



## On Ray's theorem for weak firmly nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert Spaces

Tamer Nabil<sup>1</sup> and Ahmed H. Soliman<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Suez Canal University, Faculty of Computers and Informatics, Department of Basic Science, Ismailia, Egypt  
<sup>1</sup>t\_3bdelsadek@yahoo.com

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University, Assiut 71524, Egypt  
<sup>2</sup>ahsolimanm@gmail.com

### ABSTRACT

In this work, we introduce notions of generalized firmly nonexpansive (G-firmly nonexpansive) and fundamentally firmly nonexpansive (F-firmly nonexpansive) mappings and utilize to the same to prove Ray's theorem for G-firmly and F-firmly nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert Spaces. Our results extend the result due to F. Kohsaka [ Ray's theorem revisited: a fixed point free firmly nonexpansive mapping in Hilbert spaces, Journal of Inequalities and Applications (2015) 2015:86 ].

**Keywords.** Ray's theorem; generalized firmly nonexpansive mapping; fundamentally firmly nonexpansive mapping; fixed point; Hilbert space.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 47H10, 54H10, 54H25.

### 1. INTRODUCTION and PRELIMINARIES

Let  $H$  be a real Hilbert space. The inner product and the induced norm on  $H$  are denoted by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and  $\| \cdot \|$  respectively.

The dual space of a Banach space  $X$  is denoted  $X^*$ . Consider  $K$  is nonempty closed convex subset of  $H$ . A mapping  $T : K \rightarrow K$  is said to be nonexpansive mapping if

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\| \quad (1)$$

for all  $x, y \in K$ .

In 1965, Browder [1] showed that if  $K$  is bounded, then every nonexpansive mapping on  $K$  has a fixed point. In 1980, Ray [2] showed that the converse of Browder's theorem is true, i.e. every nonexpansive self mapping on  $K$  has a fixed point, then  $K$  is bounded. There are many versions of Ray's theorem for nonexpansive mapping. For examples, in 1987, Sine [3], proved Ray's theorem by applying a version of the uniform boundedness principle (see, for instance, [6]) and the convex combination of a sequence of a metric projections onto closed and convex sets. In 2010, Aoyama et al. [4], obtained a strong version of Ray's theorem for the class of  $\lambda$ -hybrid mappings in Hilbert spaces.

Recently, Kohsaka [5] given another proof of a strong version of Ray's theorem [4] ensuring that every unbounded closed convex subset of a Hilbert space admits a fixed point free firmly nonexpansive mapping. He used in his proof a version of uniform boundedness principle and single metric projection onto a closed and convex set.

In this paper, we define two new class of weaker firmly nonexpansive called G-firmly and F-firmly nonexpansive. We present new two versions of Ray's theorem for mappings satisfying the conditions of weaker firmly nonexpansive.

We begin with some notations and preliminaries.

**Definition 1.1.** [5] A mapping  $T : K \rightarrow K$  is said to be firmly nonexpansive if

$$\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \langle Tx - Ty, x - y \rangle \quad (2)$$

for all  $x, y \in K$ .

**Definition 1.2.** [7] A linear subspace  $M$  of a normed space  $X$  is called proximal (resp. Chebyshev) if for each  $x \in X$ , the set of best approximations to  $x$  from  $M$ ,

$$P_M := \{y \in M : \|x - y\| = \inf_{m \in M} \|x - m\|\},$$

is nonempty (resp. a singleton). It well know that for each element of the Hilbert space there exist Chebyshev convex subset.



**Definition 1.3.** [5] The mapping  $P_K : H \rightarrow K$  which is defined by  $P_K x = z_x$  for  $x \in H$  such that

$\|P_K x - x\| \leq \|y - x\|$  for all  $y \in K$  is called the metric projection of  $H$  onto  $K$ , therefore,  $z = P_K x$  if and only if  $\sup_{y \in K} \langle y - z, x - z \rangle \leq 0$  for all  $(x, y) \in H \times K$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** (A strong version of Rays theorem [4]) Let  $K$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space  $H$ . If every firmly nonexpansive self-mapping on  $K$  has a fixed point, then  $K$  is bounded.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

We now present our new conditions of weak nonexpansive.

**Definition 2.1.** A self mapping  $T$  on  $K$  is said to be G-firmly nonexpansive if

$$\frac{1}{3} \|x - Tx\|^2 \leq \langle Tx - Ty, x - y \rangle \Rightarrow \|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \langle Tx - Ty, x - y \rangle, \forall x, y \in K. \quad (3)$$

**Proposition 2.1.** Every firmly nonexpansive is G-firmly nonexpansive.

**Remark 2.1.** The converse of proposition 2.1 is not true as we will see in the following example.

**Example 2.1.** Define a mapping  $T$  on  $[0, 4]$  such that  $Tx = 0$  as  $x \neq 4$  and  $Tx = 0.5$  as  $x = 4$ . Then  $T$  is G-firmly nonexpansive but  $T$  is not firmly nonexpansive. Where the inner product  $\langle x, y \rangle = x \cdot y$  for all real numbers  $x$  and  $y$ .

**Proof.** It is clear that  $T$  is not continuous, therefore it is not firmly nonexpansive. If  $x < y$  and  $x \in [0, 2] \cup \{4\}$  and  $y \in [0, 4)$ , then  $\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \langle Tx - Ty, x - y \rangle$  holds. If  $x \in (2, 4)$  and  $y = 4$ , then

$$\frac{1}{3} \|x - Tx\|^2 = \frac{x^2}{3} > 1, \langle Tx - Ty, x - y \rangle < 1 \text{ and } \frac{1}{3} \|y - Ty\|^2 > 1.$$

Thus  $T$  is generalized firmly nonexpansive mapping. ■

**Definition 2.2.** A self mapping  $T$  on  $K$  is said to be F-firmly nonexpansive if

$$\|T^2 x - Ty\|^2 \leq \langle T^2 x - Ty, Tx - y \rangle, \forall x, y \in K. \quad (4)$$

**Proposition 2.2.** Every firmly nonexpansive is F-firmly nonexpansive.

**Remark 2.2.** The converse of proposition 2.2 is not true as we will see in the following example.

**Example 2.2.** Define the mapping  $T$  on  $[0, 2]$  by

$$Tx = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \neq 2, \\ 1 & \text{if } x = 2. \end{cases}$$

And the inner product  $\langle x, y \rangle = x \cdot y$  for all real numbers  $x$  and  $y$ .

Then  $T$  is F-firmly nonexpansive but  $T$  is not firmly nonexpansive.

**Proof.** Let  $x = 2$  and  $y = 1.5$ . Then  $\|Tx - Ty\|^2 = 1$ , but  $\langle Tx - Ty, x - y \rangle = 0.5$ . Thus  $T$  is not firmly nonexpansive mapping.

If  $x, y \in [0, 2)$ , then  $\|T^2 x - Ty\| = 0$  and  $\langle T^2 x - Ty, Tx - y \rangle = 0$ . If  $x = 2$  and  $y \in [0, 2)$ , then we have that:

$$\|T^2 x - Ty\| = 1 \text{ and } \langle T^2 x - Ty, Tx - y \rangle = 1.$$

Last case, if  $x \in [0, 2)$  and  $y = 2$ , we get that:



$$\|T^2x - Ty\| = 1 \text{ and } \langle T^2x - Ty, Tx - y \rangle = 2.$$

Therefore  $T$  is  $F$ -firmly nonexpansive. ■

**Lemma 2.1.**

- (1) the metric projection mapping  $P_K$  (as in definition 1.3) of a Hilbert space  $H$  onto a nonempty closed subset  $K$  of  $H$  is  $F$ -firmly nonexpansive,
- (2) if  $K$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $H$ ,  $a \in H$ , and  $T : K \rightarrow K$  such that  $Tx = P_K(x + a)$  for all  $x \in K$ . Then  $T$  is a  $F$ -firmly nonexpansive self -mapping on  $K$ ,
- (3)  $u \in K$  is fixed point of  $T$  if and only if  $\langle u, a \rangle = \sup_{y \in K} \langle y, a \rangle$ .

**Proof.** (1) Let  $x, y \in H$ , thus we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{w \in K} \langle w - P_K^2x, P_Kx - P_K^2x \rangle &\leq 0 \text{ and } \sup_{k \in K} \langle k - P_Ky, y - P_Ky \rangle \leq 0 \text{ and hence} \\ \|P_K^2x - P_Ky\|^2 - \langle P_K^2x - P_Ky, P_Kx - y \rangle &= \langle P_K^2x - P_Ky, P_K^2x - P_Ky \rangle - \langle P_K^2x - P_Ky, P_Kx - y \rangle \\ &= \langle P_K^2x - P_Ky, P_K^2x - P_Ky - P_Kx + y \rangle \\ &= \langle P_K^2x - P_Ky, y - P_Ky \rangle + \langle P_K^2x - P_Ky, P_K^2x - P_Kx \rangle \\ &= \langle P_K^2x - P_Ky, y - P_Ky \rangle + \langle P_Ky - P_K^2x, P_Kx - P_K^2x \rangle \\ &= \sup_{w \in K} \langle w - P_Ky, y - P_Ky \rangle + \sup_{k \in K} \langle k - P_K^2x, P_Kx - P_K^2x \rangle \\ &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Which implies that:  $\|P_K^2x - P_Ky\|^2 \leq \langle P_K^2x - P_Ky, P_Kx - y \rangle$ . Thus  $P_K$  is  $F$ -firmly nonexpansive.

$$(2) \|Tx - Ty\|^2 = \|P_K(x + a) - P_K(y + a)\|^2 \leq \langle P_K(x + a) - P_K(y + a), x + a - y - a \rangle = \langle Tx - Ty, x - y \rangle.$$

Put,  $x = Tu$  and  $v = y$ , hence  $T$  is a  $F$ -firmly nonexpansive self-mapping on  $K$ .

$$(3) u \in F(T) \Leftrightarrow P_K(u + a) = u \Leftrightarrow \sup_{y \in K} \langle y - u, u + a - u \rangle \leq 0 \Leftrightarrow \langle u, a \rangle = \sup_{y \in K} \langle y, a \rangle. \blacksquare$$

**Lemma 2.2.** The metric projection mapping of a Hilbert space  $H$  onto a nonempty closed subset  $K$  of  $H$  is  $G$ -firmly nonexpansive. Furthermore, if  $K$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $H$ , and  $a \in H$ , and  $T : K \rightarrow K$  such that  $Tx = P_K(x + a)$  for all  $x \in K$ . Then  $T$  is a  $G$ -firmly nonexpansive self-mapping on  $K$  such that :  $u \in K$  is fixed point of  $T$  if and only if  $\langle u, a \rangle = \sup_{y \in K} \langle y, a \rangle$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x, y \in K$ , we have that:

$$\|Tx - Ty\|^2 = \|P_K(x + a) - P_K(y + a)\|^2 \leq \langle P_K(x + a) - P_K(y + a), x + a - y - a \rangle = \langle Tx - Ty, x - y \rangle.$$

Hence  $T$  is a firmly self mapping on  $K$ . Then the same argument as in the proof of lemma 2.1 leads to  $u \in F(T)$  if and only if  $\langle u, a \rangle = \sup_{y \in K} \langle y, a \rangle$ . ■



We are now ready to introduce our new versions of Ray's theorem for weak firmly nonexpansive self-mappings.

**Theorem 2.1 .** ( F-firmly version of Ray's theorem ) Let  $K$  be a nonempty closed convex of a Hilbert space  $H$  . If the following fixed point property (F) hold then  $K$  is bounded.

(F) If every F-firmly nonexpansive mapping  $T : K \rightarrow K$  has a fixed point.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $K$  is unbounded. Thus there exist  $x^* \in H$  such that  $x^*(K)$  is unbounded (see, for instance, [6]). Then we have  $a \in H$  such that :  $\sup_{y \in K} \langle y, a \rangle = \infty$  . Define  $Tx = P_K(x + a)$  and by (3) in Lemma 2.1, then  $T$  is a fixed point free F-firmly nonexpansive self mapping on  $K$  . ■

**Theorem 2.2.** ( G-firmly version of Ray's theorem) Let  $K$  be a nonempty closed convex of a Hilbert space  $H$  . If the following fixed point property (E) hold then  $K$  is bounded.

(E) If every G-firmly nonexpansive mapping  $T : K \rightarrow K$  has a fixed point.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank the referees for the valuable remarks and comments in the revision of this manuscript.

## REFERENCES

- [1] F. E. Browder, Non-expansive nonlinear operators in Banach spaces, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 54 (1965) 1041-1044.
- [2] W. O. Ray, The fixed point property and unbounded sets in Hilbert space, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 258 (1980) 531- 537.
- [3] R. Sine, On the converse of the nonexpansive map fixed point theorem for Hilbert space, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 100 (1987) 489- 490.
- [4] K. Aoyama, S. Lemoto, F. Kohsaka and W. Takahashi, Fixed point and ergodic theorems for  $\lambda$ -hybrid mapping in Hilber Spaces, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. 11 (2010) 335-343.
- [5] F. Kohsaka , Ray's theorem revisited: a fixed point free firmly nonexpansive mapping in Hilbert spaces, Journal of Inequalities and Applications (2015) 2015:86.
- [6] H. Brezis, Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations. Springer, Berlin (2011).
- [7] F. Deutsch, Linear selections for the metric projection, Journal of Functional Analysis 49 (1982), 269-292.