



Some common fixed point results of three self-mappings in cone metric spaces

A.K.Dubey¹, Rita Shukla², R.P.Dubey³

¹Department of Mathematics, Bhilai Institute of Technology,
Bhilai House, Durg 491001, Chhattisgarh, India

E-mail: anilkumardby@rediffmail.com

²Department of Mathematics, Shri Shankracharya College of Engineering and Technology
Bhilai, 490020, Chhattisgarh, India

E-mail: ritaawanit27@gmail.com

³Department of Mathematics, Dr. C.V. Raman University,
Bilapur, Chhattisgarh, India

E-mail: ravidubey_1963@yahoo.co.in

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to present coincidence point and common fixed point results for three self mappings satisfying generalized contractive conditions. The results presented in this paper generalize and extend several well-known results in the literature.

Key Words: Cone metric spaces; Coincidence point; Common fixed point.



Council for Innovative Research

Peer Review Research Publishing System

Journal: Journal of Advances in Mathematics

Vol 7, No. 3

editor@cirjam.com

www.cirjam.com, www.cirworld.com



1. Introduction and Preliminaries

In 2007, Huang and Zhang [1] introduced the concept of cone metric spaces which is a generalization of metric spaces, by replacing the set of real numbers by an ordered Banach space and proves some fixed point theorems for some contractive maps in normal cone metric spaces. Subsequently, some other authors [2,3,4] studied fixed point results of mappings satisfying contractive type condition in cone metric spaces, however there exists non-normal cone metric spaces [5].

Recently, Stojan Radenovic [6] has obtained coincidence point results for two mappings in cone metric spaces which satisfies new contractive conditions. The same concept was further extended by M. Rangamma and K. Prudhvi [7], Malhotra et al. [8] and proved coincidence point results and common fixed point results for three self mappings. The purpose of this paper is to generalize, extend and improves the results of [7] and [8].

We recall some definitions and properties of cone metric spaces[1].

Definition 1.1[1]. Let E be a real Banach space and P be a subset of E . The set P is called a cone if :

- i) P is closed, non-empty and $P \neq \{0_E\}$, here 0_E is the zero vector of E ;
- ii) $a, b \in R, a, b \geq 0, x, y \in P \Rightarrow ax + by \in P$;
- iii) $x \in P$ and $-x \in P \Rightarrow x = 0_E$.

Given a cone $P \subset E$, we define a partial ordering \leq with respect to P by $x \leq y$ if and only if $y - x \in P$. We write $x < y$ to indicate that $x \leq y$ but $x \neq y$, while $x \ll y$ if and only if for $y - x \in \text{int } P$, where $\text{int } P$ denotes the interior of P .

Let P be a cone in a real Banach space E , then P is called normal, if there exist a constant $K > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in E$,

$$0_E \leq x \leq y \text{ implies } \|x\| \leq K\|y\|.$$

The least positive number K satisfying the above inequality is called the normal constant of P .

Definition 1.2[1]. Let X be a non-empty set, E be a real Banach space. Suppose that the mapping $d: X \times X \rightarrow E$ satisfies

- (i) $0_E \leq d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $d(x, y) = 0_E$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (iii) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Then d is called a cone metric on X , and (X, d) is called a cone metric space.

Definition 1.3[1]. Let (X, d) be a cone metric space. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X and $x \in X$.

- i) If for every $c \in E$ with $0 \ll c$ there is a positive integer n_0 such that $d(x_n, x) \ll c$ for all $n > n_0$, then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is said to be convergent and converges to x . We denote this by $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ or $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- ii) If for every $c \in E$ with $0 \ll c$ there is a positive integer n_0 such that, $d(x_n, x_m) \ll c$ for all $n, m > n_0$, then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is called a Cauchy sequence in X .

(X, d) is called a complete cone metric space, if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent in X .

Lemma 1.1[1]. Let (X, d) be a cone metric space, P be a normal cone with normal constant K . Let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ be two sequences in X .

- i) $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence if and only if $d(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0_E$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- ii) If $x_n \rightarrow x, y_n \rightarrow y$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $d(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow d(x, y)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark 1.1[4]. Let P be a cone in a real Banach space E with zero vector 0_E and $a, b, c \in P$, then;

- a) If $a \leq b$ and $b \ll c$ then $a \ll c$.
- b) If $a \ll b$ and $b \ll c$ then $a \ll c$.
- c) If $0_E \leq u \ll c$ for each $c \in \text{int } P$ then $u = 0_E$.
- d) If $c \in \text{int } P$ and $a_n \rightarrow 0_E$ then there exist $n_0 \in N$ such that, for all $n > n_0$ we have $a_n \ll c$.
- e) If $0_E \leq a_n \leq b_n$ for each n and $a_n \rightarrow a, b_n \rightarrow b$ then $a \leq b$.
- f) If $a \leq \lambda a$ where $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ then $a = 0_E$.

Let E, B be two real Banach spaces, P and C normal cones in E and B respectively. Let " \leq " and " \leq " be the partial orderings induced by P and C in E and B respectively. Let $\phi: P \rightarrow C$ be a function satisfying:

- i) If $a, b \in P$ with $a \leq b$ then $\phi[a] \leq k\phi[b]$, for some positive real k ;



- ii) $\emptyset[a + b] \leq \emptyset[a] + \emptyset[b]$ for all $a, b \in P$;
- iii) \emptyset is sequentially continuous i.e. if $a_n, a \in P$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = a$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \emptyset[a_n] = \emptyset[a]$;
- iv) If $\emptyset[a_n] \rightarrow 0_B$ then $a_n \rightarrow 0_E$, where 0_E and 0_B are the zero vectors of E and B respectively. We denote the set of all such functions by $\Phi(P, C)$ i.e. $\emptyset \in \Phi(P, C)$ if \emptyset satisfies all above properties. It is clear that $\emptyset[a] = 0_B$ if and only if $a = 0_E$.

Let (X, d) be a cone metric space with normal cone P and $\emptyset \in \Phi(P, C)$. Since $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$, therefore

$$\emptyset[d(x, y)] \leq k\emptyset[d(x, z)] + k\emptyset[d(z, y)] \quad \text{-----(1.1)}$$

Example 1.1[8]. Let E be any real Banach space with normal cone P and normal constant K . Define $\emptyset: P \rightarrow P$ by $\emptyset[a] = a$, for all $a \in P$. Then $\emptyset \in \Phi(P, C)$ with $E = B, P = C$ and $k = 1$.

2. Main Results

Theorem 2.1. Let (X, d) be a cone metric space and P a normal cone with normal constant K . Suppose f, g, h be self maps of X satisfy the condition

$$\emptyset[d(fx, gy)] \leq a\emptyset[d(hx, hy)] + b\emptyset[d(hx, fx) + d(hy, gy)] \quad \text{-----(2.1)}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\emptyset \in \Phi(P, C)$ and a, b are nonnegative reals with $a + 2b < 1$. If $f(X) \cup g(X) \subseteq h(X)$ and $h(X)$ is complete subspace of X , then the maps f, g and h have a unique point of coincidence in X . Moreover, if (f, h) and (g, h) are weakly compatible pairs then f, g and h have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Suppose x_0 be any arbitrary point of X . Since $f(X) \cup g(X) \subseteq h(X)$, starting with x_0 we define a sequence $\{y_n\}$ such that

$y_{2n} = fx_{2n} = hx_{2n+1}$ and $y_{2n+1} = gx_{2n+1} = hx_{2n+2}$, for all $n \geq 0$. We shall prove that $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

If $y_n = y_{n+1}$ for some n e.g. if $y_{2n} = y_{2n+1}$, then from (2.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \emptyset[d(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1})] &= \emptyset[d(fx_{2n+2}, gx_{2n+1})] \\ &\leq a\emptyset[d(hx_{2n+2}, hx_{2n+1})] + b\emptyset[d(hx_{2n+2}, fx_{2n+2}) + d(hx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n+1})] \\ &= a\emptyset[d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})] + b\emptyset[d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})] \end{aligned}$$

Since $y_{2n} = y_{2n+1}$, it follows from above inequality that,

$$\emptyset[d(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1})] \leq b\emptyset[d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2})].$$

As $b < 1$ from (f) of remark 1.1, we obtain

$$\emptyset[d(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1})] = 0_B \text{ also } \emptyset \in \Phi(P, C) \text{ therefore we have}$$

$$d(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}) = 0_E \text{ i.e. } y_{2n+2} = y_{2n+1}.$$

Similarly we obtain that

$$y_{2n} = y_{2n+1} = y_{2n+2} = \dots = \vartheta \text{ (say).}$$

Therefore $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Suppose $y_n \neq y_{n+1}$ for all n . Then from (2.1) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \emptyset[d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})] &= \emptyset[d(fx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1})] \\ &\leq a\emptyset[d(hx_{2n}, hx_{2n+1})] + b\emptyset[d(hx_{2n}, fx_{2n}) + d(hx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n+1})] \\ &= a\emptyset[d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})] + b\emptyset[d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i.e. } \emptyset[d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})] &\leq \frac{a+b}{1-b} \emptyset[d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})] \\ &= \lambda \emptyset[d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})] \end{aligned}$$

Where $\lambda = \frac{a+b}{1-b} < 1$ (since $a + 2b < 1$).

Writing $d_n = \emptyset[d(y_n, y_{n+1})]$, we obtain

$$d_{2n} \leq \lambda d_{2n-1} \quad \text{-----(2.2)}$$

Again



$$\begin{aligned} \phi[d(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1})] &= \phi[d(fx_{2n+2}, gx_{2n+1})] \\ &\leq a\phi[d(hx_{2n+2}, hx_{2n+1})] + b\phi[d(hx_{2n+2}, fx_{2n+2}) + d(hx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n+1})] \\ &= a\phi[d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})] + b\phi[d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})] \\ \text{i.e. } \phi[d(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1})] &\leq \frac{a+b}{1-b} \phi[d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})] \\ &= \mu\phi[d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})] \end{aligned}$$

Where $\mu = \frac{a+b}{1-b} < 1$ (since $a + 2b < 1$).

Therefore $d_{2n+1} \leq \mu d_{2n}$ -----(2.3)

From (2.2) and (2.3) we get

$$d_{2n} \leq \lambda d_{2n-1} \leq \lambda \mu d_{2n-2} \leq \dots \leq \lambda^n \mu^n d_0$$

and

$$d_{2n+1} \leq \mu d_{2n} \leq \lambda \mu d_{2n-1} \leq \dots \leq \lambda^n \mu^{n+1} d_0.$$

Thus

$$d_{2n} + d_{2n+1} \leq \lambda^n \mu^n (1 + \mu) d_0$$
 -----(2.4)

and

$$d_{2n+1} + d_{2n+2} \leq \lambda^n \mu^{n+1} (1 + \lambda) d_0$$
 -----(2.5)

Let $n, m \in N$, then for the sequence $\{y_n\}$ we consider $\phi[d(y_n, y_m)]$ in two cases.

If n is even and $m > n$, then using (1.1) and (2.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \phi[d(y_n, y_m)] &\leq k\phi[d(y_n, y_{n+1})] + k\phi[d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2})] + \\ &\quad \dots + k\phi[d(y_{m-1}, y_m)] \\ &\leq k[d_n + d_{n+1} + d_{n+2} + d_{n+3} + \dots] \\ &\leq k[\lambda^{\frac{n}{2}} \mu^{\frac{n}{2}} (1 + \mu) d_0 + \lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \mu^{\frac{n+2}{2}} (1 + \mu) d_0 + \dots] \\ \phi[d(y_n, y_m)] &\leq \frac{k(\lambda\mu)^{n/2} (1+\mu)}{1-\lambda\mu} d_0. \end{aligned}$$

If n is odd and $m > n$, then again using (1.1) and (2.5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \phi[d(y_n, y_m)] &\leq k\phi[d(y_n, y_{n+1})] + k\phi[d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2})] + \\ &\quad \dots + k\phi[d(y_{m-1}, y_m)] \\ &\leq k[d_n + d_{n+1} + d_{n+2} + d_{n+3} + \dots] \\ &\leq k[\lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \mu^{\frac{n-1}{2}+1} (1 + \lambda) d_0 + \lambda^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \mu^{\frac{n+1}{2}+1} (1 + \lambda) d_0 + \dots] \\ \phi[d(y_n, y_m)] &\leq \frac{k(\lambda\mu)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} (1+\lambda)}{1-\lambda\mu} d_0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda < 1, \mu < 1$ therefore $\lambda\mu < 1$, so in both the cases $\phi[d(y_n, y_m)] \rightarrow 0_B$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and since $\phi \in \Phi(P, C)$ we have $d(y_n, y_m) \rightarrow 0_E$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So by lemma 1.1, $\{y_n\} = \{hx_{n-1}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Since $h(X)$ is complete, there exists $\vartheta \in h(X)$ and $u \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = \vartheta$ and $\vartheta = hu$.

We shall show that u is a coincidence point of pairs (f, h) and (g, h) i.e. $fu = gu = hu$.

If $fu \neq hu$ then $0_E < d(fu, hu)$. Using (2.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \phi[d(fu, y_{2n+1})] &= \phi[d(fu, gx_{2n+1})] \\ &\leq a\phi[d(hu, hx_{2n+1})] + b\phi[d(hu, fu) + d(hx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n+1})] \\ &= a\phi[d(hu, y_{2n})] + b\phi[d(hu, fu) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})] \end{aligned}$$



Since $y_{2n} \rightarrow hu, d_{2n} \rightarrow 0_B, d(fu, y_{2n+1}) \rightarrow d(fu, hu)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\emptyset \in \Phi(P, C)$, therefore letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in above inequality and using remark 1.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \emptyset[d(fu, hu)] &\leq b\emptyset[d(hu, fu)] \\ &< \emptyset[d(hu, fu)] \text{ (since } b < 1), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Therefore $fu = hu$. Similarly it can be shown that $gu = hu$.

Therefore $fu = gu = hu = \vartheta$ -----(2.6)

Thus ϑ is point of coincidence of pairs (f, h) and (g, h) . We shall show that it is unique.

Suppose w is another point of coincidence of these pairs i.e. $fz = gz = hz = w$ for some $z \in X$.

Then from (2.1) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \emptyset[d(w, \vartheta)] &= \emptyset[d(fz, gu)] \\ &\leq a\emptyset[d(hz, hu)] + b\emptyset[d(hz, fz) + d(hu, gu)] \\ &= a\emptyset[d(w, \vartheta)] + b\emptyset[d(w, w) + d(\vartheta, \vartheta)] \\ &= a\emptyset[d(w, \vartheta)]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $a < 1$, by remark 1.1 we obtain

$\emptyset[d(w, \vartheta)] = 0_B$ i.e. $w = \vartheta$. Thus point of coincidence is unique.

If pairs (f, h) and (g, h) are weakly compatible, from (2.6) we have $f\vartheta = fhu = hfu = h\vartheta$ and $g\vartheta = gh u = hgu = h\vartheta$, therefore $f\vartheta = g\vartheta = h\vartheta = p$ (say). This shows that p is another point of coincidence, therefore by uniqueness, we must have $p = \vartheta$ i.e.

$$f\vartheta = g\vartheta = h\vartheta = \vartheta.$$

Thus ϑ is unique common fixed point of self maps f, g and h .

Corollary 2.1. Let (X, d) be a cone metric space and P a normal cone with normal constant K . Suppose f, g, h be self maps of X satisfy the condition

$$\begin{aligned} \emptyset[d(fx, gy)] &\leq \alpha \emptyset[d(hx, hy)] + \beta \emptyset[d(hx, fx)] \\ &\quad + \gamma \emptyset[d(hy, gy)] \text{ for all } x, y \in X \end{aligned}$$

where $\emptyset \in \Phi(P, C)$ and α, β, γ are non negative reals with $\alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1$. If $f(X) \cup g(X) \subseteq h(X)$ and $h(X)$ is complete subspace of X , then the maps f, g and h have a unique point of coincidence in X . Moreover, if (f, h) and (g, h) are weakly compatible pairs then f, g and h have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. The symmetric property of d and the above inequality imply that

$$\emptyset[d(fx, gy)] \leq \alpha \emptyset[d(hx, hy)] + \frac{\beta + \gamma}{2} \emptyset[d(hx, fx) + d(hy, gy)]$$

By substituting $\alpha = a$ and $\frac{\beta + \gamma}{2} = b$ in above inequality, we obtain the required result as given in Theorem 2.1. It is also the Theorem 2.1 of [8].

Corollary 2.2. Let (X, d) be a cone metric space and P be normal cone with normal constant K . Suppose the self maps f, g, h of X satisfy the condition

$$\emptyset[d(fx, gy)] \leq a\emptyset[d(hx, hy)] + b\emptyset[d(hx, gy) + d(hy, fx)] \text{ for all } x, y \in X,$$

where $\emptyset \in \Phi(P, C)$ and a, b are nonnegative reals with $a + 2b < 1$. If $f(X) \cup g(X) \subseteq h(X)$ and $h(X)$ is a complete subspace of X , then the maps f, g and h have a unique point of coincidence in X . Moreover, if (f, h) and (g, h) are weakly compatible pairs then f, g and h have a unique common fixed point.

Theorem 2.2. Let (X, d) be a cone metric space and P a normal cone with normal constant K . Suppose f, g, h be self maps of X satisfy the condition

$$\begin{aligned} \emptyset[d(fx, gy)] &\leq a\emptyset[d(hx, hy)] + b\emptyset[d(hx, gy) + d(hy, fx)] \\ &\quad + c\emptyset[d(hx, fx) + d(hy, gy)] \text{ for all } x, y \in X \end{aligned} \text{ -----(2.7)}$$

where $\emptyset \in \Phi(P, C)$ and a, b, c are nonnegative reals with $a + 2b + 2c < 1$. If $f(X) \cup g(X) \subseteq h(X)$ and $h(X)$ is complete subspace of X , then the maps f, g and h have a unique point of coincidence in X . Moreover, if (f, h) and (g, h) are weakly compatible pairs then f, g and h have a unique common fixed point.



Proof. Suppose x_0 be any arbitrary point of X . Since $f(X) \cup g(X) \subset h(X)$, starting with x_0 we define a sequence $\{y_n\}$ such that

$y_{2n} = fx_{2n} = hx_{2n+1}$ and $y_{2n+1} = gx_{2n+1} = hx_{2n+2}$, for all $n \geq 0$. We shall prove that $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

If $y_n = y_{n+1}$ for some n , e.g. if $y_{2n} = y_{2n+1}$, then from (2.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \phi[d(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1})] &= \phi[d(fx_{2n+2}, gx_{2n+1})] \\ &\leq a\phi[d(hx_{2n+2}, hx_{2n+1})] + b\phi[d(hx_{2n+2}, gx_{2n+1}) + d(hx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+2})] \\ &\quad + c\phi[d(hx_{2n+2}, fx_{2n+2}) + d(hx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n+1})] \\ &= a\phi[d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})] + b\phi[d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+2})] \\ &\quad + c\phi[d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})] \end{aligned}$$

Since $y_{2n} = y_{2n+1}$, it follows from above inequality that,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi[d(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1})] &\leq b\phi[d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2})] + c\phi[d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2})] \\ &= (b + c)\phi[d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2})] \end{aligned}$$

As $b + c < 1$ from (f) of remark 1.1, we obtain

$\phi[d(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1})] = 0_B$ also $\phi \in \Phi(P, C)$ therefore we have

$d(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}) = 0_E$ i.e. $y_{2n+2} = y_{2n+1}$.

Similarly we obtain that

$y_{2n} = y_{2n+1} = y_{2n+2} = \dots = \vartheta$ (say).

Therefore $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Suppose $y_n \neq y_{n+1}$ for all n . Then from (2.7) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi[d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})] &= \phi[d(fx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1})] \\ &\leq a\phi[d(hx_{2n}, hx_{2n+1})] + b\phi[d(hx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1}) + d(hx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n})] \\ &\quad + c\phi[d(hx_{2n}, fx_{2n}) + d(hx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n+1})] \\ &= a\phi[d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})] + b\phi[d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n+1}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n})] \\ &\quad + c\phi[d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i.e. } \phi[d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})] &\leq \frac{a+b+c}{1-b-c} \phi[d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})] \\ &= \lambda \phi[d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})], \end{aligned}$$

Where $\lambda = \frac{a+b+c}{1-b-c} < 1$ (since $a + 2b + 2c < 1$).

Writing $d_n = \phi[d(y_n, y_{n+1})]$, we obtain

$$d_{2n} \leq \lambda d_{2n-1} \tag{2.8}$$

Again

$$\begin{aligned} \phi[d(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1})] &= \phi[d(fx_{2n+2}, gx_{2n+1})] \\ &\leq a\phi[d(hx_{2n+2}, hx_{2n+1})] + b\phi[d(hx_{2n+2}, gx_{2n+1}) + d(hx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+2})] \\ &\quad + c\phi[d(hx_{2n+2}, fx_{2n+2}) + d(hx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n+1})] \\ &= a\phi[d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})] + b\phi[d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+2})] \\ &\quad + c\phi[d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i.e. } \phi[d(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1})] &\leq \frac{a+b+c}{1-b-c} \phi[d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})] \\ &= \mu \phi[d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})] \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu = \frac{a+b+c}{1-b-c} < 1$ (since $a + 2b + 2c < 1$).



Therefore $d_{2n+1} \leq \mu d_{2n}$ -----(2.9)

From (2.8) and (2.9) we get

$$d_{2n} \leq \lambda d_{2n-1} \leq \lambda \mu d_{2n-2} \leq \dots \leq \lambda^n \mu^n d_0,$$

and

$$d_{2n+1} \leq \mu d_{2n} \leq \lambda \mu d_{2n-1} \leq \dots \leq \lambda^n \mu^{n+1} d_0.$$

Thus

$$d_{2n} + d_{2n+1} \leq \lambda^n \mu^n (1 + \mu) d_0$$
 -----(2.10)

$$d_{2n+1} + d_{2n+2} \leq \lambda^n \mu^{n+1} (1 + \lambda) d_0$$
 -----(2.11)

Let $n, m \in N$, then for the sequence $\{y_n\}$ we consider $\phi[d(y_n, y_m)]$ in two cases.

If n is even and $m > n$, then using (1.1) and (2.10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \phi[d(y_n, y_m)] &\leq k\phi[d(y_n, y_{n+1})] + k\phi[d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2})] + \\ &\quad \dots + k\phi[d(y_{m-1}, y_m)] \\ &\leq k[d_n + d_{n+1} + d_{n+2} + d_{n+3} + \dots] \\ &\leq k[\lambda^{\frac{n}{2}} \mu^{\frac{n}{2}} (1 + \mu) d_0 + \lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \mu^{\frac{n+2}{2}} (1 + \mu) d_0 + \dots] \\ \phi[d(y_n, y_m)] &\leq \frac{k(\lambda\mu)^{\frac{n}{2}} (1+\mu)}{1-\lambda\mu} d_0. \end{aligned}$$

If n is odd and $m > n$, then again using (1.1) and (2.11) we obtain

$$\phi[d(y_n, y_m)] \leq \frac{k(\lambda\mu)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} (1+\lambda)}{1-\lambda\mu} d_0.$$

Since $\lambda < 1, \mu < 1$ therefore $\lambda\mu < 1$, so in both the cases $\phi[d(y_n, y_m)] \rightarrow 0_B$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and since $\phi \in \Phi(P, C)$ we have $d(y_n, y_m) \rightarrow 0_E$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So by lemma 1.1, $\{y_n\} = \{hx_{n-1}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Since $h(X)$ is complete, there exists $\vartheta \in h(X)$ and $u \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = \vartheta$ and $\vartheta = hu$.

We shall show that u is a coincidence point of pairs (f, h) and (g, h) i.e. $fu = gu = hu$.

If $fu \neq hu$ then $0_E < d(fu, hu)$. Using (2.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \phi[d(fu, y_{2n+1})] &= \phi[d(fu, gx_{2n+1})] \\ &\leq a\phi[d(hu, hx_{2n+1})] + b\phi[d(hu, gx_{2n+1}) + d(hx_{2n+1}, fu)] \\ &\quad + c\phi[d(hu, fu) + d(hx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n+1})] \\ &= a\phi[d(hu, y_{2n})] + b\phi[d(hu, y_{2n+1}) + d(y_{2n}, fu)] \\ &\quad + c\phi[d(hu, fu) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})] \end{aligned}$$

Since $y_{2n} \rightarrow hu, d(fu, y_{2n+1}) \rightarrow d(fu, hu)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\phi \in \Phi(P, C)$, therefore letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in above inequality and using remark 1.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \phi[d(fu, hu)] &\leq (b + c)\phi[d(hu, fu)] \\ &< \phi[d(hu, fu)] \text{ (since } b + c < 1), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Therefore $fu = hu$. Similarly, it can be shown that $gu = hu$. Therefore

$$fu = gu = hu = \vartheta$$
 -----(2.12)

Thus ϑ is point of coincidence of pairs (f, h) and (g, h) . We shall show that it is unique.

Suppose w is another point of coincidence of these pairs i.e. $fz = gz = hz = w$ for some $z \in X$.

Then from (2.7) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi[d(w, \vartheta)] &= \phi[d(fz, gu)] \\ &\leq a\phi[d(hz, hu)] + b\phi[d(hz, gu) + d(hu, fz)] \\ &\quad + c\phi[d(hz, fz) + d(hu, gu)] \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&= a\phi[d(w, \vartheta)] + b\phi[d(w, \vartheta) + d(\vartheta, w)] \\
&\quad + c\phi[d(w, w) + d(\vartheta, \vartheta)] \\
&= (a + 2b)\phi[d(w, \vartheta)].
\end{aligned}$$

Since $a + 2b < 1$, by remark 1.1 we obtain

$\phi[d(w, \vartheta)] = 0_B$ i.e. $w = \vartheta$. Thus point of coincidence is unique.

If pairs (f, h) and (g, h) are weakly compatible, from (2.12) we have $f\vartheta = fhu = hfu = h\vartheta$ and $g\vartheta = ghv = hgv = h\vartheta$, therefore $f\vartheta = g\vartheta = h\vartheta = p$ (say). This shows that p is another point of coincidence, therefore by uniqueness, we must have $p = \vartheta$ i.e.

$$f\vartheta = g\vartheta = h\vartheta = \vartheta.$$

Thus ϑ is unique common fixed point of self maps f, g and h .

Theorem 2.3. Let (X, d) be a cone metric space and P a normal cone with normal constant K . Suppose f, g, h be self maps of X satisfy the condition.

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi[d(fx, gy)] &\leq a\phi[d(hx, hy)] + b\phi[d(hx, fx) + d(hx, gy)] \\
&\quad + c\phi[d(hy, fx) + d(hy, gy)] \text{ for all } x, y \in X \quad \text{-----(2.13)}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\phi \in \Phi(P, C)$ and a, b, c are non negative reals with $a + 2b + 2c < 1$. If $f(X) \cup g(X) \subset h(X)$ and $h(X)$ is complete subspace of X then the maps f, g and h have a unique point of coincidence in X . Moreover, if (f, h) and (g, h) are weakly compatible pairs then f, g and h have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. The proof of this theorem same as Theorem 2.2.

References

- [1] L.G.Huang, X.Zhang, Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 332(2)(2007), 1468-1476.
- [2] M. Abbas and B.E. Rhoades, Fixed and periodic point results in cone metric spaces, Appl. Math. Lett. 21(2008), 511-515.
- [3] P. Vetro, Common fixed points in cone metric spaces, Rendiconti Del Circolo Mathematico Di Palermo, LVI (2007), 464-468
- [4] G. Jungck, S. Radenovic, S. Radojevic and V. Rakocevic, Common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible pairs on cone metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, 57 (2009) article ID 643840, 13 pages.
- [5] Sh.Rezapour and R.Hamlbarani, Some notes on the paper "Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings", J. Math. Anal. Appl., 345 (2008), 719-724.
- [6] Stojan Redenovic, common fixed points under contractive conditions in cone metric spaces, Computers and Mathematics with Applications 58(2009)1273-1278.
- [7] M. Rangamma and K. Prudhvi, common fixed points under contractive conditions for three maps in cone metric spaces, Bulletin of Mathematical analysis and applications Vol. 4 Issue 1 (2012), 174-180.
- [8] S.K. Malhotra, S. Shukla and R. Sen, Some coincidence and common fixed point theorems in cone metric spaces, Bulletin of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Vol.4 Issue 2 (2012), 64-71.
- [9] S. Jankovic, Z. Golubovic and S. Redenovic, compatible and weakly compatible mappings in cone metric spaces, Math. Comput. Model. 52(2010), 1728-1738.
- [10] M. Abbas and G. Jungck, Common fixed point results for non-commuting mappings without continuity in cone metric spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 341(2008), 416-420.
- [11] Mehadi Asadi and Hassein Soleimani, Examples in Cone Metric Spaces, A survey, arXiv.1102.4675v1(math.FA) 23 Feb 2011.