ISSN: 2347-3487

# Performance Study of Some Reverse Osmosis Systems for Removal of Uranium and Total Dissolved Solids in Underground Waters of Punjab State, India

Nisha Sharma, Jaspal Singh and Barjinder Kaur
Department of Physics, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar-143005, India.
E-mail: nisha584n@gmail.com

## ABSTRACT

Radionuclides (uranium, thorium, radium, radon gas etc.) are found naturally in air, water, soil and rock. Everyday, we ingest and inhale these radionuclides through the air we breathe and through food and water we take. Out of the internal exposure via ingestion of radionuclides, water contributes the major portion. The natural radioactivity of water is due to the activity transfer from bed rock and soils. In our surveys carried out in the past few years, we have observed high concentrations of uranium and total dissolved solids (TDS) in drinking waters of some southern parts of Punjab State exceeding the safe limits recommended by national and international agencies. The main drinking water source is the underground water procured from different depths. Due to the highly saline taste, disorders in their digestive systems and other ailments, people are installing reverse osmosis (RO) systems in their houses. Some RO systems have been installed on commercial basis. The state government is also in the process of installing community RO systems at the village level. As high values of uranium are also undesired and may pose health hazards due to radioactivity and toxicity of uranium, we have conducted a survey in the field to study the performance of various RO systems for removal of uranium and TDS. Water samples from about forty RO systems from Faridkot, Mansa, Bathinda and Amritsar districts of Punjab State were collected and analyzed. Our results show that some RO systems are able to remove more than 99% of uranium in the underground waters used for drinking purposes. TDS values are also reduced considerably to the desired levels. So RO systems can be used to avoid the risk of unduly health problems posed by high concentrations of uranium and TDS in drinking water.

## Keywords

Reverse Osmosis; Uranium, Total Dissolved Solids; Water; Punjab, India.

## SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION

Enviornmental Sciences

Council for Innovative Research

Peer Review Research Publishing System

**Journal:** Journal of Advances in Physics

Vol 4, No. 2

editor@cirjap.com, japeditor@gmail.com

www.cirjap.com

ISSN: 2347-3487

## INTRODUCTION

Water plays an important role in our life. It is indispensable and one of the precious natural resources of this planet. Excess or lack of minerals in water can hamper the normal functioning of the body organs and can give rise to various illnesses. It is also needed for the maintenance of proper body temperature. So pure water that contains all the essential minerals within the limits prescribed by various agencies should be consumed. Most of the sources of drinking water like hand pumps, tubewells, rivers etc are highly contaminated by the addition of undesirable substances which have rendered it unfit for consumption. Reverse Osmosis (RO) is one of the most popular methods for purifying the polluted water for drinking purposes. A significant advantage of RO over traditional water treatment technologies is that it also reduces the concentration of other ionic contaminants as well as dissolved organic compounds. A rejection of contaminant removal is a function of membrane type and pore size. [1-6]

Total dissolved solids (TDS) is the term used to describe the inorganic salts and small amounts of organic matter present in water. The total dissolved solids also measures the total amount of dissolved minerals in water. Concentrations of TDS from natural water sources have been found to vary from less than 30 mg/l to as much as 6000 mg/l, which strictly depends upon the solubilities of minerals in different geological regions [7]. Bureau of Indian Standards [8], Health Canada [9] and Environmental Protection Agency of USA [10] limits the amount of dissolved solids as 500mg/l for drinking water while WHO [11] has set a limit of 600mg/l.

Apart from chemical toxic substances, radioactive elements may also be present in the drinking water which may also cause health hazards. One of such elements is the uranium that we have analysed in our present study. Due to its chemical toxicity and radioactive nature, high intakes of uranium through food and water can lead to harmful effects on the body. Animal studies, as well as studies of occupationally exposed persons, have shown that the major health effect of uranium is chemical kidney toxicity [12,13,14,15]. Both functional and histologic damage to the proximal tubulus has been demonstrated [16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22]. In a study carried out by Zamora et al. [23] on humans it is found that uranium intakes of 0.004µg/Kg to 9µg/Kg body weight, chronic ingestion of uranium in drinking water affects kidney function. Radio-toxicity also arises from irradiation of bone surfaces and red bone marrow by alpha particles emitted by uranium.

Most uranium from drinking water is eliminated from the body. However, a small amount is absorbed and carried through the blood streams. Once in the bloodstream, the uranium compounds are filtered by the kidneys, where they can cause damage to the kidney cells. The uranium concentration in most surface and near surface waters is highly variable, ranging from less than 0.1ppb(µg/l) to more than 1000ppb [24]. In natural waters, however, uranium concentrations greater than 100ppb are quite rare, and have generally been found only in acquifers containing uranium mineralization. The World Health Organization [25] has given a TDI (Tolerable Daily Intake values) of 30µg/l for uranium in drinking water above which it may not be safe.

In our recent survey we have found that in some water samples of the Malwa region of Punjab (Bathinda, Mansa and Faridkot districts), the concentration of uranium and TDS is very high, which is anomalous in itself. Also the uranium content in the hair samples of mentally retarted children is high [26]. Therefore, drinking water seems to be giving a high dose of internal radiation to the public. RO systems are being installed by the state government in the villages of these districts for providing safe drinking water to the public. The water supply to the RO systems is the local underground water. Also due to awareness in the public, nowadays, people are also using water purifiers in their houses. There are two types of purifiers available in the market, simple purifiers and the systems based on RO (Reverse Osmosis) membranes. In the present study we have investigated the performance of RO systems for the removal of uranium and TDS from drinking water.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Sample Collection

In order to study the performance of RO (Reverse Osmosis) systems we have collected some water samples from Faridkot, Bathinda and Mansa districts of Punjab State. We have also collected water samples from Amritsar district for the comparative study of uranium, TDS and their removal by RO systems in water samples. The RO systems belong to different brands. The water samples were collected in 1L-size plastic containers which have been previously washed and rinsed with nitric acid. In total, we have studied 40 RO systems. Two water samples were collected from each RO system, the feed water and the purified water. The feed water samples were collected after at least 5-10 min of pumping to evacuate more than 3-5 times of the borehole storage volume [27, 28]. The collected samples were acidified with nitric acid to avoid adsorption of the radionuclides on the walls of the container.

### Experimental Procedure

#### *TDS Measurements*

The TDS in water samples was measured with TDS meter. TDS meters are, in reality, conductivity meters. They work by applying a voltage between two or more electrodes. Positively charged ions (e.g., sodium, $Na^{+}$; calcium, $Ca^{++}$; magnesium, $Mg^{++}$; hydrogen ion, $H^{+}$; etc.) will move toward the negatively charged electrode, and negatively charged ions (e.g., chloride, $Cl^{-}$; sulfate, $SO_4^{--}$; bicarbonate, $HCO_3^{-}$; etc.) will move toward the positively charged electrode. Because these ions are charged and on moving, they constitute an electrical current, the meter then monitors how much current is passing between the electrodes as a gauge of how many ions are in solution.

ISSN: 2347-3487

### *Uranium Estimation*

Uranium content in water samples of Amritsar, Faridkot, Bathinda and Mansa was measured by laser fluorimeter. The laser fluorimeter is an instrument for detection of trace quantities of uranium present in aqueous samples such as water. The instrument works on the principle of detection of fluorescence of uranium complexes in the sample. Under UV excitation uranium salts emit green luminescence, which can be measured by a sensitive PMT. Since the fluorescence yield is proportional to the intensity of excitation source and concentration of uranium in the sample, measurement of fluorescence give information about concentration of uranium in the sample. Since the fluorescence yield varies for different complexes of uranium an inorganic reagent (Sodium Pyrophosphate) is used to convert various complexes into single form having same fluorescence yield.

The water samples generally contain other impurities also. Most of the organic species present in natural water fluoresce mainly in blue region when excited by nitrogen laser. This fluorescence is blocked partially by using a long pass filter allowing light only above 450nm. However, this does not completely eliminate the fluorescence from organic matter. Under pulsed excitation, the fluorescence from the organic matter lasts only for few tens of nanosecond, whereas the uranium salts emit fluorescence for few tens of microsecond. By proper time gating of PMT, the remaining fluorescence from organic matter is completely eliminated.

### *Reverse Osmosis*

Reverse Osmosis works by using a high pressure pump to increase the pressure on the salt side of the RO and force the water across the semi-permeable RO membrane, leaving almost all (around 95% to 99%) of dissolved salts behind in the reject stream. The amount of pressure required depends on the salt concentration of the feed water. The more concentrated the feed water, the more pressure is required to overcome the osmotic pressure. The diagram showing the working of an RO system is shown in Fig 1. The desalinated water that is demineralized or deionized, is called permeate (or product) water. The water stream that carries the concentrated contaminants that did not pass through the RO membrane is called the reject (or concentrate) stream. In general, reverse osmosis system works in 5 stages, as discussed in Table 1 and shown in Fig. 2.




**Figure 1 Mechanism of Reverse Osmosis**


**Figure 2 A Sketch showing the different stages of an RO System**

ISSN: 2347-3487

**Table 1 The five stages of a RO system**

| Stage | Component | Function |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | Pre-filter, Spun-bounded polypropylene | Removes suspended impurities like particles of rust and dust |
| 2. | Pre.-Carbon Filter, Silver impregnated Granular activated carbon | Removes colour, odour, free chlorine and absorbs organics & pesticides |
| 3. | Sediment Filter, Spun PP Cartridge | Acts as a final filter to remove smaller contaminants & remaining particles Reduces fine turbidity |
| 4. | Reverse Osmosis Membrane Thin Film Composite (TFC) ~ 0.0001 micron | Removes TDS, Hardness, Fluoride, Pesticides, Heavy Metals like Lead, Mercury, Cadmium, Uranium and Arsenic etc. Removes micro-organisms like Bacteria, Viruses and Protozoan Cysts |
| 5. | Post Carbon Filter, Silver impregnated fine granular activated carbon | Imparts bacteriostatic property and helps in reviving the original taste of water |

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### TDS Removal Study

The results of our present study for Bathinda and Faridkot districts are presented in Table 2, for Mansa district are presented in Table 3 and that for Amritsar district are presented in Table 4. The TDS values in the feed water samples vary from 316mg/l to 3480mg/l. According to Environmental Protection Agency of United States (USEPA), Bureau of Indian Standards (BIS) and Health Canada specifications, TDS upto 500mg/l is highest desirable for drinking water purposes [8, 9 10]. Based on this guideline of TDS, 70% of the samples have TDS values above the prescribed limit in the feed water. WHO [11] has recommended the safe limit of 600mg/l, while there is no strict adherence on desired TDS value of drinking water. Taking into consideration this limit, 62.5 % of the water samples has TDS value above 600mg/l. The TDS values in the RO treated water is observed in the range of 10 mg/l to 478mg/l which are well within its prescribed limits. In case of Faridkot and Bathinda districts the TDS values in feed water vary from 656mg/l to 1650mg/l and in the treated water from 21mg/l to 84mg/l. About 87.57% to 97.01% of the TDS is removed by the RO systems. For Mansa the values of TDS vary from 1250mg/l to 3480mg/l in the feed water and from 56.1mg/l to 478mg/l in the treated water means about 62.36% to 96.49% of TDS is removed by RO systems. In case of Amritsar the values of TDS vary from 316mg/l to 890mg/l in the feed water and from 10mg/l to 126mg/l in the treated water that is about 76.31% to 97.48% of TDS is removed by RO systems. A comparison of TDS in the feed water and RO treated water as a bar diagram is shown in Figs. 3, 4 & 5.

### Uranium Removal Study

Uranium concentration in feed water samples ranged from 7.0µg/l to 819µg/l. From the tables, we see that 57.5% of the total water samples have uranium concentration higher than 30µg/l, the Maximum Contamination Level (MCL) as recommended by World Health Organization [25] and 55% of the total water samples have uranium concentration higher than 60µg/l, the MCL as recommended by India´s Atomic Energy Regulatory Board [29]. The RO treated water has a minimum uranium concentration from ≤ 0.01µg/l (Below Detection Limit) to a maximum value of 23.6µg/l, which are well within the safe limits. In case of Faridkot and Bathinda districts the uranium concentration in the feed water ranges from 49.50µg/l to 405.3µg/l and in the treated water it varies from 0.58 µg/l to 5.16 µg/l that is about 93.70% to 99.81% of the uranium is removed. For Mansa district the uranium concentration in the feed water is observed in the range of 61.5µg/l to 819µg/l and in the treated water is measured in the range ≤ 0.01µg/l to 23.6 µg/l that means 94.72% to 100% uranium is removed by the RO systems. In case of Amritsar district the uranium concentration in the feed water is observed in the range of 7µg/l to 25.9µg/l and in the treated water it is in the range ≤0.01µg/l to 4.5µg/l that means about 71.33% to 100% uranium is removed by the RO systems. Bar diagrams (Figs. 6, 7 & 8) gives the comparison of uranium concentration in the feed water and the RO treated water.

Also, from the present study we have found that the uranium concentration and TDS values in the water samples collected from Amritsar district are much lower than that of the water samples collected from Faridkot, Bathinda and Mansa districts.

ISSN: 2347-3487

**Table 2 TDS and uranium removal study by RO systems in drinking water samples of Faridkot and Bathinda Districts of Punjab State, India.**

| S. No. | RO System | TDS (mg/L) | | | Uranium Concentration (µg/L) | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Feed Water | Treated Water | Percentage Removal | Feed Water | Treated Water | Percentage Removal |
| 1 | WBR-LA | 703 | 21 | 97.01 | 64.30 | 0.58 | 99.09 |
| 2 | WBR-VS1 | 656 | 61 | 90.70 | 49.50 | 3.10 | 93.70 |
| 3 | WBR-KM | 1210 | 72 | 94.04 | 73.03 | 3.10 | 95.75 |
| 4 | WBR-AF1 | 1137 | 66 | 94.19 | 235.0 | 1.00 | 99.57 |
| 5 | WBR-VS2 | 721 | 26 | 96.39 | 85.80 | 0.60 | 99.30 |
| 6 | WBR-AA | 963 | 70 | 92.73 | 194.33 | 5.16 | 97.31 |
| 7 | WBR-VS3 | 1650 | 57 | 96.54 | 405.3 | 0.77 | 99.81 |
| 8 | WBR-ZR | 676 | 84 | 87.57 | 153.33 | 0.65 | 99.60 |

**Table 3 TDS and uranium removal study by RO systems in drinking water samples of Mansa District of Punjab state, India.**

| S. No. | RO System | TDS (mg/L) | | | Uranium Concentration (µg/L) | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Feed Water | Treated Water | Percentage Removal | Feed Water | Treated Water | Percentage Removal |
| 1 | MAW-VS4 | 3480 | 272 | 92.18 | 819 | 5.81 | 99.29 |
| 2 | MAW-VS5 | 1250 | 115 | 90.84 | 289 | BDL* | ~100 |
| 3 | MAW-MXP | 3150 | 213 | 93.24 | 480 | BDL | ~100 |
| 4 | MAW-SLT | 1268 | 468 | 63.09 | 135 | 0.94 | 99.30 |
| 5 | MAW-AQG | 1916 | 233 | 87.84 | 117.5 | BDL | ~100 |
| 6 | MAW-MRP | 1773 | 319 | 82.01 | 93 | 3.12 | 96.64 |
| 7 | MAW-KLS | 2320 | 398 | 82.84 | 64 | BDL | ~100 |
| 8 | MAW-EXL | 2900 | 102 | 96.49 | 98.8 | 1.80 | 98.18 |
| 9 | MAW-VS6 | 1721 | 470 | 72.69 | 117 | 0.81 | 99.30 |
| 10 | MAW-VS7 | 2810 | 291 | 89.64 | 638 | 5.28 | 99.18 |
| 11 | MAW-PR1 | 1894 | 266 | 85.96 | 119 | 6.28 | 94.72 |
| 12 | MAW-VS8 | 1375 | 128 | 90.68 | 719 | 23.6 | 96.70 |
| 13 | MAW-VS9 | 1270 | 478 | 62.36 | 412 | 3.29 | 99.20 |
| 14 | MAW-AF2 | 1349 | 56 | 95.84 | 61.5 | BDL | ~100 |
| 15 | MAW-PR2 | 2320 | 181 | 92.18 | 360 | BDL | ~100 |

*Below Detection LImit

ISSN: 2347-3487

**Table 4 TDS and uranium removal study by RO systems of drinking water samples in Amritsar District of Punjab State, India.**

| S. No. | RO System | TDS (mg/L) | | | Uranium Concentration (µg/L) | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Feed Water | Treated water | Percentage Removal | Feed Water | Treated Water | Percentage Removal |
| 1 | FR-PR | 476 | 34 | 92.86 | 19.45 | 0.2 | 98.90 |
| 2 | FR-AGR | 505 | 19 | 96.23 | 20.9 | 3.0 | 85.65 |
| 3 | FR-WV | 677 | 25 | 96.31 | 10.5 | 2.87 | 72.66 |
| 4 | FR-DL | 890 | 62 | 93.03 | 15.7 | 0.08 | 99.49 |
| 5 | FR-OP | 316 | 29 | 90.82 | 9.53 | 0.62 | 93.49 |
| 6 | FR-AGU | 508 | 35 | 93.11 | 14.5 | 0.04 | 99.71 |
| 7 | ASR-AGR1 | 322 | 19 | 94.09 | 11.43 | 0.16 | 98.60 |
| 8 | ASR-AGR2 | 360 | 24 | 93.33 | 25.9 | BDL | ~100 |
| 9 | ASR-ZR | 360 | 16 | 95.55 | 25.9 | BDL | ~100 |
| 10 | ASR-WG | 340 | 18 | 94.70 | 8.08 | BDL | ~100 |
| 11 | ASR-AGU1 | 340 | 20 | 94.11 | 8.08 | BDL | ~100 |
| 12 | ASR-AGU2 | 398 | 10 | 97.48 | 17.5 | BDL | ~100 |
| 13 | ASR-KRS | 410 | 14 | 96.58 | 12.8 | BDL | ~100 |
| 14 | ASR-AGR3 | 384 | 19 | 95.05 | 15.2 | BDL | ~100 |
| 15 | ASR-KNT | 532 | 126 | 76.31 | 15.7 | 4.5 | 71.33 |
| 16 | ASR-KMF | 372 | 18 | 95.16 | 7.0 | BDL | ~100 |
| 17 | ASR-AGU3 | 424 | 46 | 89.15 | 7.69 | 1.6 | 79.19 |




**Figure 3 A comparison of the TDS values in the feed water and the RO purified water of Bathinda and Faridkot districts of Punjab state, India.**

ISSN: 2347-3487




**Figure 4 A comparison of the TDS values in the feed water and the RO purified water of Mansa district of Punjab state, India.**




**Figure 5 A comparison of TDS values in the feed water and the RO purified water of Amritsar district of Punjab state, India.**

ISSN: 2347-3487




**Figure 6 A comparison of the uranium concentration in the feed water and the RO purified water of Bathinda and Faridkot districts of Punjab state, India**




**Figure 7 A comparison of the uranium concentration in the feed water and the RO purified water of Mansa districts of Punjab state, India.**




**Figure 8 A comparison of uranium concentration in the feed water and the RO purified water of Amritsar district of Punjab state, India**

## CONCLUSIONS

The RO systems can considerably reduce the total dissolved solids (TDS) and uranium concentration in drinking water. Some of the RO systems are able to remove TDS by 97% and uranium by nearly 100%. TDS is an important indicator for predicting the essential mineral content in RO treated water. RO system removes the TDS value very effectively. As some of the untreated water samples are having high uranium content, it must be considered anomalous in terms of natural radioactivity. Uranium being a radioactive and chemically toxic element, such water is unsafe for drinking purposes.

As we have observed that reverse osmosis (RO) technique removes almost all the uranium from source water, it fulfils the purpose of our study. Hence, RO systems installed in the individual houses of the people and the RO systems installed by the state government that we have studied in the present investigations are working well. So, it is concluded that in the areas where water has high TDS and uranium concentrations and is not suitable for drinking purposes, water purification is a necessity and RO systems can play an important role.

## REFERENCES

[1] Bodzek, M. and Koonieczny, K. (2005) 'Using membrane processes in water purification, Scientific Publishing Projpezem-EKO. Bydgozcz, pp. 65 -197 (in Polish).

[2] OH, J. I., Yamamoto, K., Kitawaki, H., Nakao, S., Sugawara, T., Rahman, M. M. and Rahman, M. H. (2000) 'Application of low pressure nanofiltration coupled with bicycle pump for the treatement of arsenic- contamioned ground water', *Desalination,* vol. 132. pp. 307.

[3] Seidel, A., Waypa, J. J. and Elimelech, M. (2001) 'Role of charge (Donnan) exclusion in removal of arsenic from water by a negatively charge porous nanofiltration membrane', *Enviorn. Eng. Sci.* vol. 18, pp. 105.

[4] Brandhuber, P. and Amy, G. (1998) 'Alternative method for membrane filtration of arsenic from drinking water', *Desalination,* vol.117, pp. 1.

[5] VAN der Burggen, B., and Vandecasteele, C. (2003) 'Removal of pollutants from surface water and groundwater by nanofiltration: overview of possible applications in the drinking water industry' *Environ. Poll*. Vol. 122, pp. 435.

[6] Waypa, J. J., Elimelech, M. and Hering, J. G. (1997) 'Arsenic removal by RO and NF membranes'. *J. Am. Water Works Asoc*. Vol. 89, pp.102.

[7] WHO, (2003) 'TDS in drinking water' Background document for development of WHO guidelines for drinking water quality',WHO/SDE/WSH/03.04/16, World Health Organization, Geneva.

[8] BIS, (2009) 'Drinking Water-Specification' Doc: FAD25(2047) C, ICS No. 13.060.20, Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi., 2009.

[9] Health Canada, (2010) 'Guidelines for Canadian Drinking water quality-Summary Table'.

[10] USEPA, (2011), '2011 Edition of the drinking water standards and health Advisories' EPA 820-R-11-002, U.S Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC.

[11] WHO, (2011) 'Guidelines for Drinking Water Quality' 4th edition, World Health Organization, Geneva'.

[12] Wrenn, M. E., Durbin, P. W., Howard, B., Lipsztein, J., Roundo, J., Still, E. T., and Willis, D. L. (1985) 'Metabolism of ingested U and Ra' *Health Physics,* vol. 48, pp. 601-633.

[13] Leggett, R. W. (1998) 'The behavior and chemical toxicity of U in the Kidney: a reassessment.' *Health Physics*, vol.57, pp.365-383.

[14] Taylor, D. M. and Taylor, S. K. (1997) 'Environmental uranium and human health' *Rev Environ. Health,* vol.12, pp.147-157.

[15] WHO, 'Guidelines for drinking water quality'. Health Criteria and other supporting information addendum to vol. 2 WHO/EOS/98.1. Geneva: World Health Organization, 1998.

[16] Haley D. P. (1982) 'Morphologic changes in uranyl nitrate-induced acute renal failure in saline and water drinking rats' *Lab Investing*, vol.46, pp.196-208.

[17] Haley, D. P., Bulger, R. E. and Dobyan, D. C. (1982) ' The long-term effects of uranyl nitrate on the structure and function of the rat kidney'. Virchows *Arch B Cell Pathol Incl Mol Pathol*, vol. 41, pp. 181-192.

[18] Diamond, G. L., Morrow, P. E., Panner, B. J., Gelein, R. M. and Baggs, R. B. (1989) 'Reversible uranyl fluoride nephrotoxicity in the long evans rat.' *Fundam Appl Toxicol*, vol. 13, pp. 65 – 78.

[19] Kurttio, P., Auvinen, A., Salonen, L., Saha, H., Pekkanen, J., Makelainen, S. B., Penttila, I. M. and Komulainen, H. (2002) 'Renal effects of uranium in drinking water' *Environmental Health Perspectives*. Vol.110, pp. 337-342.

[20] Kurttio, P., Komulainen, H., Leino, A., Salonen, L., Auvinen, A. and Saha, H. (2005) 'Bone as a possible target of chemical toxicity of natural uranium in drinking water' *Environmental Health Perspectives.* Vol.113, pp. 68-72.

[21] Kurttio, P., Harmoinen, A., Saha, H., Salonen, L., Karpas, Z., Komulainen, H. and Auvinen, A. (2006) 'Kidney toxicity of ingested uranium from drinking water'. *American Journal of Kidney Disease,* vol.47, no.6, pp.972-982.

[22] Kurttio, P., Salonen, L., Ilus, T., Pekkanen, J., Pukkala, E. and Auvinen, A. (2006) 'Well water radioactivity and risk of cancers of the urinary organs' *Environmental Research*, vol.102, pp.333-338.

[23] Zamora, M. L., Tracy, B. L., Zielinski, J. M., Meyerhof, D. P. and Moss, M. A. (1998) 'Chronic ingestion of uranium in drinking water: a study of kidney bioeffects in humans', *Toxicological Sciences*, vol.43, pp.68-77.

[24] Scott, R. C. and Barker, F. B. (1962) 'Data on uranium and radium in groundwater in the United States' USGS Paper vol.426, pp. 115.

[25] WHO, (2011) 'Uranium in drinking water, Background document for development of WHO guidelines for drinking-water quality'. World Health Organization, Geneva.

[26] Blaurock-busch, E., Friedle, A., Godfrey, M. and Schulte-Uebbing, C. E. E. (2010) "Metal exposure in the physically and mentally challenged children of Punjab, India." *Maedica- J. Clinical Medicine*, vol. 5(2), pp. 105-113.

[27] Cho, B. W., Lee, I. H., Park, S. K., Lee, B. D. and Sung, I. H. (2002) 'Uranium and radon concentrations in the groundwater of South Korea' *Groundwater and Human development,* pp.1010- 1015.

[28] Barcelona, M. J., Gibb, J. P., Helfrich, J. A. and Garske, E. E. (1985) 'Practical guide for groundwater sampling' SWS Contract Report No. 374.

[29] AERB, DAE. (2004) 'Atomic Energy Regulatory Board, Department of Atomic Energy, Mumbai, India. Drinking water specifications'.