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#### Abstract

In this article, we will investigate the existence of a unique bounded variation solution for a functional integral equation of Volterra type in the space $L_{1}\left(R^{+}\right)$of Lebesgue integrable functions.
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## 1 Introduction

Integral equations play an important role in the theory of nonlinear analysis and its applications in mathematical physics, biology, engineering, economics, radiation transfer theory and mechanics (see [7], [8], [11], [12], [26]). For a review of various integral equations and their applications, see ([1], [3, [10, [13, [15], [19], [21], [22]).
This paper studies the existence of a unique solution of the functional Volterra integral equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=g(t)+f_{1}\left(t, \int_{0}^{t} k(t, s) f_{2}(s, x(s)) d s\right), \quad t \geq 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the space $L_{1}\left(R^{+}\right)$of functions of bounded variation.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let $R$ be the field of real numbers and $R^{+}$be the interval $[0, \infty)$. Denote by $L_{1}=L_{1}\left(R^{+}\right)$the space of Lebesgue integrable functions on the interval $[0, \infty)$, with the standard norm

$$
\|x\|=\int_{0}^{\infty}|x(t)| d t
$$

The most important operator in nonlinear functional analysis is the so-called Nemytskii (or superposition) operator ([2], [14).

Definition 2.1 If $f(t, x)=f: I \times R \rightarrow R$ satisfies Carathéodory conditions i.e. it is measurable in $t$ for any $x \in R$ and continuous in $x$ for almost all $t \in R^{+}$. Then to every function $x(t)$ being measurable on $R^{+}$we may assign the function

$$
(F x)(t)=f(t, x(t)) \quad t \in I
$$

The operator $F$ is called the Nemytskii (or superposition) operator generated by $f$.

Furthermore, we propose a theorem which gives necessary and sufficient condition for the Nemytskii operator to map the space $L_{1}$ into itself continuously.

Theorem 2.1 [2] If $f$ satisfies Carathéodory conditions, then the Nemytskii operator $F$ generated by the function $f$ maps continuously the space $L_{1}$ into itself if and only if

$$
|f(t, x)| \leq a(t)+b|x|
$$

for every $t \in R^{+}$and $x \in R$, where $a(t) \in L_{1}$ and $b \geq 0$ is a constant.

Definition 2.2 (Volterra integral operator) [28]
Let $k: \Delta \rightarrow R$ be a function that is measurable with respect to both variables, where $\Delta=\{(t, s): 0 \leq s \leq t<\infty\}$. For an arbitrary function $x \in L_{1}\left(R^{+}\right)$, we define

$$
(V x)(t)=\int_{0}^{t} k(t, s) x(s) d s, \quad t \geq 0
$$

The above operator $V$ is the well-known linear Volterra integral operator. Obviously, if $V: L_{1} \rightarrow L_{1}$ then it is continuous [27].

Definition 2.3 ([5], [23])

The Hausdorff measure of noncompactness $\chi(X)$ (see also [16], [17]) is defined as

$$
\chi(X)=\inf \left\{r>0: \text { there exists a finite subset } Y \text { of } E \text { such that } x \subset Y+B_{r}\right\} .
$$

A more general regular measure can be defined as the space [4:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(X)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left\{\sup _{x \in X}\left\{\sup \left[\int_{D}|x(\tau)| d \tau: D \subset R^{+}, \text {meas } D \leq \varepsilon\right]\right\}\right\}=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(X)=\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\sup \left[\int_{T}^{\infty}|x(\tau)| d \tau: x \in X\right]\right\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where meas $D$ represents the Lebesgue measure of subset $D$.
Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma(X)=c(X)+d(X) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have the following theorem [18], which connects between the two measures $\chi(X)$ and $\gamma(X)$.

Theorem 2.2 Let $X \in M_{E}$ and compact in measure, then

$$
\chi(X) \leq \gamma(X) \leq 2 \chi(X)
$$

Now, we give Darbo fixed point theorem (cf.[9], [20], [24]).

Theorem 2.3 If $Q$ is nonempty, bounded, closed and convex subset of $E$ and let $A: Q \rightarrow Q$ be a continuous transformation which is a contraction with respect to the measure of noncompactness $\mu$, i.e. there exists a constant $k \in[0,1)$ such that

$$
\mu(A X) \leq k \mu(X)
$$

for any nonempty subset $X$ of $Q$. Then $A$ has at least one fixed point in the set $Q$.

Definition 2.4 (Functions of bounded variation) ([6], [23])
Let $x:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ be a function. For each partition $P: a=t_{0}<t_{1}<\ldots<t_{n}=b$ of the interval $[a, b]$, we define

$$
\operatorname{Var}(x)=\sup \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|x\left(t_{i}\right)-x\left(t_{i-1}\right)\right|,
$$

where the supremum is taken over all partitions $P$ of the interval $[a, b]$.

If $\operatorname{Var}(x)<\infty$, we say that $x$ has bounded variation and we write $x \in B V$. For functions $x:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ with $a<b$ we write $\operatorname{Var}(x,[a, b])$ instead of $\operatorname{Var}(x)$. We denote by $B V=B V[a, b]$ the space of all functions of bounded variation on $[a, b]$.

Theorem 2.4 ([4], [25]) Assume that $X \subset L_{1}(I)$ is of locally generalized bounded variation, then Conv $X$ (convex hull of $X$ ) and $\bar{X}$ are of the same type.

Corollary 2.1 ([4], [25]) Let $X \subset L_{1}(I)$ is of locally generalized bounded variation, then Conv $X$ is also such.

Next, we will have the following theorem, which we will further use (cf. 4], 25]).

Theorem 2.5 Assume that $X \subset L_{1}$ is a bounded set have the following hypotheses:
(i) there exists $t_{0} \geq 0$ such that the set $x\left(t_{0}\right): x \in X$ is bounded in $R$,
(ii) $X$ is of locally generalized bounded variation on $R^{+}$,
(iii) for any $a>0$ the following equality holds

$$
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\sup _{x \in X}\{\text { meas }\{t>T:|x(t)| \geq a\}\}\right\}=0 .
$$

Then the set $X$ is compact in measure.

Corollary 2.2 [4] If $X \subset L_{1}$ is a bounded set satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2.5. Then Conv $X$ is compact in measure.

## 3 Main result

We can write (1) in operator form as

$$
G x=g+F_{1} V F_{2} x
$$

where $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ are the Nemytskii operators generated by the functions $f_{1}(t, x)$ and $f_{2}(t, x)$ respectively, as $V$ is the Volterra operator generated by $k(t, s)$.
We will solve equation (1) under the following hypotheses listed below:
(i) $g \in L_{1}\left(R^{+}\right)$and is of locally generalized bounded variation on $R^{+}$.
(ii) $f_{1}, f_{2}: R^{+} \times R \rightarrow R$ satisfy Carathéodory conditions and $\exists$ functions $a_{1}, a_{2} \in L_{1}\left(R^{+}\right)$and constants $b_{1}, b_{2}$ such that

$$
\left|f_{i}(t, x)\right| \leq a_{i}(t)+b_{i}|x|, \quad(i=1,2)
$$

for all $t \in(0,1)$ and $x \in R$.
(iii) There exists a constant $L>0$ such that

$$
\left|f_{i}(t, x)-f_{i}(t, y)\right| \leq L[|t-s|+|x-y|], \quad i=1,2
$$

(iv) $k: \Delta \rightarrow R$ is measurable in both variables such that the integral operator $V$ generated by $k$ maps $L_{1}$ into itself $(\Delta=\{(t, s): 0 \leq s \leq t<\infty\})$.
Moreover, $\forall h>0$

$$
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty}\{\operatorname{meas}\{t>T:|(V x)(t)| \geq h\}\}=0
$$

uniformly on $x \in X$, where $X \subset L_{1}$ is arbitrarily bounded.
(v) The generalized variation of the function $t \rightarrow k(t, s)$ is essentially bounded on $[0, T] \forall T>0$ and uniformly on $s \in[0, T]$. Also, the function $v(T)$ is defined as

$$
v(T)=\operatorname{ess} \sup \left\{\operatorname{var}_{t} k(t, s),[0, T]: s \in[0, T]\right\}
$$

then we get $v(T)<\infty \forall T \geq 0$.
(vi) $b_{1} b_{2}\|V\|<1$.

Theorem 3.1 Let the hypotheses (i)-(vi) be satisfied, then equation (1) has at least one solution $x \in L_{1}\left(R^{+}\right)$which is a function of locally bounded variation on $R^{+}$.

Proof. First by hypothesis (ii) and Theorem 2.1 the operators $F_{1}, F_{2}$ map $L_{1}\left(R^{+}\right)$into itself and are continuous. secondly, by hypothesis (iv) the Volterra operator $V$ maps $L_{1}\left(R^{+}\right)$into itself and is continuous. Finally, for any $x \in L_{1}\left(R^{+}\right)$and from a hypothesis (i) we get $G x \in L_{1}\left(R^{+}\right)$.
Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|G x\| & \leq\|g\|+\left\|F_{1} V F_{2} x\right\| \\
& \leq\|g\|+\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|f_{1}\left(t, \int_{0}^{t} k(t, s) f_{2}(s, x(s)) d s\right)\right| d t \\
& \leq\|g\|+\int_{0}^{\infty}\left[a(t)+b_{1} \mid \int_{0}^{t} k(t, s) f_{2}(s, x(s)) d s\right) \mid d t \\
& \leq\|g\|+\int_{0}^{\infty}\left[a_{1}(t)+b_{1}\|V\|\left|f_{2}(s, x(s))\right|\right] d t \\
& \leq\|g\|+\int_{0}^{\infty}\left[a_{1}(t)+b_{1}\|V\|\left(a_{2}(t)+b_{2}|x(t)|\right)\right] d t \\
& \leq\|g\|+\left\|a_{1}\right\|+b_{1}\|V\|\left\|a_{2}\right\|+b_{1} b_{2}\|V\|\|x\| \\
& \leq\|g\|+\left\|a_{1}\right\|+b_{1}\|V\|\left\|a_{2}\right\|+b_{1} b_{2}\|V\| \cdot r \\
& \leq r
\end{aligned}
$$

From the above estimate, the operator $G: B_{r} \rightarrow B_{r}$, where

$$
r=\frac{\|g\|+\left\|a_{1}\right\|+b_{1}\|V\|\left\|a_{2}\right\|}{1-b_{1} b_{2}\|V\|}>0 .
$$

In what follows, consider $x \in B_{r}$. In view of assumption (i), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
|(G x)(0)| & =\left|g(0)+f_{1}(0,0)\right| \\
& \leq|g(0)|+\left|f_{1}(0,0)\right| \\
& <\infty . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

So we get that all functions belonging to $G B_{r}$ are bounded at $t=0$.
Moreover, fix $T>0$ and assume that the sequence $t_{i}$ such that $0=t_{0}<t_{1}<t_{2} \ldots<t_{n}=T$. Then, using the above hypotheses leads us to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|(G x)\left(t_{i}\right)-(G x)\left(t_{i-1}\right)\right| & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|g\left(t_{i}\right)-g\left(t_{i-1}\right)\right| \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mid f_{1}\left(t_{i}, \int_{0}^{t_{i}} k\left(t_{i}, s\right) f_{2}(s, x(s)) d s\right) \\
& -f_{1}\left(t_{i-1}, \int_{0}^{t_{i-1}} k\left(t_{i-1}, s\right) f_{2}(s, x(s)) d s\right) \mid \\
V(G x, T) & \leq V(g, T)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mid f_{1}\left(t_{i}, \int_{0}^{t_{i}} k\left(t_{i}, s\right) f_{2}(s, x(s)) d s\right) \\
& -f_{1}\left(t_{i}, \int_{0}^{t_{i}} k\left(t_{i-1}, s\right) f_{2}(s, x(s)) d s\right) \mid \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mid f_{1}\left(t_{i}, \int_{0}^{t_{i}} k\left(t_{i-1}, s\right) f_{2}(s, x(s)) d s\right) \\
& -f_{1}\left(t_{i}, \int_{0}^{t_{i}-1} k\left(t_{i-1}, s\right) f_{2}(s, x(s)) d s\right) \mid \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mid f_{1}\left(t_{i}, \int_{0}^{t_{i-1}} k\left(t_{i-1}, s\right) f_{2}(s, x(s)) d s\right) \\
& -f_{1}\left(t_{i-1}, \int_{0}^{t_{i-1}} k\left(t_{i-1}, s\right) f_{2}(s, x(s)) d s\right) \mid \\
& \leq V(g, T)+L \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{t_{i}}\left|k\left(t_{i}, s\right)-k\left(t_{i-1}, s\right)\right|\left|f_{2}(s, x(s))\right| d s \\
& +L \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\int_{0}^{t_{i}} k\left(t_{i-1}, s\right)-\int_{0}^{t_{i-1}} k\left(t_{i-1}, s\right)\right|\left|f_{2}(s, x(s))\right| d s+M,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M=L\left|t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right|$

$$
\begin{align*}
V(G x, T) & \leq V(g, T)+L \int_{0}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|k\left(t_{i}, s\right)-k\left(t_{i-1}, s\right)\right|\left[a_{2}(s)+b_{2}|x(s)|\right] d s \\
& +L \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{t_{i}-1}^{t_{i}}\left|k\left(t_{i-1}, s\right)\right|\left[a_{2}(s)+b_{2}|x(s)|\right] d s+M \\
& \leq V(g, T)+L \int_{0}^{T} v(T) a_{2}(s) d s+L b_{2} \int_{0}^{T}|x(s)| d s \\
& +L k_{0} \int_{0}^{T} a_{2}(s) d s+L b_{2} k_{0} \int_{0}^{T}|x(s)| d s+M \\
& \leq V(g, T)+L v(T)\left\|a_{2}\right\|+L b_{2} v(T) r+L k_{0}\left\|a_{2}\right\|+L b_{2} k_{0} r+M<\infty \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

from the previous estimate, all functions belonging to $G B_{r}$ have the same constant variation over each closed subinterval of $R^{+}$.

In the following, let the set $Q_{r}=$ Conv $G B_{r}$, it is clear that $Q_{r} \subset B_{r}$. We will show that $Q_{r}$ is nonempty, bounded convex, closed and compact in measure.
To prove $Q_{r}$ is nonempty, let $x(t)=\frac{r}{\pi}\left(\frac{1}{1+t^{2}}\right)$, we get

$$
\|x\|=\int_{0}^{\infty}|x(t)| d t=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\frac{r}{\pi}\left(\frac{1}{1+t^{2}}\right)\right| d t=\left.\frac{r}{\pi} \arctan \right|_{0} ^{\infty}=\frac{r}{\pi}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \leq r
$$

Since, $Q_{r} \subset B_{r}$ then it is bounded.
To prove the convexity of $Q_{r}$, take $x_{1}, x_{2} \in Q_{r}$ which gives $\left\|x_{i}\right\| \leq r, \quad i=1,2$. Let

$$
z(t)=\lambda x_{1}(t)+(1-\lambda) x_{2}(t), \quad t \in R^{+}, \lambda \in R^{+}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|z\| & \leq \lambda\left\|x_{1}\right\|+(1-\lambda)\left\|x_{2}\right\| \\
& \leq \lambda r+(1-\lambda) r=r .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, we get $Q_{r}$ is convex.
Now, we prove that the closeness of $Q_{r}$. To do this, suppose $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is the sequence of elements in $Q_{r}$ that converges to $x$ in $L_{1}\left(R^{+}\right)$, then this sequence is convergent in measure and as a result of the Vitali convergence theorem and the characterization of convergence in measure (the Riesz theorem) this leads to the existence of $\left\{x_{n_{k}}\right\} \subset\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ that converges to $x$ almost uniformly on $R^{+}$that means $x \in Q_{r}$ and thus the set $Q_{r}$ is closed.
Moreover, in view of (5), (6) and Theorem 2.5 we conclude that the set $G B_{r}$ is compact in measure. By Corollary 2.2 this yields that the set $Q_{r}$ is also compact in measure. Moreover, Corollary 2.1 implies that the set $Q_{r}$ is of locally generalized bounded variation on $R^{+}$. Now, from assumption (i), and since $Q_{r} \subset B_{r}$, then $G$ is a self-mapping of the set $Q_{r}$ into it self and is continuous.

Finally, we prove that the operator $G$ is a contraction with respect to the measure of noncompactness $\chi$.
Take a subset $X \subset Q_{r}$ and $\varepsilon>0$ is fixed, then $\forall x \in X$ and for a set $D \subset R^{+}$, meas $D \leq \varepsilon$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{D}|(G x)(t)| d t & \leq \int_{D} g\left(t\left|d t+\int_{D}\right| f_{1}\left(t, \int_{0}^{t} f_{2}(s, x(s)) d s\right) \mid d t\right. \\
& \leq \int_{D} g(t) d t+\int_{D}\left[a_{1}(t)+b_{1}\left|\int_{0}^{t} k(t, s) f_{2}(s, x(s)) d s\right|\right] d t \\
& \leq \int_{D} g(t) d t+\int_{D} a_{1}(t) d t+b_{1}\|V\| \int_{D} a_{2}(s) d s+b_{1} b_{2}\|V\| \int_{D}|x(s)| d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, using the fact that

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup \left\{\int_{D} g(t) d t: D \subset R^{+}, \operatorname{meas} D \leq \varepsilon\right\}=0
$$

and

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup \left\{\int_{D} a_{i}(t) d t, i=1,2: D \subset R^{+}, \text {meas } D \leq \varepsilon\right\}=0
$$

Then using (2), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(G X) \leq b_{1} b_{2}\|V\| c(X) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, fixing $T>0$ we have

$$
\int_{T}^{\infty}|(G x)(t)| d t \leq \int_{T}^{\infty} g(t) d t+\int_{T}^{\infty} a_{1}(t) d t+b_{1}\|V\| \int_{T}^{\infty} a_{2}(t) d t+b_{1} b_{2}\|V\| \int_{T}^{\infty}|x(t)| d t
$$

As $T \rightarrow \infty$, the previous inequality yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(G X) \leq b_{1} b_{2}\|V\| d(X) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d(X)$ has been defined before in (3).
Thus from (7) and (8) we get

$$
\gamma(G X) \leq b_{1} b_{2}\|V\| \gamma(X)
$$

where $\gamma$ denotes the measure of noncompactness defined in (4).
Since $X$ is a subset of $Q_{r}$ and $Q_{r}$ is compact in measure, we get

$$
\chi(G X) \leq b_{1} b_{2}\|V\| \chi(X)
$$

Therefore, by using hypothesis (vi) we can apply Darbo's fixed point theorem. This completes the proof.

## 4 Uniqueness of the solution

Now, we can prove the existence of our unique solution.

Theorem 4.1 If the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 is satisfied but instead of assuming (vi), let $L^{2}\|V\|<1$. Then, equation (1) has a unique solution on $R^{+}$.

Proof. To prove that equation (1) has a unique solution, let $x(t), y(t)$ be any two solutions of equation (1) in $B_{r}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|x-y\| & =\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|f_{1}\left(t, \int_{0}^{t} k(t, s) f_{2}(s, x(s)) d s\right)-f_{1}\left(t, \int_{0}^{t} k(t, s) f_{2}(s, y(s)) d s\right)\right| d t \\
& \leq L \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{t}\left|k(t, s) \| f_{2}(s, x(s))-f_{2}(s, y(s))\right| d s d t \\
& \leq L^{2}\|V\|\|x-y\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left(1-L^{2}\|V\|\right)\|x-y\|_{L_{1}} \leq 0
$$

This yields $\|x-y\|=0, \Rightarrow x=y$, which completes the proof.

## 5 Example

Consider the integral equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=e^{-t}+\int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{1+s^{2}+t^{2}}\left(e^{-s}+\frac{s x(s)}{s+2}\right) d s, \quad t \in R^{+} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have $g(t)=e^{-t}, g(t) \in L_{1}\left(R^{+}\right)$since

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t} d t=-\left.e^{-t}\right|_{0} ^{\infty}=1-0=1
$$

so, condition (i) is satisfied.
Also, $\left.f_{1}(t, x)=x, f_{2}(t, x)=e^{-t}+\frac{t x(t)}{t+2}\right)$, so we can see that $f_{i}, i=1,2$ satisfy Carathéodory conditions i.e. it is
measurable in $t$ and continuous in $x$.
Also, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f_{2}(t, x)\right| & =e^{-t}+\frac{t x(t)}{t+2} \\
& \leq e^{-t}+\frac{1}{3}|x(t)|
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $a_{2}(t)=e^{-t} \in L_{1}\left(R^{+}\right)$and $b_{2}=\frac{1}{3}>0$. Moreover, $a_{1}(t)=0$ and $b_{1}(t)=1>0$, then condition (ii) is satisfied. Also,

$$
\left|f_{1}(t, x)-f_{1}(t, y)\right| \leq|x-y|,
$$

and

$$
\left|f_{2}(t, x)-f_{2}(t, y)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}|x-y|
$$

so that condition (iii)is satisfied. Furthermore, $k(t, s)=\frac{1}{1+s^{2}+t^{2}}$ is measurable for all $t, s$.
Let $x \in L_{1}$, we will show that the Volterra operator $V$ maps continuously the space $L_{1}$ into itself

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|V x\| & \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{|x(s)|}{1+s^{2}+t^{2}} d s d t \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{s}^{\infty} \frac{|x(s)|}{1+s^{2}+t^{2}} d t d s \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{s}^{\infty} \frac{|x(s)|}{1+t^{2}} d t d s \\
& \leq\left.\int_{0}^{\infty} \arctan t\right|_{s} ^{\infty}|x(s)| d s \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\arctan s\right)|x(s)| d s \\
& \leq \frac{\pi}{2}\|x\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence condition (iv) is satisfied.
Finally, we have $b_{1} b_{2}\|V\|=\frac{\pi}{6}<1$ then condition (vi) is satisfied.
Therefore, the assumptions of our Theorem 3.1 are satisfied, so equation (9) has at least one solution $x \in B V$ on $R^{+}$.
Data Availability (excluding Review articles)
Applicable.
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