# **DOI**: [https://doi.org/10.24297/jam.v23i.9681](https://doi.org/10.24297/jam.v23i.9656)

# **A new generalization on metric space and its metrizability.**

Stela Çeno<sup>1</sup>, Ledia Subashi<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Natural Science, University of Elbasan "Aleksandër Xhuvani", Albania

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Natural Science, University of Tirana, Albania

## **Abstract;**

In this paper, our particular scope is to give a new generalization for the metric function and after that a proof of the metrizability of generalized φ-metric space. This new approach is influenced by the Chittenden's metrization theorem.

**Keywords**: generalized φ-metric space, metrizability, metric space.

# **Introduction**

Metric spaces are the most generalized spaces, with lots of new concepts and a huge number of articles, exploring properties and new theories about them. In 1993, Czerwik [4] presented the b-metric function, generalizing the metric function. Later in 1998, [5] he altered this concept by replacing the coefficient  $K \ge 1$  instead of the coefficient 2 in the triangle inequation, presenting the definition bellow:

**Definition <b>1.1.** [5] Let *X* be a nonempty set and  $d: X \times X \rightarrow R \ge 0$  be a function for all  $x, y, z \in X$  which fulfills the following conditions:

- 1)  $d(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y;$
- 2)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x);$
- 3)  $d(x, z) \le K[d(x, y) + d(y, z)]$ ,  $K \ge 1$ .

Then  $d$  is called a  $b$ -metric function and the pair  $(X, d)$  is called a  $b$ -metric space.

After that, definitions of *strong b-metric* and *S-metric* were given as generalizations of the b-metric function. In [6] a new approach of generalizing metric function was given with the definition below:

**Definition 1.2.** [6] The function  $d_{\varphi}$ : *X×X→R*≥0, is called a φ-*metric* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- a)  $d_{\phi}(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y;$
- b)  $d_{\phi}(x, y) = d_{\phi}(y, x);$
- c)  $d_{\phi}(x, z) \le d_{\phi}(x, y) + d_{\phi}(y, z) + \phi(x, y, z)$ ,  $\forall x, y, z \in X$ , with  $\phi: X \times X \times X \to R \ge 0$  a function fulfilling:
- 1)  $\phi(x, y, z) = 0$  if  $x = z$  or  $y = z$ ;
- 2)  $\phi(x, y, z) = \phi(y, x, z);$
- 3)  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ , 3δ  $> 0$  such that  $\varphi(x, y, z) < \varepsilon$ , whenever  $d_{\varphi}(x, y) < \delta$  or  $d_{\varphi}(y, z) < \delta$ , ∀x, y, z∈X.

The ordered pair  $(X, d_{\overline{\varphi}})$  is called a  $\varphi$ *-metric space.* 

Aimar, H, [1] in 1998, proved the metrizability of the b-metric space, the generalized notion presented by Czverwik in [5]. Later in 2015, An. V, [2] gave a proof of the metrizability of b-metric spaces with a constant  $K > 0$ , with the expectation that the distance function is continuous in one variable.

**Theorem 1.3.** [2] Let  $(X, D, K)$  be a b-metric space. If D is continuous in one variable, then every open cover of X has an open refinement which is both locally finite and  $\sigma$  -discrete.

**Corollary 1.4.** [2] Let  $(X, D, K)$  be a b-metric space. If D is continuous in one variable, then *X* is metrizable.

Also, for the definition of the ϕ-metric space in [6] it is given a metrizability proof for this space by a Stone-type theorem.

Now let us recall metrizability theorems due to Niemytski and Wilson [8] and Chittenden's metrization theorem [3].



**Theorem 1.5.** [8] Let X be a topological space and  $F: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a distance function on X. If the distance function *F* satisfies:

- i.  $F(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y$  for all  $x, y \in X$
- ii.  $F(x, y) = F(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , and one of the following conditions:
- iii. Given a point  $a \in X$  and a number  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\phi(a, \epsilon) > 0$  such that if  $F(a, b) < \phi(a, \epsilon)$  and  $F(b, c) < \phi(a, \epsilon)$  then  $F(a, c) < \varepsilon$ ;
- iv. If  $a \in X$  and  $\{a_n\}_{n\in N}$ ,  $\{b_n\}_{n\in N}$  are two sequences in *X* such that  $F(a_n, a) \to 0$  and  $F(a_n, b_n) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$  then  ${b_n}$ <sub> $n \in N$ </sub>  $F(a_n, a) \rightarrow 0$  and  $F(a_n, b_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ 
	- $F(b_n, a) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .
- v. For each point  $a \in X$  and a positive number k, there is a positive number *r* such that if b∈X for which  $F(a, b) \ge k$ , and *c* is any point then  $F(a, c) + F(b, c) \ge r$ ;

then the topological space *X* is metrizable.

**Theorem 1.6.** [3] Let *X* be a topological space and  $F: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a distance function on *X*. If the distance function *F* satisfies the following conditions:

- i.  $F(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y$  for all  $x, y \in X$
- ii.  $F(x, y) = F(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$
- iii. (Uniformly regular) For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\phi(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that for all x, y, z EX,  $F(x, y) < \phi(\varepsilon)$  and  $F(y, z) < \phi(\varepsilon)$  imply  $F(x, z) < \varepsilon$ ,

then the topological space *X* is metrizable.

#### **Main Results**

In this part, we present a new generalization for the ϕ-metric function. After that, two alternative proofs for the metrizability of this new space are listed, by using Niemytski and Wilson [8] theorem for the metrizability and Chittenden's metrization theorem [3].

**Definition 2.1.** The function  $G: X \times X \rightarrow R \geq 0$ , is called a *generalized*  $\varphi$ -metric function if satisfies the following conditions:

- A.  $G(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y$ ;
- B.  $G(x, y) = G(y, x);$
- C.  $G(x, z) \leq K[G(x, y) + G(y, z)] + \varphi(x, y, z)$ ,  $\forall x, y, z \in X$ , and  $K \geq 1$ , with  $\varphi: X \times X \times X \to R \geq 0$  a function fulfilling:
- D.  $\varphi(x, y, z) = 0$  if  $x = z$  or  $y = z$ ;
- E.  $\varphi(x, y, z) = \varphi(y, x, z);$
- F.  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  such that  $\varphi(x, y, z) < \varepsilon$ , whenever  $G(x, y) < \delta$  or  $G(y, z) < \delta$ ,  $\forall x, y, z \in X$ .

The ordered pair (*X*, *G*) is called a *generalized* **φ**-metric space. **Theorem 2.2.** Let  $(X, G)$  be a *generalized*  $\varphi$ *-metric space*. Then *X* is metrizable.

Proof. Let  $(X, G)$  be a *generalized*  $\varphi$ -metric *space*. By the definition of the *generalized*  $\varphi$ -metric function  $G: X \times X \rightarrow R \geq 0$ , it satisfies the first two conditions of Niemytski and Wilson's metrization theorem:

- i.  $G(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ ;
- ii.  $G(x, y) = G(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ ;

To prove the third condition, we are going to use condition (v) of the theorem 1.5. Let  $a \in X$  and s be a positive real number such that  $s > \varphi(a, b, c)$ . Suppose that  $b \in X$  such that  $G(a, b) \geq s$ . If *c* is any point in *X* then by the definition of a *generalized φ-metric space* we have:

$$
G(a,b) \leq K[G(a,c) + G(c,b)] + \varphi(a,b,c)
$$



$$
\Rightarrow G(a,c) + G(c,b) \ge \frac{s - \varphi(a,b,c)}{K} = r > 0
$$

This shows that the *generalized φ-metric space* satisfies the locally regular condition, and *X* is metrizable.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $(X, G)$  be a *generalized*  $\varphi$ *-metric space.* Then *X* is metrizable.

Proof 1. Let *X* be a generalized  $\varphi$ -metric space then the function  $G: X \times X \to R \geq 0$  satisfies the first two conditions of Chittenden's metrization results:

- i.  $G(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y$  for all  $x, y \in X$ ;
- ii.  $G(x, y) = G(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ ;

Now to prove the third condition, let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , for  $\varepsilon - \varphi(x, z, y) \in R$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $0 < \delta < \varepsilon - \varphi(x, z, y)$ . Let us choose  $\varphi(\varepsilon) = \frac{\delta}{2K}$ . If  $G(x, y) < \frac{\delta}{2K}$  and  $G(y, z) < \frac{\delta}{2K}$  then  $\frac{\delta}{2K}$  and  $G(y, z) < \frac{\delta}{2K}$ 2K  $K[G(x, y) + G(y, z)] + \varphi(x, z, y) < \delta$ . Then by the third condition of the G function the following will hold:

$$
G(x, z) \le K[G(x, y) + G(y, z)] + \varphi(x, z, y)
$$
  

$$
< \delta < \varepsilon - \varphi(x, z, y) + \varphi(x, z, y) = \varepsilon
$$
  
Thus: For  $G(x, y) < \varphi(\varepsilon)$ ,  $G(y, z) < \varphi(\varepsilon) \Rightarrow G(x, z) < \varepsilon$ 

So, the third condition of the Chittenden's metrization theorem holds, which implies that  $(X, G)$  is metrizable.

Proof 2. An alternative way to prove the metrizability of the generalized  $\varphi$ -metric space is by using point (iii) and (iv) of theorem 1.5.

Let  $a \in X$  and  $\{a_n\}_{n \in N}$ ,  $\{b_n\}_{n \in N}$  are two sequences in  $X$  such that  $G(a_n, a) \to 0$  and  $G(a_n, b_n) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  ${b_n}$ <sub> $n \in N$ </sub>  $G(a_{n'} a) \rightarrow 0$  and  $G(a_{n'} b_{n}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , for  $\varepsilon - \varphi(a, b_n, a_n) \in R$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $0 < \delta < \varepsilon - \varphi(a, b_n, a_n)$ . Let us choose  $\varphi(\varepsilon) = \frac{\delta}{2K}$ , there 2K exists  $k_{1}$ ,  $k_{2}$ EN such that:

$$
G\Big(a_n,a\Big)<\tfrac{\delta}{2K},\;\forall n{\geq}k_1\text{ and }G\Big(a_n,b_n\Big)<\tfrac{\delta}{2K},\;\forall n{\geq}k_2.
$$

If  $n{\ge}max\{k_{1},k_{2}\}$  and  $a{\ne}b_{n}$ , then by the definition of the generalized φ-metric space, we have:

$$
G(a, bn) \le K[G(a, an) + G(an, bn)] + \varphi(a, bn, an)
$$
  

$$
\le K[\frac{\delta}{2K} + \frac{\delta}{2K}] + \varphi(a, bn, an) = \varepsilon.
$$

This shows that  $G\big(b_{n},a\big){\rightarrow} 0$  as  $\,n{\rightarrow}\infty.$  So, by the metrization criteria of the Niemytski and Wilson, we conclude that the generalized φ-metric space is metrizable.

#### **Conclusions**

The generalized φ-metric space is metrizable.



## **References**

- [1] Aimar, H., Iaffei, B. and Nitti, L., *On the Macias-Segovia metrization of quasi-metric spaces*, Rev. Un. Mat. Argentina, 41 (1998), No. 2, 67–75.
- [2] An, V. T., Tuyen, Q. L. and Dung, V. N., *Stone-type theorem on b-metric spaces and applications*, Topology Appl., 185-186 (2015), 50–64.
- [3] Chittenden, E. W., *On the equivalence of Ecart and voisinage*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 18 (1917), 161–166.
- [4] Czerwik, S., *Contraction mappings in b-metric spaces*, Acta Math. Univ. Ostraviensis, 1 (1993), 5–11.
- [5] Czerwik, S., *Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in b-metric spaces*, Atti Semin. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena, 46 (1998), 263–276.
- [6] Das, A., Kundu, A., Bag, T,. *A new approach to generalize metric functions*. Int. J. Nonlinear Amal. Appl. 14(2023) 3, 279-298.
- [7] Jleli, M. and Samet, B., *On a new generalization of metric spaces*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl., (2018), 20:128.
- [8] Niemytski, V. W., *On the third axiom of metric space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 29 (1927), 507–513.

