



ISSN 2347-1921

On a coupled system of functional integral equations of Urysohn type

A. M. A. El-Sayed & M. Sh. Mohamed & N.S. Aziz
Faculty of Science, Alexandria University, Alexandria, Egypt

Abstract : In this paper we shall study some existence theorems of solutions for a coupled system of functional integral equations of Urysohn type.

Key words: Functional integral equations ; continuous and integrable solutions, Contraction mapping fixed point Theorem .



Council for Innovative Research

Peer Review Research Publishing System

Journal: JOURNAL OF ADVANCES IN MATHEMATICS

Vol .11, No.3

www.cirjam.com , editorjam@gmail.com



1 INTRODUCTION

The topic of functional integral (integration of Urysohn type) integral equations is a one of the most important and useful branch of mathematical analysis. Integral equations of various types create the significant subject of several mathematical investigations and appear often in many applications, especially in solving numerous problems in physics, engineering and economics [1][3][10].

Consider the coupled system of functional integral equations

$$x(t) = f_1(t, \int_0^1 u_1(t,s,y(\theta_1(s))) ds), \quad t \in [0; 1] \quad (1)$$

$$y(t) = f_2(t, \int_0^1 u_2(t,s,x(\theta_2(s))) ds), \quad t \in [0; 1]$$

Here we prove

The existence of solution $x, y \in C[0; 1]$ and $x, y \in L^1[0; 1]$ of the coupled system (1)

2 Existence of a unique solution of (1)

Let $\theta_i : [0,1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ are continuous and consider the functional integral equations (1) with the following assumptions:

(i) $f_i : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ are continuous in $[0,1]$ and satisfies the Lipschitz condition,

$$|f_i(t, x) - f_i(t, y)| \leq L_i |x - y|, \quad i = 1, 2$$

where L_i is positive constant.

(ii) $u_i : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ are continuous in $t \in [0; 1]$, measurable in $s \in [0; 1]$ and satisfies, for every $(t, s, x), (t, s, y) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$, the Lipschitz condition,

$$|u_i(t, s, x) - u_i(t, s, y)| \leq k_i(t, s) |x - y|, \quad i = 1, 2$$

(iii) $\sup_{t \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 k_i(t,s) ds \leq M_i, \quad t \in [0,1]$

Let $X = \{u = (x, y) : x, y \in C[0, 1]\}$ and it is norm defined as: –

$$\| (x, y) \| = \|x\| + \|y\| = \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |x(t)| + \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |y(t)|$$

Now for the existence of a unique positive continuous solution of the coupled systems of functional integral equations (1) we have the following Theorem.

Theorem 2.1 Let the assumptions (i)-(iii) be satisfied. If $L_i M_i < 1$, then the coupled system of functional equations (1) has a unique continuous solution in X .

Proof. Define the operator F by

$$F(x, y) = (F_1 y, F_2 x)$$

where

$$F_1 y = f_1(t, \int_0^1 u_1(t,s,y(\theta_1(s))) ds), \quad t \in [0, 1]$$

$$F_2 x = f_2(t, \int_0^1 u_2(t,s,x(\theta_2(s))) ds), \quad t \in [0, 1]$$

Firstly we prove that $F : X \rightarrow X$.

Let $u = (x, y) \in X, t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$



$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$ such that $|t_2 - t_1| < \delta$, then

$$F_1 y(t_1) = f_1(t_1, \int_0^1 u_1(t_1, s, y(\phi_1(s))) ds)$$

$$F_1 y(t_2) = f_1(t_2, \int_0^1 u_1(t_2, s, y(\phi_1(s))) ds)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & |F_1 y(t_2) - F_1 y(t_1)| \\ &= |f_1(t_2, \int_0^1 u_1(t_2, s, y(\phi_1(s))) ds) - f_1(t_1, \int_0^1 u_1(t_1, s, y(\phi_1(s))) ds)| \\ &= |f_1(t_2, \int_0^1 u_1(t_2, s, y(\phi_1(s))) ds) - f_1(t_1, \int_0^1 u_1(t_1, s, y(\phi_1(s))) ds) \\ &+ f_1(t_1, \int_0^1 u_1(t_2, s, y(\phi_1(s))) ds) - f_1(t_1, \int_0^1 u_1(t_2, s, y(\phi_1(s))) ds)| \\ &= |f_1(t_2, \int_0^1 u_1(t_2, s, y(\phi_1(s))) ds) - f_1(t_1, \int_0^1 u_1(t_2, s, y(\phi_1(s))) ds) \\ &+ f_1(t_1, \int_0^1 u_1(t_2, s, y(\phi_1(s))) ds) - f_1(t_1, \int_0^1 u_1(t_1, s, y(\phi_1(s))) ds)| \\ &= |f_1(t_2, \int_0^1 u_1(t_2, s, y(\phi_1(s))) ds) - f_1(t_1, \int_0^1 u_1(t_2, s, y(\phi_1(s))) ds)| \\ &+ |f_1(t_1, \int_0^1 u_1(t_2, s, y(\phi_1(s))) ds) - f_1(t_1, \int_0^1 u_1(t_1, s, y(\phi_1(s))) ds)| \\ &= |f_1(t_2, \int_0^1 u_1(t_2, s, y(\phi_1(s))) ds) - f_1(t_1, \int_0^1 u_1(t_2, s, y(\phi_1(s))) ds)| \\ &+ |f_1(t_1, \int_0^1 u_1(t_2, s, y(\phi_1(s))) ds) - f_1(t_1, \int_0^1 u_1(t_1, s, y(\phi_1(s))) ds)| \\ &= L_1 \int_0^1 |u_1(t_2, s, y(\phi_1(s))) - u_1(t_1, s, y(\phi_1(s)))| ds \\ &+ L_1 \int_0^1 |u_1(t_2, s, y(\phi_1(s))) - u_1(t_1, s, y(\phi_1(s)))| ds \end{aligned}$$

This proves that $F_1: C[0; 1] \rightarrow C[0; 1]$

Similarly

$$F_2 x(t_1) = f_2(t_1, \int_0^1 u_2(t_1, s, x(\phi_2(s))) ds)$$

$$F_2 x(t_2) = f_2(t_2, \int_0^1 u_2(t_2, s, x(\phi_2(s))) ds)$$



$$|F_2x(t_2) - F_2x(t_1)| \leq |f_2(t_2, \int_0^1 u_2(t_2, s, x(\Theta_2(s))) ds - f_2(t_1, \int_0^1 u_2(t_2, s, x(\Theta_2(s))) ds)|$$

$$+ L_2 \int_0^1 |u_2(t_2, s, x(\Theta_2(s))) - u_2(t_1, s, x(\Theta_2(s)))| ds.$$

This proves that $F_2 : C[0; 1] \rightarrow C[0; 1]$

Hence

$$F(x; y) = (F_1y; F_2x)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \| (F(x(t_2), y(t_2)) - F(x(t_1), y(t_1))) \| &= \| (F_1y(t_2), F_2x(t_2)) - (F_1y(t_1), F_2x(t_1)) \| \\ &= \| (F_1y(t_2) - F_1y(t_1), F_2x(t_2) - F_2x(t_1)) \| \\ &= \| F_1y(t_2) - F_1y(t_1) \| + \| F_2x(t_2) - F_2x(t_1) \|, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$F : X \rightarrow X$$

Now to prove that F is a contraction, we have the following.

$$\text{Let } u = (x, y) \in X, w = (g, v) \in X$$

$$F(x, y) = (F_1y, F_2x),$$

$$F(g, v) = (F_1v, F_2g)$$

then

$$F_1y(t) = f_1(t, \int_0^1 u_1(t, s, y(\Theta_1(s))) ds)$$

$$F_1v(t) = f_1(t, \int_0^1 u_1(t, s, v(\Theta_1(s))) ds)$$

then

$$|F_1y(t) - F_1v(t)| = |f_1(t, \int_0^1 u_1(t, s, y(\Theta_1(s))) ds) - f_1(t, \int_0^1 u_1(t, s, v(\Theta_1(s))) ds)|$$

$$\leq L_1 | \int_0^1 u_1(t, s, y(\Theta_1(s))) ds - \int_0^1 u_1(t, s, v(\Theta_1(s))) ds |$$

$$\leq L_1 | \int_0^1 u_1(t, s, y(\Theta_1(s))) - u_1(t, s, v(\Theta_1(s))) ds |$$

$$\leq L_1 \int_0^1 |u_1(t, s, y(\Theta_1(s))) - u_1(t, s, v(\Theta_1(s)))| ds$$

$$\leq L_1 \int_0^1 k_1(t, s) |y(\Theta_1(s)) - v(\Theta_1(s))| ds$$

$$\leq L_1 \int_0^1 k_1(t, s) \| y(\Theta_1(s)) - v(\Theta_1(s)) \| ds$$



$$\leq L_1 \|y - v\| \int_0^1 k_1(t, s) ds$$

$$\|F_1 y(t) - F_1 v(t)\| \leq M_1 L_1 \|y - v\|.$$

Since $M_1 L_1 < 1$, then F_1 is a contraction.

By a similar way we can prove that

$$F_2 x(t) = f_2 \left(t, \int_0^1 u_2(t, s, x(\phi_2(s))) ds \right),$$

$$F_2 g(t) = f_2 \left(t, \int_0^1 u_2(t, s, g(\phi_2(s))) ds \right)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_2 x(t) - F_2 g(t)\| &\leq L_2 \|x - g\| \int_0^1 k_2(t, s) ds \\ &\leq M_2 L_2 \|x - g\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $M_2 L_2 < 1$, then F_2 is a contraction.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(x, y) - F(g, v)\| &= \|(F_1 y, F_2 x) - (F_1 v, F_2 g)\| \\ &= \|(F_1 y - F_1 v, F_2 x - F_2 g)\| \\ &= \|F_1 y - F_1 v\| + \|F_2 x - F_2 g\| \\ &\leq \max(L_1 M_1, L_2 M_2) \|(x, y) - (g, v)\| \end{aligned}$$

and $\max(L_1 M_1, L_2 M_2) < 1$ then by using Banach fixed point Theorem, the operator F has a unique fixed point in X of the coupled systems of equations (1)

3 Existence of a unique integrable solution of (1)

Consider the functional integral equation (1) with the following assumptions:

- (i*) $f_i: [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be measurable in $t \in [0, 1]$, $f_i(t, 0) \in L_1[0, 1]$ and satisfy the Lipschitz condition, with constant L_i , $i = 1; 2$

$$|f_i(t, x) - f_i(t, y)| \leq L_i |x - y|.$$

and

$$\int_0^1 f_i(t, 0) dt \leq N_i$$

- (ii*) $u_i: [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ are measurable in $t, s \in [0, 1]$ and satisfy, that for every $(t, s, x), (t, s, y) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$, the Lipschitz condition

$$|u_i(t, s, x) - u_i(t, s, y)| \leq k_i(t, s) |x - y|.$$

with



$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 u_i(t, s, 0) ds dt \leq C_i$$

(iii*)

$$\sup_{s \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 k_i(t, s) dt \leq M_i, \quad t \in [0,1],$$

(iv*) $\Phi_i: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is nondecreasing and there exists $\beta > 0$ such that $\Phi_i \geq \beta$.Let $Y = \{u = (x, y): x, y \in L^1[0,1]\}$ and its norm is defined as:—

$$\|u\|_{L^1} = \|x\|_{L^1} + \|y\|_{L^1} = \int_0^1 |x(t)| dt + \int_0^1 |y(t)| dt$$

Theorem 3.2 Let the assumptions (i)-(iv) be satisfied. If $\frac{M_i L_i}{\beta} < 1$, then the coupled system of functional equations (1) has a unique integrable solution in Y .

Proof. Define the operator T by,

$$T(x, y) = (T_1 y, T_2 x)$$

where

$$T_1 y(t) = f_1 \left(t, \int_0^1 u_1(t, s, y(\Phi_1(s))) ds \right), \quad t \in [0,1],$$

$$T_2 x(t) = f_2 \left(t, \int_0^1 u_2(t, s, x(\Phi_2(s))) ds \right), \quad t \in [0,1].$$

Firstly we prove that $T: Y \rightarrow Y$ Let $u = (x, y) \in Y$, then

$$|T_1 y(t)| = \left| f_1 \left(t, \int_0^1 u_1(t, s, y(\Phi_1(s))) ds \right) \right|$$

$$\|T_1 y(t)\|_{L^1} = \int_0^1 |T_1 y(t)| dt = \int_0^1 \left| f_1 \left(t, \int_0^1 u_1(t, s, y(\Phi_1(s))) ds \right) \right| dt$$

From Lipschitz condition

$$|f_i(t, x) - f_i(t, y)| \leq L_i |x - y|,$$

$$|f_i(t, x)| - |f_i(t, 0)| \leq |f_i(t, x) - f_i(t, 0)| \leq L_i |x|$$

$$|f_i(t, x)| \leq L_i |x| + |f_i(t, 0)|$$

then

$$\|T_1 y(t)\|_{L^1} \leq \int_0^1 (L_1 \int_0^1 |u_1(t, s, y(\Phi_1(s)))| ds + |f_1(t, 0)|) dt$$

$$\leq \int_0^1 (L_1 \int_0^1 |u_1(t, s, y(\Phi_1(s)))| ds + |f_1(t, 0)|) dt$$

From Lipschitz condition

$$|u_i(t, s, x) - u_i(t, s, y)| \leq k_i(t, s) |x - y|.$$



$$|ui(t,s,x) - ui(t,s,0)| \leq |ui(t,s,x) - ui(t,s,0)| \leq ki(t,s)|x|.$$

$$|ui(t,s,x)| \leq ki(t,s)|x| + |ui(t,s,0)|$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_1y(t)\|_{L1} &\leq \int_0^1 (L_1 \int_0^1 k_1(t,s)|y(\Theta_1(s))|ds + |u_1(t,s,0)| + |f_1(t,0)|)dt \\ &\leq L_1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 k_1(t,s)|y(\Theta_1(s))|dsdt + \int_0^1 |u_1(t,s,0)|dsdt + \int_0^1 |f_1(t,0)|dt \end{aligned}$$

Then by changing the order of integration, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq L_1M_1 \int_0^1 |y(\Theta_1(s))|ds + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |u_1(t,s,0)|dsdt + \int_0^1 |f_1(t,0)|dt \\ &\leq L_1M_1 \int_0^1 |y(\Theta_1(s))|ds + C_1 + N_1 \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 |y(\Theta_1(s))|ds &= \int_{\Theta(0)}^{\Theta(1)} |y(\theta)| \frac{d\theta}{\Theta'(s)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\beta} \int_0^1 |y(\theta)|d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{\beta} \|y\|. \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_1y(t)\|_{L1} &= \int_0^1 |T_1y(t)|dt \leq \frac{L_1M_1}{\beta} \|y\| + C_1 + N_1 \\ &\leq \frac{L_1M_1}{\beta} \|y\| \end{aligned}$$

This proves that $T_1 : L^1[0,1] \rightarrow L^1[0,1]$.

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} |T_2x(t)| &= |f_2(t, \int_0^1 u_2(t,s,x(\Theta_2(s)))ds)| \\ \|T_2x(t)\|_{L1} &= \int_0^1 |T_2x(t)|dt = \int_0^1 |f_2(t, \int_0^1 u_2(t,s,x(\Theta_2(s)))ds)|dt \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\|T_2x(t)\|_{L1} = \int_0^1 |T_2x(t)|dt \leq \frac{L_2M_2}{\beta} \|x\| + C_2 + N_2 \leq \frac{L_2M_2}{\beta} \|x\|$$

This proves that $T_2 : L^1[0,1] \rightarrow L^1[0,1]$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(x,y)\| &= \|(T_1y, T_2x)\| \\ &= \|T_1y\| + \|T_2x\| \end{aligned}$$



$$= \frac{L1M1}{\beta} \|y\| + \frac{L2M2}{\beta} \|x\|$$

then $T : Y \rightarrow Y$.

Now to prove that T is a contraction, we have the following.

Let $u = (x, y) \in Y, w = (g, v) \in Y$

$T(x, y) = (T1y, T2x)$,

$T(g, v) = (T1v, T2g)$

then

$$T1y(t) = f1(t, \int_0^1 u1(t, s, y(\Theta1(s))) ds),$$

$$T1v(t) = f1(t, \int_0^1 u1(t, s, v(\Theta1(s))) ds)$$

then

$$|T1y(t) - T1v(t)| = |f1(t, \int_0^1 u1(t, s, y(\Theta1(s))) ds) - f1(t, \int_0^1 u1(t, s, v(\Theta1(s))) ds)|$$

$$\|T1y(t) - T1v(t)\|_{L1} = \int_0^1 |T1y(t) - T1v(t)| dt$$

$$= |f1(t, \int_0^1 u1(t, s, y(\Theta1(s))) ds) - f1(t, \int_0^1 u1(t, s, v(\Theta1(s))) ds)| dt$$

$$\leq L1 \left| \int_0^1 u1(t, s, y(\Theta1(s))) ds - \int_0^1 u1(t, s, v(\Theta1(s))) ds \right| dt$$

$$\leq \int_0^1 L1 \left| \int_0^1 u1(t, s, y(\Theta1(s))) - u1(t, s, v(\Theta1(s))) ds \right| dt$$

$$\leq \int_0^1 L1 \int_0^1 |u1(t, s, y(\Theta1(s))) - u1(t, s, v(\Theta1(s)))| ds dt$$

$$\leq \int_0^1 L1 \int_0^1 k1(t, s) |y(\Theta1(s)) - v(\Theta1(s))| ds dt$$

$$\leq L1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 k1(t, s) |y(\Theta1(s)) - v(\Theta1(s))| ds dt$$

But

$$\int_0^1 |y(\Theta1(s)) - v(\Theta1(s))| ds = \int_{\Theta(0)}^{\Theta(1)} |y(z) - v(z)| \frac{dz}{\Theta'(s)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\beta} \int_0^1 |y(z) - v(z)| dz$$



$$= \frac{1}{\beta} \|y - v\|$$

then

$$\|T_1y(t) - T_1v(t)\|_{L1} = \int_0^1 |T_1y(t) - T_1v(t)| dt \leq \frac{M_1L_1}{\beta} \|y - v\|$$

Since $\frac{M_1L_1}{\beta} < 1$, then T_1 is a contraction.

Similarly

$$T_2x(t) = f_2\left(t, \int_0^1 u_2(t, s, x(\phi_2(s))) ds\right),$$

$$T_2g(t) = f_2\left(t, \int_0^1 u_2(t, s, g(\phi_2(s))) ds\right)$$

then

$$\|T_2x(t) - T_2g(t)\|_{L1} = \int_0^1 |T_2x(t) - T_2g(t)| dt \leq L_2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 k_2(t, s) |x(\phi_2(s)) - g(\phi_2(s))| ds dt$$

$$\|T_2x(t) - T_2g(t)\|_{L1} = \int_0^1 |T_2x(t) - T_2g(t)| dt \leq \frac{M_2L_2}{\beta} \|x - g\|$$

Since $\frac{M_2L_2}{\beta} < 1$, then T_2 is a contraction.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(x, y) - T(g, v)\| &= \|(T_1y, T_2x) - (T_1v, T_2g)\| \\ &= \|T_1y - T_1v, T_2x - T_2g\| \\ &= \|T_1y - T_1v\| + \|T_2x - T_2g\| \\ &\leq \max\left(\frac{L_1M_1}{\beta}, \frac{L_2M_2}{\beta}\right) \|(x, y) - (g, v)\| \end{aligned}$$

and $\max\left(\frac{L_1M_1}{\beta}, \frac{L_2M_2}{\beta}\right) < 1$ Then by using Banach fixed point Theorem, the operator T has a unique fixed point in Y of the coupled systems of equations (1)

Example:

Let

$$f_i(t, u) = a_i + u,$$

then the coupled system (1) will take the form

$$x(t) = a_1 + \int_0^1 u_1(t, s, y(\phi_1(s))) ds,$$

$$y(t) = a_2 + \int_0^1 u_2(t, s, x(\phi_2(s))) ds$$

Which is a coupled system of Unysohn type integral equations.



REFERENCES

- [1] J. Appell and Implicit function, nonlinear integral equations and the measure of non- compactness of the superposition operator J. Math. Anal. Appl., 83, (1981), pp. 251- 263.
- [2] Andrei Horvat-Marc, Cosmin Sabo, and Cezar Toader, Positive solutions of Urysohn integral equations, Proceedings of the 7th WSEAS International Conference on Systems Theory and Scientific Computation, Athens, Greece, August 24-26, (2007).
- [3] J. Banaś, Integrable solutions of Hammerstein and Urysohn integral equations, J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A) 46 (1989), 61-68.
- [4] M. A. Darwish, On integral equation of Urysohn- Volterra type, Appl. Math. comput. 136 (2003), 93-98.
- [5] W. G. EL-Sayed, A. A. El-Bary, and M. A. Darwish, Solvability of Urysohn integral equation, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 145 (2003) 487-493.
- [6] K. Goebel, and W. A. Kirk, Topics in metric fixed point theory, Cambridge University Press, (1990).
- [7] Ibrahim Abouelfarag Ibrahim, On the existence of solutions of functional integral equation of Urysohn type, Computers and Mathematics with Applications 57 (2009), 1609- 1614.
- [8] Donal O'Regan, Radu Precup, Existence criteria for integral equations in Banach spaces, J. of Inequal. and Appl., (2001), Vol. 6, pp. 77-97.
- [9] Donal O'Regan, Volterra and Urysohn integral equations in Banach spaces, Journal of Applied Mathematics and Stochastic Analysis, 11:4 (1998), 449-464.
- [10] P. P. Zabrejko, A. I. Koshelev, M. A. Kransel'skii, S. G. Mikhlin, L. S. Rakovshchik and V. J. Stetsenko, Integral equations, Nauka, Moscow, (1968), [English Translation: Noordhoff, Leyden 1975].