang and Zhou [32] obtained a least energy sign-changing solution to (1.1) without any symmetry by seeking minimizer of the energy functional on the sign-changing Nehari manifold when $p \in(3,5)$, based on variational method and Brouwer degree theory. Liu et al [33] considered a more general nonlinear term $f$, they proved that problem (1.1) has infinitely many sign-changing solutions under some appropriate conditions on the nonlinearity, especially, the $f$ is quasi-asymptotic $p$ order, i.e., $\limsup _{|s| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{|f(s)|}{|s|^{p}}<+\infty$ for some $p \in(2,5)$. Using
concentration compactness principle and rotational transformation, d' Aveni [34] showed the existence of non-radially symmetric sign-changing solution of (1.1). Using a Nehari type manifold and gluing solution piece together, Kim and Seok [35] proved the existence of radially sign-changing solutions of (1.1) with prescribed numbers of nodal domains for
$p \in(3,5)$. lanni [36] obtained a similar result to [35] for $p \in[3,5)$ via a heat flow approach together with a limit procedure. Based on the Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction method, in another paper of lanni and Vaira [37], the existence of non-radially symmetric sign-changing solutions for the semi-classical limit case of (1.1).

Motivated by the above works, a natural question is whether (1.1) has sign-changing solutions $u_{c}$ for problem ( $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ) and whether (1.1) has infinitely many sign-changing restrained solutions $u_{c}$ for problem ( $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ). To the authors' knowledge, there are very few results on the multiple of sign-changing restrained solutions for problem (1.1) in the literature. In the present paper, we focus on the study of multiple sign-changing restrained solutions for system (1.1). We will verify that system (1.1) has infinitely many sign-changing restrained solutions for $p \in(3,5)$. Our main result in this aspect is the following:

Theorem 1.1. Let $p \in(3,5)$. Then for any given $c>0$, equation (1.1) has a sequence of couples of sign-changing restrained solutions $\left\{\left(u_{k}, \lambda_{k}\right)\right\} \subset H_{r}^{1}\left(\square^{3}\right) \times \square^{+}$with $\left|u_{k}\right|_{p+1}^{p+1}=c$ for each $k \in \square^{+}$.

To prove the theorem we use the general ideas inspired by [38] adapting their arguments to our problem which contains also the coupling term. Where a suitable subset was given in which there exist two subsets separating the motivating functional, and on which an auxiliary operator $\mathbf{A}$ was constructed, so that we are able to apply suitable minimax arguments in the presence of invariant sets of a descending flow generated by the operator $\mathbf{A}$ to obtain the
existence of multiple sign-changing solutions with restraint to system (1.1). We have used this method to obtain an analogous result to $(1.1)$ for $p \in(3,5)$ and $\lambda=1$. Some arguments in our proof are borrowed from [38]. Remark that the ideas in [38] can not be used directly, and here we will give some new techniques. The method seems to be quite new for the nonlinear Schrödinger-Poisson equations and presents several difficulties due to nonlocal term. The method is different from that used in $[20,23,26,27]$ and others.

Since (1.1) has infinitely many sign-changing restrained solutions, another natural question is whether (1.1) has a least energy sign-changing restrained solution, which has not been studied before. Here we can prove the following result.

Theorem 1.2. Suppose that the conditions in Theorem 1.1 hold. Then system (1.1) has a least energy sign-changing solution $\left(u_{c}, \lambda_{c}\right)$ with restraint $\left|u_{c}\right|_{p+1}^{p+1}=c$, that is, it has the least energy among all sign-changing radially solutions with restraint $\left|u_{c}\right|_{p+1}^{p+1}=c$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present some preliminary results. We prove Theorem 1.1 in section 3 and Theorem 1.2 in section 4, respectively.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we give some preliminary results. An important fact involving system (1.1) is that this class of system can be transformed into a Schrödinger equation with a nonlocal term (see, for instance, [8, 10]), which allows to apply variational approaches. For any given $u \in H^{1}$, the Lax-Milgram Theorem implies that there exists a unique $\Phi[u]=\phi_{u} \in D^{1}$ such that $-\Delta \phi=|u|^{2}$ and

$$
\phi_{u}(x)=\int_{\square^{3}} \frac{u^{2}(y)}{4 \pi|x-y|} d y .
$$

We now summarize some properties of the map $\Phi$, which will be useful later. See, for instance, [5] and [8] for a proof.

## Lemma 2.1.

(1) The map $\Phi: u \in H^{1} \rightarrow \phi_{u} \in D^{1}$ is of class $\boldsymbol{C}^{1}$.
(2) $\Phi[u]=\phi_{u} \geq 0$.
(3) $\Phi[t u]=t^{2} \Phi[u]$ for every $u \in H^{1}$ and $t \in \square$.
(4) There exists $c^{*}>0$ independent of $u$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}^{3}} \phi_{u} u^{2} \leq \mathrm{c}^{*}\|u\|^{4} .
$$

(5) If $u$ is a radial function, then so is $\phi_{u}$.
(6) If $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ weakly in $H_{r}^{1}$ then $\Phi\left[u_{n}\right] \rightarrow \Phi[u]$ in $D_{r}^{1}$, and $\int_{R^{3}} \Phi\left[u_{n}\right] u_{n}^{2} \rightarrow \int_{R^{3}} \Phi[u] u^{2}$ strongly.

From above properties, substituting $\phi=\phi_{u}$ into system (1.1), we can rewrite system (1.1) as the single equation

$$
-\Delta u+u+\phi_{u} u=\lambda|u|^{p-1} u
$$

and the energy functional $I_{\lambda}: H_{r}^{1} \rightarrow \square:$

$$
I_{\lambda}(u)=\int\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(|\nabla u(x)|^{2}+|u(x)|^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} \phi_{u}(x) u^{2}(x)-\frac{1}{p+1} \lambda|u(x)|^{p+1}\right) d x
$$

is well defined for any $\lambda>0$. Furthermore, it is known that $I_{\lambda}$ is a $C^{1}$ functional with derivative given by

$$
I_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)[v]=\int \nabla u \nabla v+u v+\phi_{u} u v-\lambda|u|^{p-1} u v .
$$

Throughout this paper, we take the following functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(u)=\int\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(|\nabla u(x)|^{2}+|u(x)|^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} \phi_{u}(x) u^{2}(x)-\frac{1}{p+1}|u(x)|^{p+1}\right) d x \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

as our motivating functional. However the functional is unbounded from above and from below on $H_{r}^{1}$. The idea is to restrict the functional to a suitable subset on which this unboundedness is removed, and in which we can select two subsets separating the motivating functional.

Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M^{*}=\left\{u \in H_{r}^{1}: \frac{1}{2} c<|u|_{p+1}^{p+1}<2 c\right\} \\
& M=\left\{u \in H_{r}^{1}:|u|_{p+1}^{p+1}=c\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Evidently $M *$ is open subset of $H$ and $M$ is closed. Define

$$
N_{b}^{*}=\left\{u \in M^{*}:\|u\|^{2}<b\right\}, \quad N_{b}=N_{b}^{*} \cap M .
$$

We will see that, to obtain solutions of (1.1) solving problem $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$, we turn to study the functional $I$ restricted to $N_{b}^{*}$, which is a problem with another extra constraint. We obtain directly the couple on ( $u_{c}, \lambda_{c}$ ) with restraint $\left|u_{c}\right|_{p+1}^{p+1}=c$ solving Eq. (1.1) without utilizing critical points of the functional $I_{\lambda}$. Recalling the Sobolev inequality

$$
\|u\|^{2} \geq S|u|_{p+1}^{2}, \quad \forall u \in H_{r}^{1}
$$

where $S$ is a positive constant.
Fix any $k \in \square$. Let $W_{k+1}$ be a $k+1$ dimensional subspace of $H$. Then we can find some $b_{k}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|^{2} \leq b_{k}, \quad \forall u \in W_{k+1} \text { satisfying }|u|_{p+1}^{p+1}<2 c . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix a $b>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b>2\left(\frac{1}{2} b_{k}+\frac{1}{4} c^{*} b_{k}^{2}+\frac{c}{p+1}+1\right)>b_{k} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From now on, we let $\alpha=\int\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+|u|^{2}\right)=\|u\|^{2}, \beta=\int \phi_{u}(x) u^{2}, \gamma=\int|u(x)|^{p+1}$ as fixed notations for convenience. Let $B_{k}=\left\{u \in W_{k+1}:|u|_{p+1}^{p+1}=c\right\}$, and for any $u \in B_{k}$ we have that

$$
I(u)=\frac{1}{2} \alpha+\frac{1}{4} \beta-\frac{1}{p+1} \gamma \leq \frac{1}{2} \alpha+\frac{1}{4} \beta .
$$

Since $B_{k} \subset N_{b_{k}}$, we have that

$$
\alpha=\|u\|^{2} \leq b_{k}, \quad \beta \leq c^{*} b_{k}^{2}
$$

Then we obtain that

$$
I(u) \leq \frac{1}{2} b_{k}+\frac{1}{4} c^{*} b_{k}^{2}
$$

Let $d_{k}=\frac{1}{2} b_{k}+\frac{1}{4} c * b_{k}^{2}+1$, therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{u \in B_{k}} I(u)<d_{k} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for $u \in N_{b}$, we have that

$$
I(u)=\frac{1}{2} \alpha+\frac{1}{4} \beta-\frac{1}{p+1} \gamma \geq \frac{1}{2} \alpha-\frac{c}{p+1}
$$

And we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{u \in \partial N_{b}} I(u) \geq d_{k} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we achieve the following important lemma.
Lemma 2.2. There exists $d_{k}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{u \in \partial N_{b}} I(u) \geq d_{k}>\sup _{u \in B_{k}} I(u) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we introduce an auxiliary operator $\mathbf{A}$, which will be used to construct the descending flow for the functional $I$. Clearly, for any $u \in N_{b}^{*}$, the operator $-\Delta+1+\phi_{u}$ is positive definite in $H_{r}^{1}$. For any $u \in N_{b}^{*}$, let $\tilde{w} \in H_{r}^{1}$ be the unique solution to the following linear equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta \tilde{w}+\tilde{w}+\phi_{u} \tilde{w}=|u|^{p-1} u, \quad \tilde{w} \in H_{r}^{1} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $|u|_{p+1}^{p+1}>\frac{1}{2} c>0$, so $\tilde{w} \neq 0$ and

$$
\int|u|^{p-1} u \tilde{w}=\|\tilde{w}\|^{2}+\int \phi_{u}|\tilde{w}|^{2} \geq\|\tilde{w}\|^{2}>0
$$

Let

$$
w=\sigma \tilde{w}, \quad \text { where } \quad \sigma=\frac{c}{\int|u|^{p-1} u \tilde{w}}>0
$$

Then $w$ is the unique solution of the following problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta w+w+\phi_{u} w=\sigma|u|^{p-1} u  \tag{2.8}\\
\int|u|^{p-1} u w=c, \quad w \in H_{r}^{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then, the operator $\mathbf{A}$ is defined as follows: for any $u \in N_{b}^{*}, \mathbf{A}(u)=w \in H_{r}^{1}$. Clearly, $\mathbf{A}$ is odd. Furthermore, we have
Lemma 2.3. The operator $\mathbf{A}$ is of class $\boldsymbol{C}^{\mathbf{1}}$ from $N_{b}^{*}$ to $H_{r}^{1}$, that is, $\mathbf{A} \in \boldsymbol{C}^{\mathbf{1}}\left(N_{b}^{*}, H_{r}^{\mathbf{1}}\right)$.
Proof. To prove that $\mathbf{A} \in C^{\mathbf{1}}\left(N_{b}^{*}, H_{r}^{\mathbf{1}}\right)$, we consider the map $\Psi: N_{b}^{*} \times H_{r}^{1} \times \square \rightarrow H_{r}^{1} \times \square$, where

$$
\Psi(u, v, \sigma)=\left(v-(-\Delta+1)^{-1}\left(\sigma|u|^{p-1} u-\phi_{u} v\right), \int|u|^{p-1} u v-c\right)
$$

Then $\Psi$ is of class $\boldsymbol{C}^{\mathbf{1}}$, the implicit function theorem can be applied to $\Psi$. Note that (2.8) holds if and only if $\Psi(u, v, \sigma)=(0,0)$. We compute the derivative of $\Psi$ with respect to $(v, \sigma)$ at the point $(u, w, \sigma)$ in the direction
$(\bar{w}, \bar{\sigma})$ and obtain a map $\Phi: H_{r}^{1} \times \square \rightarrow H_{r}^{1} \times \square$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(\bar{w}, \bar{\sigma}) & =D_{(v, \sigma)} \Psi(u, w, \sigma)(\bar{w}, \bar{\sigma}) \\
& =\left(\bar{w}-(-\Delta+1)^{-1}\left(\bar{\sigma}|u|^{p-1} u-\phi_{u} \bar{w}\right), \int|u|^{p-1} u \bar{w}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\Phi(\bar{w}, \bar{\sigma})=(0,0)$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta \bar{w}+\bar{w}+\phi_{u} \bar{w}=\bar{\sigma}|u|^{p-1} u, \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

And

$$
\int|u|^{p-1} u \bar{w}=0 .
$$

Multiplying the equation (2.9) by $\bar{w}$ and then integrating it, we get

$$
\|\bar{w}\|^{2} \leq \bar{\sigma} \int|u|^{p-1} u \bar{w}=0 .
$$

Then $\bar{w}=0$ and $\bar{\sigma}|u|^{p-1} u \equiv 0$ in $\square^{3}$, so $\bar{\sigma}=0$. Hence $\Phi$ is injective.
To prove $\Phi$ is surjective, given any $\left(f, c_{1}\right) \in H_{r}^{1} \times \square$, let $v_{1}, v_{2} \in H_{r}^{1}$ be solutions of the linear problems

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta v_{1}+v_{1}+\phi_{u} v_{1}=-\Delta f+f \\
-\Delta v_{2}+v_{2}+\phi_{u} v_{2}=|u|^{p-1} u
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since $|u|_{p+1}^{p+1}>\frac{1}{2} c>0$, so $v_{2} \neq 0$ and then $\int|u|^{p-1} u v_{2}>0$. Let $\bar{\sigma}=\frac{c_{1}-\int|u|^{p-1} u v_{1}}{\int|u|^{p-1} u v_{2}}, \quad \bar{w}=v_{1}+\bar{\sigma} v_{2}$, then $\Phi(\bar{w}, \bar{\sigma})=\left(f, c_{1}\right)$, which implies $\Phi$ is surjective. Hence $\Phi$ is a bijective map, which implies that $\mathbf{A} \in \boldsymbol{C}^{\mathbf{1}}\left(N_{b}^{*}, H_{r}^{1}\right)$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 2.4. Suppose that $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset N_{b}, w_{n}=\mathbf{A}\left(u_{n}\right)$. Then $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ has a strongly convergent subsequence in $H_{r}^{1}$.
Proof. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset N_{b}$, then $u_{n}$ is bounded in $H_{r}^{1}$. By (2.7) and the Sobolev inequality, we have

$$
\left\|\tilde{w}_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq \int\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-1} u_{n} \tilde{w}_{n} \leq c^{\frac{p}{p+1}}\left|\tilde{w}_{n}\right|_{p+1} \leq \mathrm{c}_{0}\left\|\tilde{w}_{n}\right\|
$$

Then $\left\{w_{n}\right\} \subset H_{r}^{1}$ is a bounded sequence. Passing to a subsequence, we may assume that $u_{n} \rightarrow u, \tilde{w}_{n} \rightarrow \tilde{V}_{0}$ weakly in $H_{r}^{1}$ and $u_{n} \rightarrow u, \tilde{w}_{n} \rightarrow \tilde{V}_{0}$ strongly in $L^{s}$ for $s \in(2,6)$. Since $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^{\frac{12}{5}}\left(\square^{3}\right)$, it follows from Lemma 2.1(6) and the Sobolev imbedding theorem that $\phi_{u_{s}} \rightarrow \phi_{u}$ strongly in $L^{6}$. Consider the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int\left(\nabla \tilde{w}_{n} \nabla \xi+\tilde{w}_{n} \xi\right)+\int \phi_{u_{n}} \tilde{w}_{n} \xi=\int\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-1} u_{n} \xi, \quad \xi \in H_{r}^{1} . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the Hölder inequality, we have

$$
\left|\int\left(\phi_{u_{n}} \tilde{w}_{n} \xi-\phi_{u_{n}} \tilde{V}_{0} \xi\right)\right| \leq\left|\phi_{u_{n}}\right|_{6}\left|\tilde{w}_{n}-\tilde{V}_{0}\right|_{\frac{12}{5}}|\xi|_{\frac{12}{5}}=o(1)
$$

for any $\xi \in H_{r}^{1}$. Then we get

$$
\int \nabla \tilde{w}_{n} \nabla\left(\tilde{w}_{n}-\tilde{V}_{0}\right)+\tilde{w}_{n}\left(\tilde{w}_{n}-\tilde{V}_{0}\right)=\int \phi_{u_{n}} \tilde{w}_{n}\left(\tilde{w}_{n}-\tilde{V}_{0}\right)+\int\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-1} u_{n}\left(\tilde{w}_{n}-\tilde{V}_{0}\right)=o(1) .
$$

Hence

$$
\left\|\tilde{w}_{n}\right\|^{2}=\int \nabla \tilde{w}_{n} \nabla \tilde{V}_{0}+\tilde{w}_{n} \tilde{V}_{0}+o(1)=\left\|\tilde{V}_{0}\right\|^{2}+o(1)
$$

which implies $\tilde{w}_{n} \rightarrow \tilde{V}_{0}$ strongly in $H_{r}^{1}$. Taking limit as $n \rightarrow+\infty$ in (2.10) yields

$$
\int\left(\nabla \tilde{V}_{0} \nabla \xi+\tilde{V}_{0} \xi\right)+\int \phi_{u} \tilde{V}_{0} \xi=\int|u|^{p-1} u \xi, \quad \xi \in H_{r}^{1}
$$

This implies that $\tilde{V}_{0}$ satisfies

$$
-\Delta \tilde{V}_{0}+\tilde{V}_{0}+\phi_{u} \tilde{V}_{0}=|u|^{p-1} u .
$$

Since $|u|_{p+1}^{p+1}=c$, so $\tilde{V}_{0} \neq 0$ and then $\int|u|^{p-1} u \tilde{V}_{0}>0$, which implies that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c}{\int|u|^{p-1} u \tilde{w}_{n}}=\frac{c}{\int|u|^{p-1} u \tilde{V}_{0}}=\sigma_{0} .
$$

Therefore, $w_{n}=\sigma_{n} \tilde{w}_{n} \rightarrow \sigma_{0} \tilde{V}_{0}=V_{0}$ strongly in $H_{r}^{1}$. This completes the proof.
Now let us define a map

$$
\mathbf{V}: N_{b}^{*} \rightarrow H_{r}^{1}, \quad \mathbf{V}(u)=u-\mathbf{A}(u)
$$

To constructing a descending flow for the functional $I(u)$, we prove that $\mathbf{V}$ is a sort of pseudo-gradient vector of $I(u)$ restricted on $N_{b}$. We have the following lemma.

## Lemma 2.5.

$$
I^{\prime}(u)[\mathbf{V}(u)] \geq\|\mathbf{V}(u)\|^{2}, \quad \forall u \in N_{b}
$$

Proof. Take any $u \in N_{b}$ and write $w=\mathbf{A}(u)$ as above. By (2.8), we have $\int|u|^{p-1} u(u-w)=c-c=0$. Let $v=\mathbf{V}(u)=u-w$, then $u=v+w$ and $\int|u|^{p-1} u v=0$, we deduce from (2.1) and (2.8) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I^{\prime}(u)[v] & =\int(\nabla u \nabla v+u v)+\int \phi_{u} u v-\int|u|^{p-1} u v \\
& =\int \nabla(v+w) \nabla v+(v+w) v+\int \phi_{u}(v+w) v \\
& =\|v\|^{2}+\sigma \int|u|^{p-1} u v+\int \phi_{u} v^{2} \\
& \geq\|v\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.6. Let $u_{n} \in N_{b}$ be such that

$$
I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow d<d_{k} \text {, and } \mathbf{V}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { strongly in } H_{r}^{1} .
$$

Then, up to a subsequence, there exists $u \in N_{b}$ such that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ strongly in $H_{r}^{1}$ and $\mathbf{V}(u)=0$.
Proof. Since $u_{n} \in N_{b}$, then $u_{n}$ is bounded. By Lemma 2.4, up to a subsequence, we may assume that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ weakly in $H_{r}^{1}$ and $w_{n}=\mathbf{A}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow V_{0}$ strongly in $H_{r}^{1}$, hence $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{s}$ for $s \in[2,6]$, we have

$$
\int u_{n} \xi \rightarrow \int u \xi, \int \nabla\left(u_{n}-u\right) \nabla \xi+\left(u_{n}-u\right) \xi \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { for all } \quad \xi \in H_{r}^{1},
$$

and

$$
\int\left|\nabla\left(w_{n}-V_{0}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|w_{n}-V_{0}\right|^{2} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Hence $\int \nabla\left(u_{n}-u\right) \nabla V_{0} \rightarrow 0, \int\left(u_{n}-u\right) V_{0} \rightarrow 0, \int\left|\nabla\left(w_{n}-V_{0}\right)\right|^{2} \rightarrow 0$ and $\int\left|w_{n}-V_{0}\right|^{2} \rightarrow 0$. Since $\mathbf{V}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, it reads $\int\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}-w_{n}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|u_{n}-w_{n}\right|^{2} \rightarrow 0$, hence $\int\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}-w_{n}\right)\right|^{2} \rightarrow 0$ and $\int\left|u_{n}-w_{n}\right|^{2} \rightarrow 0$. So we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \leq \mid \int & \nabla u_{n} \nabla\left(u_{n}-u\right)\left|=\left|\int \nabla\left(u_{n}-w_{n}+w_{n}-V_{0}+V_{0}\right) \nabla\left(u_{n}-u\right)\right|\right. \\
& =\int\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}-w_{n}\right)\right|\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}-u\right)\right|+\int\left|\nabla\left(w_{n}-V_{0}\right) \nabla\left(u_{n}-u\right)\right|+\left|\int \nabla V_{0} \nabla\left(u_{n}-u\right)\right| \\
& =c_{1}\left[\int\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}-w_{n}\right)\right|^{2}+\int\left|\nabla\left(w_{n}-V_{0}\right)\right|^{2}\right]+\left|\int \nabla V_{0} \nabla\left(u_{n}-u\right)\right|=o(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leq\left|\int u_{n}\left(u_{n}-u\right)\right|=\left|\int\left(u_{n}-w_{n}+w_{n}-V_{0}+V_{0}\right)\left(u_{n}-u\right)\right| \\
& \leq \mathrm{c}_{1}\left[\int\left|u_{n}-w_{n}\right|^{2}+\int\left|w_{n}-V_{0}\right|^{2}\right]+\left|\int V_{0}\left(u_{n}-u\right)\right|=o(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ strongly in $H_{r}^{1}$ and so $u \in \overline{N_{b}}$. Therefore, $\mathbf{V}(u)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{V}\left(u_{n}\right)=0$. Moreover, $I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow d<d_{k}$ and so $u \in N_{b}$. This completes the proof.

To obtain sign-changing solutions, we make use of the positive and negative cones as in many references such as [33, 38]. Precisely, we define

$$
P^{+}=\left\{u \in H_{r}^{1}: u \geq 0\right\} \text { and } P^{-}=-P^{+}=\left\{u \in H_{r}^{1}: u \leq 0\right\} \text {, set } P=P^{+} \cup P^{-} .
$$

Moreover, for $\delta>0$ we define $P_{\delta}=\left\{u \in H_{r}^{1}: \operatorname{dist}_{p+1}(u, P)<\delta\right\}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{dist}_{p+1}(u, P)=\min \left\{\operatorname{dist}_{p+1}\left(u, P^{+}\right), \operatorname{dist}_{p+1}\left(u, P^{-}\right)\right\}, \\
& \operatorname{dist}_{p+1}\left(u, P^{ \pm}\right)=\inf \left\{|u-v|_{p+1}: v \in P^{ \pm}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote $u^{ \pm}=\max \{\mathbf{0}, \pm u\}$, then $u=u^{+}-u^{-}$and, it is easy to check that $\operatorname{dist}_{p+\mathbf{1}}\left(u, P^{ \pm}\right)=\left|u^{\mp}\right|_{p+\mathbf{1}}$.
Then $P_{\delta}$ is an open and symmetric subset of $H_{r}^{1}$ and $H_{r}^{1} \backslash P_{\delta}$ contains only sign-changing functions.
Lemma 2.7. There exists $\delta_{0}>0$ such that for $\delta \in\left(0, \delta_{0}\right)$, there holds

$$
\operatorname{dist}_{p+1}(\mathbf{A}(u), P)<\frac{1}{2} \delta, \quad \forall u \in N_{b}, \quad \operatorname{dist}_{p+1}(u, P)<\delta .
$$

Proof. For $u \in P_{\delta}$, we have that $\operatorname{dist}_{p+1}\left(u, P^{+}\right)<\delta$ or $\operatorname{dist}_{p+1}\left(u, P^{-}\right)<\delta$. To show $\operatorname{dist}_{p+1}(\mathbf{A}(u), P)<\frac{1}{2} \delta$, we need to show that either $\operatorname{dist}_{p+1}\left(\mathbf{A}(u), P^{+}\right)<\frac{1}{2} \delta$ or $\operatorname{dist}_{p+1}\left(\mathbf{A}(u), P^{-}\right)<\frac{1}{2} \delta$ be valid. Indeed, for $\delta$ small enough, we have the following two statements:
(1) If $\operatorname{dist}_{p+1}\left(u, P^{+}\right)<\delta$, then $\operatorname{dist}_{p+1}\left(\mathbf{A}(u), P^{+}\right)<\frac{1}{2} \delta$.
(2) If $\operatorname{dist}_{p+1}\left(u, P^{-}\right)<\delta$, then $\operatorname{dist}_{p+1}\left(\mathbf{A}(u), P^{-}\right)<\frac{1}{2} \delta$.

Since the two conclusions are similar, it suffices to prove the first one. Let $w=\mathbf{A}(u)=w^{+}-w^{-}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{dist}_{p+1}\left(w, P^{+}\right) \| w^{-} \| \\
&=\left|w^{-}\right|_{p+1}\left\|w^{-}\right\| \leq c_{0}\left\|w^{-}\right\|=-c_{0}\left(w, w^{-}\right)_{H_{r}^{1}} \\
&=-c_{0}\left[\int|u|^{p-1} u w^{-}-\int \phi_{u} w w^{-}\right] \\
&=-c_{0}\left[\int\left|u^{+}\right|^{p} w^{-}-\int\left|u^{-}\right|^{p} w^{-}+\int \phi_{u}\left(w^{-}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& \leq c_{0} \int\left|u^{-}\right|^{p} w^{-} \leq c_{0}\left|u^{-}\right|_{p+1}^{p}\left\|w^{-}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\operatorname{dist}_{p+1}\left(w, P^{+}\right) \leq c_{0}\left|u^{-}\right|_{p+1}^{p-1}\left|u^{-}\right|_{p+1}=c_{0}\left|u^{-}\right|_{p+1}^{p-1} \operatorname{dist}_{p+1}\left(u, P^{+}\right) .
$$

Let $\delta_{0}>0$ small enough such that $c_{0} \delta_{\mathbf{0}}^{p-1}<\frac{1}{2}$. Then we get that, if $\delta \in\left(0, \delta_{0}\right)$ and $u \in N_{b}$ with $\operatorname{dist}_{p+1}\left(u, P^{+}\right)<\delta$, we have that $\operatorname{dist}_{p+1}\left(\mathbf{A}(u), P^{+}\right)<\frac{1}{2} \delta$. This completes the proof.

To continue our proof, we introduce a notion of local genus simulating that of vector genus introduced by [38] to define suitable minimax energy levels. To do this, we consider the class of sets

$$
\boldsymbol{F}=\{B \subset M: B \text { is closed and symmetric with respect to } 0\},
$$

and, for each $B \in \boldsymbol{F}$ and $k \in \mathrm{~N}$, the class of functions

$$
F_{k}(B)=\left\{f: B \rightarrow \square^{k-1}, f \text { is odd and } f \in C\left(B, \square^{k-1}\right)\right\} .
$$

Here we denote $\mathrm{R}^{\mathbf{0}}=\{0\}$. The genus $\gamma$ of $B \in \boldsymbol{F}$ is a number in $\square \cup\{+\infty\}$. We say that $\gamma(B) \geq k$ if for every $f \in F_{k}(B)$ there exists $u \in B$ such that $f(u)=0$. We denote

$$
\Gamma_{k}=\{B \in \boldsymbol{F}: \because \gamma(B) \geq k\} .
$$

As usual, we have the following useful properties of the genus.

## Lemma 2.8.

(1) Let $B \subset M$ and let $\eta: S^{k-1}=\left\{x \in \square^{k},|x|=c, c>0\right\} \rightarrow B$ be an odd homeomorphism. Then $B \in \Gamma_{k}$.
(2) There holds $\overline{\eta(B)} \in \Gamma_{k}$ whenever $B \in \Gamma_{k}$ and $\eta: B \rightarrow M$ is a continuous odd map.

The following two lemmas are crucial in constructing suitable minimax values of $I$.
Lemma 2.9. Let $k \geq 2$. Then there exists $\delta_{0}>0$, for any $\delta \in\left(0, \delta_{0}\right)$ and any $B \in \Gamma_{k}$, there holds $B \backslash P_{\delta} \neq \varnothing$
Proof. For any $B \in \Gamma_{k}$. By the definition of $\Gamma_{k}$, then for any $f \in F_{k}(B)$ there exists $u \in B$ such that $f(u)=0$.
Consider the function $B \rightarrow \square^{k-1}$ defined as $f(u)=\left(\int|u|^{p} u, 0, \cdots, 0\right) \in \square^{k-1}$. Clearly $f \in F_{k}(B)$, so there exists $u \in B$ such that $f(u)=0$. Note that $u \in M$, that is $\int|u|^{p+1}=c$, we conclude that

$$
\int\left|u^{+}\right|^{p+1}=\int\left|u^{-}\right|^{p+1}=\frac{1}{2} c
$$

that is, $\operatorname{dist}_{p+1}(u, P)=\left(\frac{1}{2} c\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}$, and so $u \in B \backslash P_{\delta}$ for every $\delta<\delta_{0} \leq\left(\frac{1}{2} c\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}$.
Lemma 2.10. There exists $B \in \Gamma_{k+1}$ such that $B \subset N_{b}$ and $\sup _{u \in B} I(u)<d_{k}$.
Proof. Let $W_{k+1}$ be a $k+1$ dimensional subspace of $H_{r}^{1}$. We define $B=B_{k}=\left\{u \in W_{k+1}:|u|_{p+1}^{p+1}=c\right\}$. Obviously, there exists an odd homeomorphism from $S^{k}$ to $B$. By Lemma 2.8 (1) one has $B \in \Gamma_{k+1}$. From (2.2) we have that $B \subset N_{b_{k}}$, and so Lemma 2.2 yields $\sup _{u \in B} I(u)<d_{k}$.

Now we are in a position to construct the minimax values for $I$. For every $k_{1} \in[2, k+1]$ and $\delta<\delta_{0} \leq\left(\frac{1}{2} c\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\delta k_{1}}=\inf _{B \in \Gamma_{K_{1}}^{0} u \in B \backslash P_{\delta}} \sup _{u} I(u), \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\Gamma_{k_{1}}^{0}=\left\{B \in \Gamma_{k_{1}}: B \subset N_{b} \text { and } \sup _{B} I<d_{k}\right\} .
$$

Note that $\Gamma_{k_{2}}^{0} \subset \Gamma_{k_{1}}^{0}$ for any $k_{2} \geq k_{1}$, hence $\Gamma_{k_{1}}^{0} \neq \varnothing$ and so $c_{\delta k_{1}}$ is well defined for any $k_{1} \in[2, k+1]$. Moreover, $c_{\delta k_{1}}<d_{k}$ for every $\delta \in\left(0, \delta_{0}\right)$ and $k_{1} \in[2, k+1]$. Define $N_{b}^{0}=\left\{u \in N_{b}: I(u)<d_{k}\right\}$, then by Lemma 2.2 $B_{k} \subset N_{b}^{0}$.

Now we can construct a descending flow for the functional $I$, and then the set $N_{b}^{0}$ will be seen turned out to be the desired invariant set of the flow.

Lemma 2.11. There exists a unique global solution $\eta:[0,+\infty) \times N_{b}^{0} \rightarrow H_{r}^{1}$ for the initial value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \eta(t, u)}{d t}=-\mathbf{V}(\eta(t, u)), \quad \eta(0, u)=u \in N_{b}^{0} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which satisfies
(1) $\quad \eta(t, u) \in N_{b}^{0}$ for any $t>0$ and $u \in N_{b}^{0}$.
(2) $\quad \eta(t,-u)=-\eta(t, u)$ for any $t>0$ and $u \in N_{b}^{0}$.
(3) For every $u \in N_{b}^{0}$, the map $t \rightarrow I(\eta(t, u))$ is non-increasing.
(4) There exists $\delta_{0} \in\left(0,\left(\frac{1}{2} c\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}\right)$ such that, for every $\delta<\delta_{0}$, there holds

$$
\eta(t, u) \in P_{\mathcal{\delta}} \quad \text { whenever } \quad u \in N_{b}^{0} \cap P_{\delta} \quad \text { and } \quad t>0 .
$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that has shown as in [39]. For the sake of completeness we reproduce that proof here.
Recalling Lemma 2.3, it shows that $\mathbf{V}(u) \in \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{1}}\left(N_{b}^{*}, H_{r}^{1}\right)$. Since $N_{b}^{0} \subset N_{b}^{*}$ and $N_{b}^{*}$ be open, so (2.12) admits a unique solution $\eta(t, u) \in N_{b}^{*}$, where $T_{\max }>0$ is the maximal time such that $\eta:\left[0, T_{\max }\right) \times N_{b}^{0} \rightarrow N_{b}^{*} \subset H_{r}^{1}$ for all $t \in$ [ $0, T_{\max }$ ) (since $\mathbf{V}(u)$ is defined only on $N_{b}^{*}$ ). We should prove $T_{\max }=+\infty$ for any $u \in N_{b}^{0}$. Reasoning by contradiction, suppose that there exists some $u_{0} \in N_{b}^{0}$, the flow starting from which the maximal time $T_{\max }<+\infty$. Consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t} \int\left|\eta\left(t, u_{0}\right)\right|^{p+1} & =-(p+1) \int\left|\eta\left(t, u_{0}\right)\right|^{p-1} \eta\left(t, u_{0}\right)\left(\eta\left(t, u_{0}\right)-\mathbf{A}\left(\eta\left(t, u_{0}\right)\right)\right. \\
& =(p+1) c-(p+1) \int\left|\eta\left(t, u_{0}\right)\right|^{p+1}, \quad \forall 0<t<T_{\max }
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\int\left|\eta\left(0, u_{0}\right)\right|^{p+1}=\int\left|u_{0}\right|^{p+1}=c$, we infer that $\int\left|\eta\left(t, u_{\mathbf{0}}\right)\right|^{p+1} \equiv c$ for all $0 \leq t<T_{\max }$. Then $\eta\left(t, u_{\mathbf{0}}\right) \in M \cap N_{b}^{*}=N_{b}$ for all $t \in\left[0, T_{\max }\right)$, hence $\eta\left(T_{\max }, u_{0}\right) \in \partial N_{b}$, and so $I\left(\eta\left(T_{\max }, u_{0}\right)\right) \geq d_{k}$. Since $\eta\left(t, u_{0}\right) \in N_{b}$ for all $t \in\left[0, T_{\max }\right)$, we deduce from Lemma 2.5 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I\left(\eta\left(T_{\max }, u_{0}\right)\right) & =I\left(u_{0}\right)-\int_{0}^{T_{\max }} I^{\prime}\left(\eta\left(t, u_{0}\right)\right)\left[\mathbf{V}\left(\eta\left(t, u_{0}\right)\right)\right] d t \\
& \leq I\left(u_{0}\right)-\int_{0}^{T_{\max }}\left\|\mathbf{V}\left(\eta\left(t, u_{0}\right)\right)\right\|^{2} d t \leq I\left(u_{0}\right)<d_{k},
\end{aligned}
$$

a contradiction. So $T_{\max }=+\infty$, and above inequality shows similarly that $I(\eta(t, u)) \leq I(u)<d_{k}$ for all $t>0$ and $u \in N_{b}^{0}$, hence previous argument shows that $\eta(t, u) \in N_{b}^{0}$ for all $t>0$ and then (1), (2), (3) hold.

Finally, let $\delta_{\mathbf{0}} \in\left(0,\left(\frac{1}{2} c\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}\right)$ be such that Lemma 2.7 holds for $\delta<\delta_{\mathbf{0}}$. For any $u \in N_{b}^{0}$ with $\operatorname{dist}_{p+1}(u, P) \leq \delta<\delta_{0}$, since

$$
\eta(t, u)=u+t \frac{d}{d t} \eta(0, u)+o(t)=(1-t) u+t \mathbf{A}(u)+o(t)
$$

we achieve that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dist}_{p+1}(\eta(t, u), P) & =\operatorname{dist}_{p+1}((1-t) u+t \mathbf{A}(u)+o(t), P) \\
& \leq(1-t) \operatorname{dist}_{p+1}(u, P)+t \operatorname{dist}_{p+1}(\mathbf{A}(u), P)+o(t) \\
& \leq(1-t) \delta+\frac{1}{2} t \delta+o(t)<\delta
\end{aligned}
$$

for $t>0$ small enough. Hence (4) holds.

## 3. PROOF OF THEOROM 1.1

After all the preparations above, now we are in a position to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof. of Theorem 1.1.(Existence part) Take any $k_{1} \in[2, k+1]$ and $\delta \in\left(0, \delta_{0}\right)$, write $d=c_{\delta k_{1}}$ for convenience in this part. We prove that there exists a couple $\left(u_{c}, \lambda_{c}\right)$ with $u_{c}$ changing its sign and $\left|u_{c}\right|_{p+1}^{p+1}=c$ such that $\left(u_{c}, \lambda_{c}\right)$ is a solution to (1.1), that is, $d=c_{\delta k_{1}}$ is a correspondent value of some critical value of $I_{\lambda_{c}}$.

We claim that there exists a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset N_{b}^{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow d, \quad \mathbf{V}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow+\infty, \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{dist}_{p+1}\left(u_{n}, P\right) \geq \delta, \quad \forall n \in \square^{+} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proving this claim by contradiction. Suppose that (3.1) does not hold, recalling that $d<d_{k}$, there exists small $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
\|\mathbf{V}(u)\|^{2} \geq \varepsilon, \quad \forall u \in N_{b}^{0}, \quad|I(u)-d| \leq 2 \varepsilon, \quad \operatorname{dist}_{p+1}(u, P) \geq \delta .
$$

Recalling the definition of $d=c_{\delta k_{1}}$ in (2.11), we see that there exists $B \in \Gamma_{k_{1}}^{0}$ such that

$$
\sup _{u \in B \backslash P_{\delta}} I(u)<d+\varepsilon .
$$

Noting that $B \subset N_{b}^{0}$, we can consider $D=\eta(2, B)$, where $\eta$ is in Lemma 2.11. Hence $D \subset N_{b}^{0}$. Lemma 2.8 (2) and Lemma 2.11 (2) imply that $D \in \Gamma_{k_{1}}$. By Lemma 2.11 (3), we have $\sup _{D} I \leq \sup _{B} I<d_{k}$, that is $D \in \Gamma_{k_{1}}^{0}$ and so $\sup _{D \backslash P_{\delta}} I \geq d$. Let $u_{1} \in D \backslash P_{\delta}$ such that $\sup _{D \backslash P_{\delta}} I-\varepsilon<I\left(u_{1}\right)$, then there exists $u \in B$ such that $\eta(2, u)=u_{1}$ and

$$
d-\varepsilon \leq \sup _{D P_{s}} I-\varepsilon<I\left(u_{1}\right)=I(\eta(2, u)) .
$$

Since $\eta(t, u) \in N_{b}^{0}$ for any $t \geq 0$ and $\eta(2, u)=u_{1} \notin P_{\delta}$, Lemma 2.11 (4) shows that $\eta(t, u) \notin P_{\delta}$ for all $t \in[0,2]$. In particular, $u \notin P_{\delta}$ and so $I(u)<d+\varepsilon$. Hence for all $t \in[0,2]$ we have

$$
d-\varepsilon<I(\eta(2, u)) \leq I(\eta(t, u)) \leq I(u)<d+\varepsilon
$$

Which deduces $\|\mathbf{V}(\eta(t, u))\|^{2} \geq \varepsilon$ and

$$
\frac{d}{d t} I(\eta(t, u))=-I^{\prime}(\eta(t, u))[\mathbf{V}(\eta(t, u))] \leq-\left\|\mathbf{V}\left(\eta\left(t, u_{0}\right)\right)\right\|^{2} \leq-\varepsilon
$$

for every $t \in[0,2]$. Therefore, we arrive at

$$
d-\varepsilon<I(\eta(2, u)) \leq I(u)-\int_{0}^{2} \varepsilon d t<d+\varepsilon-2 \varepsilon=d-\varepsilon
$$

a contradiction. Then (3.1) holds. By Lemma 2.6, up to a subsequence, there exists $u \in N_{b}$ such that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ strongly in $H_{r}^{1}$ and $\mathbf{V}(u)=0, I(u)=d=c_{\delta k_{1}}$. Since $\mathbf{V}(u)=u-\mathbf{A}(u)=0$, that is $u=\mathbf{A}(u)$, hence $u$ satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u+u+\phi_{u} u=\sigma|u|^{p-1} u \\
\int|u|^{p+1}=c
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since $\operatorname{dist}_{p+1}(u, P) \geq \delta$, we know that $u \notin P_{\delta}$, hence $u$ is sign-changing. Let

$$
\lambda_{c}=\sigma=\frac{\|u\|^{2}+\int \phi_{u}|u|^{2}}{c}, \quad u_{c}=u
$$

We see that $\left(u_{c}, \lambda_{c}\right)$ solves the problem ( $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ). In a word, for any $k_{1} \in[2, k+1]$, every $c_{\delta k_{1}}$ corresponds to a critical value of $I_{\lambda}$ such that $I_{\lambda_{c}}\left(u_{c}\right)=c_{\delta k_{1}}+\frac{c}{p+1}\left(1-\lambda_{c}\right)$ for some couple $\left(u_{c}, \lambda_{c}\right)$ which solves the problem ( $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ).
(Multiplicity part) We prove that system (1.1) has infinitely many sign-changing normalized solutions. Reasoning by
contradiction, suppose that there exists $n_{0} \in \square^{+}$such that system (1.1) has only $n_{0}$ such solutions. Take $k \geq n_{0}+1$ fixed and $\delta \in\left(0, \delta_{0}\right)$, since $\Gamma_{k_{1}+1}^{0} \subset \Gamma_{k_{1}}^{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\delta 2} \leq c_{\delta 3} \leq \cdots \leq c_{\delta k} \leq c_{\delta(k+1)}<d_{k} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $c_{\delta k_{1}}$ are correspondent values of critical values of $I_{\lambda}$ for all $k_{1} \in[2, k+1]$ with some couple $\left(u_{c}, \lambda_{c}\right)$. We show that for any two different minimax values $c_{\delta k_{1}}$, the corresponding couples $\left(u_{c}, \lambda_{c}\right)$ are different. Set $d_{1} \neq d_{2}$ are two such values, $d_{i}$ corresponds to the couple $\left(u_{i}, \lambda_{i}\right)$. If $I_{\lambda_{1}}\left(u_{1}\right) \neq I_{\lambda_{2}}\left(u_{2}\right)$, then $\left(u_{1}, \lambda_{1}\right) \neq\left(u_{2}, \lambda_{2}\right)$ obviously. If $I_{\lambda_{1}}\left(u_{1}\right)=I_{\lambda_{2}}\left(u_{2}\right)$, since $I_{\lambda_{i}}\left(u_{i}\right)=d_{i}+\frac{c}{p+1}\left(1-\lambda_{i}\right)$, one has $\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}=\frac{p+1}{c}\left(d_{2}-d_{1}\right) \neq 0$, then $\lambda_{1} \neq \lambda_{2}$ and so $u_{1} \neq u_{2}$, hence $\left(u_{1}, \lambda_{1}\right) \neq\left(u_{2}, \lambda_{2}\right)$. Therefore, there certainly exists some $2 \leq N_{1} \leq k$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\delta N_{1}}=c_{\delta\left(N_{1}+1\right)}=\bar{c}<d_{k} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{K}=\left\{u \in N_{b}: u \text { is sign-changing, } I(u)=\bar{c} \text { and } \quad \mathbf{V}(u)=0\right\} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\boldsymbol{K}$ is finite and symmetric, that is, $\boldsymbol{K} \in \boldsymbol{F}$. Then there exists $k_{0} \leq k-1$ and $\left\{u_{m}: 1 \leq m \leq k_{0}\right\} \subset \boldsymbol{K}$ such that

$$
\boldsymbol{K}=\left\{u_{m},-u_{m}: 1 \leq m \leq k_{0}\right\} .
$$

Taking $\boldsymbol{O}_{u_{m}}$ be open neighborhoods of $u_{m}$ in $H$, such that any two of $\overline{\boldsymbol{O}_{u_{m}}}$ and $-\overline{\boldsymbol{O}_{u_{m}}}$, where $1 \leq m \leq k_{0}$, are disjoint and

$$
\boldsymbol{K} \subset \boldsymbol{O}=\bigcup_{m=1}^{k_{0}} \overline{\boldsymbol{O}_{u_{m}}} \cup-\overline{\boldsymbol{O}_{u_{m}}} .
$$

Define a continuous map $\tilde{f}: \boldsymbol{O} \rightarrow \square \backslash\{0\}$ by

$$
\tilde{f}(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{rll}
1, & \text { if } & u \in \bigcup_{m=1}^{k_{0}} \overline{\boldsymbol{O}_{u_{m}}}, \\
-1, & \text { if } & u \in \bigcup_{m=1}^{k_{0}}-\overline{\boldsymbol{O}_{u_{m}}} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $\tilde{f}(-u)=-\tilde{f}(u)$. Then by Tietze's extension theorem, there exists $f \in \boldsymbol{C}(H, \square)$ such that $\left.f\right|_{o} \equiv \tilde{f}$. Define

$$
F(u)=\frac{f(u)-f(-u)}{2}
$$

then $\left.F\right|_{o} \equiv \tilde{f}$ and, is odd on $H$. Define

$$
\boldsymbol{K}_{\tau}=\left\{u \in N_{b}: \inf _{v \in K}\|u-v\|<\tau\right\} .
$$

Take $\tau>0$ small such that $\boldsymbol{K}_{2 \tau} \subset \boldsymbol{O}$. Recalling $\mathbf{V}(u)=0$ in $\boldsymbol{K}$ and $\boldsymbol{K}$ is finite, there exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mathbf{V}(u)\| \leq C, \quad \forall u \in \overline{\boldsymbol{K}_{2 \tau}} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.4) and Lemma 2.6, it is easy to see that there exists small $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \frac{d_{k}-\bar{c}}{2}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mathbf{V}(u)\|^{2} \geq \varepsilon, \quad \forall u \in N_{b} \backslash\left(\boldsymbol{K}_{\tau} \cup P_{\delta}\right) \text { satisfying } \quad|I(u)-d| \leq 2 \varepsilon . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\alpha=\frac{1}{2} \min \left\{1, \frac{\tau}{3 C}\right\}$. Then we can take $B \in \Gamma_{N_{1}+1}^{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{B \backslash P_{\delta}} I<c_{\delta\left(N_{1}+1\right)}+\alpha \varepsilon=\bar{c}+\alpha \varepsilon . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $D=B \backslash \boldsymbol{K}_{2 \tau}$, then $D \in \boldsymbol{F}$. We claim that $\gamma(D) \geq N_{1}$. Otherwise, then there exists $\tilde{g} \in F_{N_{1}}(D)$ such that for any $u \in D, \tilde{g} \neq 0$. By Tietze's extension theorem, we get a map $\bar{g} \in \boldsymbol{C}\left(H, \square^{N_{1}-1}\right)$ such that $\left.\bar{g}\right|_{D} \equiv \tilde{g}$. Define

$$
g(u)=\frac{\bar{g}(u)-\bar{g}(-u)}{2}, \quad \forall u \in H,
$$

then $\left.g\right|_{D} \equiv \tilde{g}$ and is odd. Let $G(u)=(g(u), F(u))$ for $u \in B$, then $G \in \boldsymbol{C}\left(H, \square^{N_{1}-1+1}\right)$ and is odd. Hence $G \in F_{N_{1}+1}(B)$. Since $B \in \Gamma_{N_{1}+1}$, so $G(u)=(g(u), F(u))=0$ for some $u \in B$. If $u \in \boldsymbol{K}_{2 \tau} \subset \boldsymbol{O}$, then $F(u)=0$, a contradiction. So $u \in B \backslash \boldsymbol{K}_{2 \tau}=D$, and then $0=g(u)=\tilde{g}(u) \neq 0$, also a contradiction. Hence $\gamma(D) \geq N_{1}$, that is, $D \in \Gamma_{N_{1}}$. Note that $D \subset B \subset N_{b}$ and $B \in \Gamma_{N_{1}+1}^{0}$, then $\sup _{D} I \leq \sup _{B} I<d_{k}$, we obtain that $D \subset N_{b}^{0}$ and $D \in \Gamma_{N_{1}}^{0}$. We consider $E=$ $\eta\left(\frac{\tau}{3 C}, D\right)$. As previous proof in existence part, we have $E \in \Gamma_{N_{1}}^{0}$, hence $\sup _{E \backslash P_{\delta}} I \geq c_{\delta N_{1}}=\bar{c}$. On the other hand, there exists $u_{1} \in E \backslash P_{\delta}$ such that $\sup _{E \backslash P_{\delta}} I-\alpha \varepsilon<I\left(u_{1}\right)$, hence there exists $u \in D$ such that $\eta\left(\frac{\tau}{3 C}, u\right)=u_{1}$ and then, we have

$$
\bar{c}-\alpha \varepsilon \leq \sup _{E \backslash P_{\delta}} I-\alpha \varepsilon<I\left(u_{1}\right)=I\left(\eta\left(\frac{\tau}{3 C}, u\right)\right)
$$

Since $\eta(t, u) \in N_{b}^{0}$ for all $t \geq 0$ and $\eta\left(\frac{\tau}{3 C}, u\right)=u_{1} \notin P_{\delta}$, we have $\eta(t, u) \notin P_{\delta}$ for all $t \in\left[0, \frac{\tau}{3 C}\right]$. In particular, $u \notin P_{\delta}$ and so $I(u)<\bar{c}+\alpha \varepsilon$ by (3.7), since $u \in D=B \backslash \boldsymbol{K}_{2 \tau} \subset B$. Then for any $t \in\left[0, \frac{\tau}{3 C}\right]$, we have

$$
\bar{c}-\alpha \varepsilon<I\left(\eta\left(\frac{\tau}{3 C}, u\right)\right) \leq I(\eta(t, u)) \leq I(u)<\bar{c}+\alpha \varepsilon .
$$

In order to use (3.6), we need to show that $\eta(t, u) \notin \boldsymbol{K}_{\tau}$ for all $t \in\left[0, \frac{\tau}{3 C}\right]$. If there exists $T \in\left[0, \frac{\tau}{3 C}\right]$ such that $\eta(T, u) \in \boldsymbol{K}_{\tau}$, then there exist $0 \leq t_{1}<t_{2} \leq T$ such that $\eta\left(t_{1}, u\right) \in \partial \boldsymbol{K}_{2 \tau}, \eta\left(t_{2}, u\right) \in \partial \boldsymbol{K}_{\tau}$, and $\eta(t, u) \in \boldsymbol{K}_{2 \tau} \backslash \boldsymbol{K}_{\tau}$ for $t \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$. So we see from (3.5) that

$$
\tau \leq\left\|\eta\left(t_{1}, u\right)-\eta\left(t_{2}, u\right)\right\|=\| \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \mathbf{V}\left(\eta(t, u) d t \| \leq 2 C\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)\right.
$$

that is, $\frac{\tau}{2 C} \leq t_{2}-t_{1} \leq T \leq \frac{\tau}{3 C}$, a contradiction. Hence $\eta(t, u) \notin \boldsymbol{K}_{\tau}$ for all $t \in\left[0, \frac{\tau}{3 C}\right]$, hence $\|\mathbf{V}(\eta(t, u))\|^{2} \geq \varepsilon$ and, we achieve that

$$
\bar{c}-\alpha \varepsilon<I\left(\eta\left(\frac{\tau}{3 C}, u\right)\right) \leq I(u)-\int_{0}^{\frac{\tau}{3 C}} \varepsilon d t<\bar{c}+\alpha \varepsilon-2 \alpha \varepsilon=\bar{c}-\alpha \varepsilon,
$$

a contradiction. Hence we have infinitely many different values of $c_{\delta(k+1)}$. This completes the proof.

## 4. PROOF OF THEOROM 1.2

## Proof. of Theorem 1.2. Define

$$
\boldsymbol{K}_{c}=\left\{\left(u_{c}, \lambda_{c}\right):\left(u_{c}, \lambda_{c}\right) \text { solves the problem }\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \text { with } u_{c} \text { sign-changing }\right\} .
$$

Then $\boldsymbol{K}_{c} \neq \varnothing$. Let $d=\inf _{\left(u_{c}, \lambda_{c}\right) \in \boldsymbol{K}_{c}} I_{\lambda}(u)$. Then $d$ is well defined since $I_{\lambda}(u) \geq \frac{1}{4}\|u\|^{2}$ for $u \in \boldsymbol{N}_{\lambda}$, the Nehari manifold defined as $N_{\lambda}=\left\{(u, \lambda) \in H \backslash\{0\} \times \square^{+}: I_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)[u]=0\right\}$. Take $k=1$ in Section 3, (1.1) has a couple $\left(u_{c}, \lambda_{c}\right)$ with $I_{\lambda_{c}}\left(u_{c}\right)=c_{\delta 2}<d_{1}$ solving the problem $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$. Hence $d<d_{1}$. Let $\left(u_{c}^{n}, \lambda_{c}^{n}\right)=\left(u_{n}, \lambda_{n}\right) \in \boldsymbol{K}_{c}$ be a minimizing sequence of $d$ with $I_{\lambda_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right)<d_{1}$ for all $n \geq 1$, then $\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq 4 I_{\lambda_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right)<4 d_{1}$, that is, $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is a bounded sequence. Since $\left(u_{n}, \lambda_{n}\right)$ solves $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$, we have $I_{\lambda_{n}}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)=0$ and $\lambda_{n}=\frac{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+\int \phi_{u_{n}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2}}{c}<c_{0}$, then $\lambda_{n}$ has a convergent subsequence which
still likewise labeled as $\lambda_{n}$ and set $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow \lambda_{c}=\lambda$. Recalling the Sobolev inequality $S\left|u_{n}\right|_{p+1}^{2} \leq\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}$ and $\left(u_{n}, \lambda_{n}\right) \in \boldsymbol{K}_{c}$, we deduce that $\inf \left\{\lambda_{n}, \lambda\right\} \geq S c^{\frac{p-1}{p+1}}>0$. Then we have

$$
I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)[v]=\int \nabla u_{n} \nabla v+u_{n} v+\phi_{u_{n}} u_{n} v-\lambda\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-1} u_{n} v
$$

and

$$
I_{\lambda_{n}}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)[v]=0=\int \nabla u_{n} \nabla v+u_{n} v+\phi_{u_{n}} u_{n} v-\lambda_{n}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-1} u_{n} v .
$$

Then we evaluate that

$$
I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)[v]=\left(\lambda_{n}-\lambda\right) \int\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-1} u_{n} v
$$

which implies that

$$
\left|I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)[v]\right| \leq\left.\left|\lambda_{n}-\lambda\right| \quad\left|\int\right| u_{n}\right|^{p-1} u_{n} v \mid .
$$

Recalling the Sobolev inequality $S|v|_{p+1}^{2} \unlhd\|v\|^{2}$ again, we deduce that

$$
\left|I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)[v]\right| \leq c_{1}\left|\lambda_{n}-\lambda\right| \quad\left|u_{n}\right|_{p+1}^{p}| | v \|,
$$

that is, $\left|I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right| \leq c_{1}\left|\lambda_{n}-\lambda\right|$ which implies that $I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Hence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is a PS sequence of $I_{\lambda}$, and the fact that the PS condition is valid for $p \in[3,5)$ and for any $\lambda>0$ has been inferred in [6]. Then $u_{n}$ has a strongly convergent subsequence which still likewise labeled as $u_{n}$. Suppose $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ strongly in $H$ with $|u|_{p+1}^{p+1}=c$, then $I_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)=0$ and $I_{\lambda}(u)=d$. We need to show $u$ changing sign. Recalling the Sobolev inequality $S|u|_{p+1}^{2} \leq\|u\|^{2}$, we deduce from $I_{\lambda_{n}}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\left[u_{n}^{ \pm}\right]=0$ that

$$
S\left|u_{n}^{ \pm}\right|_{p+1}^{2} \leq\left\|u_{n}^{ \pm}\right\|^{2}=\lambda_{n}\left|u_{n}^{ \pm}\right|_{p+1}^{p+1}-\int \phi_{u_{n}}\left|u_{n}^{ \pm}\right|^{2} \leq c_{0}\left|u_{n}^{ \pm}\right|_{p+1}^{p+1}
$$

which implies that $\left|u_{n}^{ \pm}\right|_{p+1} \geq\left(\frac{S}{c_{0}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}=c_{1}>0$ for all $n \geq 1$. Hence $\left|u^{ \pm}\right|_{p+1} \geq c_{1}$ and so $(u, \lambda) \in \boldsymbol{K}_{c}$. This completes the proof.
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