



Some Universal Constructions For I- Fuzzy Topological Spaces II

E. H. Hamouda

Department of Basic Sciences, Industrial education college, Beni-Suef University, Egypt
ehamouda70@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Hamouda E. H. [J. of Advances in Math. Vol 6, 2(2014), 973-941] has introduced some universal constructions in the category **FTOP**, whose objects are the I-fuzzy topological spaces (X, μ, F) where X is an ordinary set, μ is a fuzzy set in X and F is a family of fuzzy sets in X satisfying some axioms. In this paper we introduce the dual universal constructions, namely, fuzzy co-products, fuzzy co-equalizers and fuzzy pushouts for I-fuzzy topological spaces. Also we discuss some results concerning all such universal objects.

Keywords: Fuzzy sets; I-fuzzy topological spaces; Fuzzy co-equalizers; Fuzzy pushouts; Fuzzy co-products.



Council for Innovative Research

Peer Review Research Publishing System

Journal: Journal of Advances in Mathematics

Vol 7, No. 2

editor@cirworld.com

www.cirworld.com, member.cirworld.com



1. INTRODUCTION

Zadeh [15] introduced the notion of a fuzzy set as a function from the given set to the unit interval. The first categorical definition of fuzzy sets was introduced by J. A. Goguen [5]. In the case of fuzzy topology, there are various interesting categories of fuzzy topological spaces. The collection of all fuzzy topological spaces and fuzzy continuous functions form a category. Since C. Chang, R. Lowen and J. Goguen have defined fuzzy topology in different ways, each of them defines a different category of fuzzy topological spaces [11,13]. Geetha S. [4,5,6] introduced a new category **FTOP**, the objects are I - fuzzy topological spaces (X, μ, F) where X is an ordinary set, μ is a fuzzy set in X and F is a family of fuzzy sets in X satisfying some axioms. Some applications of category theory in fuzzy topology are presented in [2, 8, 14]. Behera [2] introduced the concepts of fuzzy equalizers, fuzzy pullbacks and their duals for fuzzy topological spaces in the sense of Chang. Hamouda E. H. [8] introduced some universal objects for I - fuzzy topological spaces (X, μ, F) . In this paper the dual concepts, namely, fuzzy co-products, fuzzy co-equalizers and fuzzy pushouts for I -fuzzy topological spaces are investigated. Also we discuss some results concerning all such universal objects.

2. PRELIMINARIES

As usual I denotes the closed unit interval $[0,1]$. A fuzzy set A in a set X is a function on X into the closed unit interval $[0, 1]$ of the real line. The fuzzy sets in X taking on respectively the constant values 0 and 1 are denoted by 0_X and 1_X respectively. For two fuzzy sets A, B in X , we write $A \leq B$ if $A(x) \leq B(x)$ for each $x \in X$. For a collection of fuzzy sets $\{A_i: i \in J\}$, the union $C = \cup_{i \in J} A_i$ and the intersection $D = \cap_{i \in J} A_i$ are defined by

$$C(x) = \bigvee_{i \in J} A_i(x), \quad \text{for all } x \in X,$$

$$D(x) = \bigwedge_{i \in J} A_i(x), \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a function, and A, B are fuzzy sets in X, Y respectively, then the fuzzy set $f^{-1}(B)$ in X is defined by $f^{-1}(B) = B \circ f$, and $f(A): Y \rightarrow I$ is defined as follows [3]:

$$f(A)(y) = \begin{cases} \bigvee \{A(x): x \in f^{-1}(y)\}, & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset; \\ 0 & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.1 [5] Let X be a set, $\mu: X \rightarrow I$ be a fuzzy set in X and F be a family of fuzzy sets in X satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) $A \in F$ implies that $A(x) \leq \mu(x)$ for all $x \in X$,
- (2) If $A_i \in F, i \in J$, then $\cup_{i \in J} A_i \in F$,
- (3) If $A, B \in F$, then $A \cap B \in F$,
- (4) $0_X, \mu \in F$.

The triple (X, μ, F) is called an I - fuzzy topological space or I - fts. The members of F are called I - fuzzy open sets and their complements are called I - fuzzy closed sets.

Remark 2.2 when $\mu = 1_X$, an I - fuzzy topological space is nothing but a fuzzy topology in the sense of Chang[3].

Definition 2.3 [4] Let (X_1, μ_1, F_1) and (X_2, μ_2, F_2) be two I - fuzzy topological spaces. A function $f: (X_1, \mu_1, F_1) \rightarrow (X_2, \mu_2, F_2)$ is fuzzy continuous if:

- i. $\mu_1(x) \leq \mu_2(f(x)), \forall x \in X$,
- ii. $\mu_1 \cap f^{-1}(U) \in F_1, \forall U \in F_2$.

The notion **FTOP** will denote the category of I - fuzzy topological spaces and fuzzy continuous functions. We shall use the categorical terminology of [1]. For more information about the category **FTOP**, the reader could consult [4].

3. UNIVERSAL CONSTRUCTIONS IN FTOP

In this section we discuss fuzzy co-products, fuzzy co-equalizers and fuzzy pushouts for I - fuzzy topological spaces. By remark 2.2, some results in [2] are considered as a special case of the results below. The word "map" will always mean a continuous function, but the word "function" does not imply continuity.

The concept of fuzzy co-product has introduced in [4, 7,10,12].The following theorem emphasizes the universal property of fuzzy co-product in **FTOP**. From now on, J is referred to as the index set and the word "fuzzy spaces" means I - fuzzy topological spaces.

Theorem 3.1 For a given fuzzy spaces $(X_i, \mu_i, F_i), i \in J$, the following hold:



- (1) There exists a fuzzy space (S, μ, F) and fuzzy maps $f_i: (X_i, \mu_i, F_i) \rightarrow (S, \mu, F)$ for each $i \in J$.
- (2) For any fuzzy space (X, γ, H) with fuzzy maps $\varphi_i: (X_i, \mu_i, F_i) \rightarrow (X, \gamma, H)$, there is a unique fuzzy map $\theta: (S, \mu, F) \rightarrow (X, \gamma, H)$ such that $\theta \circ f_i = \varphi_i$ for each $i \in J$.

Proof. (1) For the given fuzzy spaces (X_i, μ_i, F_i) , we consider the disjoint union $\coprod_{i \in J} (X_i, \mu_i, F_i)$ to be the fuzzy space (S, μ, F) , where $S = \coprod_{i \in J} X_i = \cup_{i \in J} (X_i \times \{i\})$ is the disjoint union of ordinary sets X_i with inclusion maps $f_i: X_i \rightarrow S$, defined by $f_i(x) = (x, i)$, μ is a fuzzy set in S defined by $\mu(x, i) = \mu_i(x)$ for each $i \in J$ and $\mathcal{U}_i = \{U: S \rightarrow I | f_i^{-1}(U) \cap \mu_i \in F_i, i \in J\}$. It is easily seen that \mathcal{U}_i is the finest fuzzy topology making f_i fuzzy continuous and the intersection $F = \cap_{i \in J} \mathcal{U}_i$ is the finest fuzzy topology making all the functions f_i fuzzy continuous [10]. In equivalent words, $U \in \mathcal{U}_i$ if and only if $f_i^{-1}(U) \cap \mu_i \in F_i, i \in J$.

(2) Define $\theta: (S, \mu, F) \rightarrow (X, \gamma, H)$ by $\theta(x, i) = \varphi_i(x)$ for all $x \in X_i, i \in J$. With the definition of θ , we have $\theta \circ f_i = \varphi_i$. For θ to be fuzzy continuous, $\theta^{-1}(B) \cap \mu$ must belong to F for each $B \in H$ and $\mu(x, i) \leq \gamma(\theta(x, i))$. First, since φ_i is a fuzzy map for each $i \in J$, then $\gamma(\theta(x, i)) = \gamma(\varphi_i(x)) \geq \mu_i(x) = \mu(x, i)$ for each $x \in X_i$. Let B be a fuzzy open set belonging to H and $x \in X_i$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (f_i^{-1}(\theta^{-1}(B) \cap \mu) \cap \mu_i)(x) &= (f_i^{-1}(\theta^{-1}(B) \cap \mu)(x) \wedge \mu_i(x)) \\ &= (\theta^{-1}(B) \cap \mu)(f_i(x)) \wedge \mu_i(x) \\ &= (\theta^{-1}(B) \cap \mu)(x, i) \wedge \mu_i(x) \\ &= B(\theta(x, i)) \wedge \mu(x, i) \wedge \mu_i(x) \\ &= B(\varphi_i(x)) \wedge \mu_i(x) \\ &= (\varphi_i^{-1}(B) \cap \mu_i)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $f_i^{-1}(\theta^{-1}(B) \cap \mu) \cap \mu_i = \varphi_i^{-1}(B) \cap \mu_i$ belongs to F_i for each $i \in J$. Therefore, $\theta^{-1}(B) \cap \mu \in F$, proving the fuzzy continuity of θ . This verifies the existence of the universal property. The uniqueness of θ is an immediate consequence of the definition. \square

Proposition 3.2 Let $(X_i, \mu_i, F_i), i \in J$, be a collection of fuzzy spaces, and give $\coprod_{i \in J} X_i$ the fuzzy co-product topology. Then the fuzzy co-product is unique up to fuzzy homeomorphism.

Proof. Let $S = Q = \coprod_{i \in J} X_i$ with fuzzy maps $f_i: (X_i, \mu_i, F_i) \rightarrow (S, \mu, F)$ and $g_i: (X_i, \mu_i, F_i) \rightarrow (Q, \gamma, H)$ respectively. Then the universal property of the fuzzy co-product S implies that there is a unique fuzzy map $\theta: (S, \mu, F) \rightarrow (Q, \gamma, H)$ such that $\theta \circ f_i = g_i$ for each $i \in J$. In similar way, there exist a unique fuzzy map $\varphi: (Q, \gamma, H) \rightarrow (S, \mu, F)$ such that $\varphi \circ g_i = f_i$ for each $i \in J$. Thus $f_i = \varphi \circ g_i = \varphi \circ \theta \circ f_i = id_S \circ f_i$ for each $i \in J$. Form the uniqueness condition of theorem 3.1, it follows that $\varphi \circ \theta = id_S$. Similarly, we have $\theta \circ \varphi = id_Q$. Therefore, S and Q are fuzzy homeomorphic [3]. \square

For the sake of simplicity, we shall use the symbol (μ_X, F_X) for the I -fuzzy topological space (X, μ, F) . The following theorem states the definition [1] and proves the existence of fuzzy co-equalizers in **FTOP**.

Theorem 3.3 Let $f, g: (\mu_X, F_X) \rightarrow (\mu_Y, F_Y)$ be fuzzy maps, then

- (1) There exists a fuzzy space (μ_E, F_E) and a fuzzy map $q: (\mu_Y, F_Y) \rightarrow (\mu_E, F_E)$ such that $q \circ f = q \circ g$.
- (2) For any fuzzy space (μ_A, F_A) with a fuzzy map $\varphi: (\mu_Y, F_Y) \rightarrow (\mu_A, F_A)$ satisfying $\varphi \circ f = \varphi \circ g$, there exists a unique fuzzy map $h: (\mu_E, F_E) \rightarrow (\mu_A, F_A)$ such that $\varphi = h \circ q$.

Proof. (1) Define Q to be $\{(f(x), g(x)): x \in X\} \subseteq Y \times Y$. It is known that E need not be an equivalence relation on Y . Let R be the smallest equivalence relation on Y containing Q , that is the intersection of all equivalence relations on Y containing Q . Let $E = Y/R$ be the usual quotient set and $q: Y \rightarrow E, y \mapsto [y]$, be the usual quotient map. Now we define the quotient fuzzy topology on E as follows: define μ_E to be the image of μ_Y under q , $q(\mu_Y)$, and $F_E = \{V: E \rightarrow I | q^{-1}(V) \cap \mu_Y \in F_Y\}$. Then (μ_E, F_E) is the desired fuzzy space, and $q: (\mu_Y, F_Y) \rightarrow (\mu_E, F_E)$ is a fuzzy map[4]. Since for any $x \in X, [f(x)] = [g(x)]$, it follows that $q(f(x)) = [f(x)] = [g(x)] = q(g(x))$, i.e., $q \circ f = q \circ g$.

(2) We then have to verify the universal property. For any fuzzy space (μ_A, F_A) , we define $h: (\mu_E, F_E) \rightarrow (\mu_A, F_A)$ by $h([y]) = \varphi(y), y \in Y$, and this implies that $\varphi = h \circ q$. We must show that this is well-defined. Given $[y_1] = [y_2]$ in E , for $y_1, y_2 \in Y$, then $q(y_1) = q(y_2)$ such that $(y_1, y_2) \in R$. Define the relation $R_\varphi = \{(y, z) \in Y \times Y: \varphi(y) = \varphi(z)\}$ on Y . It is an easy matter to check that R_φ is an equivalence relation on Y , moreover, the relation Q is a subset of R_φ , being $\varphi(f(x)) = \varphi(g(x))$ for every $x \in X$ and this implies that $R \subseteq R_\varphi$. Thus, $(y_1, y_2) \in R \subseteq R_\varphi$ and we have $\varphi(y_1) = \varphi(y_2)$. It follows that h is well-defined. Now to complete the existence of h , we have to show that h is a fuzzy map.



Since $\varphi = h \circ q$ is a fuzzy map, then the fuzzy continuity of h comes directly from the well known result: h is fuzzy continuous if and only if $h \circ q$ is fuzzy continuous[4]. The uniqueness of h comes directly from the definition. \square

We now define **fuzzy pushouts**. Suppose we have fuzzy maps $f: (\mu_X, F_X) \rightarrow (\mu_Y, F_Y)$ and $g: (\mu_X, F_X) \rightarrow (\mu_Z, F_Z)$. Then the fuzzy pushout of these fuzzy maps is a fuzzy space (μ_D, F_D) together with fuzzy maps $\alpha: (\mu_Y, F_Y) \rightarrow (\mu_D, F_D)$ and $\beta: (\mu_Z, F_Z) \rightarrow (\mu_D, F_D)$ such that $\alpha \circ f = \beta \circ g$, and such that the following *universal property* holds: Suppose that (μ_C, F_C) is a fuzzy space and that $\acute{\alpha}: (\mu_Y, F_Y) \rightarrow (\mu_C, F_C)$ and $\acute{\beta}: (\mu_Z, F_Z) \rightarrow (\mu_C, F_C)$ are fuzzy maps with $\acute{\alpha} \circ f = \acute{\beta} \circ g$. Then there is a unique fuzzy map $\varphi: (\mu_D, F_D) \rightarrow (\mu_C, F_C)$ with $\varphi \circ \alpha = \acute{\alpha}$ and $\varphi \circ \beta = \acute{\beta}$. We then call (μ_D, F_D) a fuzzy pullback of f and g .

In the following theorem, we show that fuzzy pushouts exist in the category **FTOP** by constructing them as fuzzy co-products.

Theorem 3.4 Let $f: (\mu_X, F_X) \rightarrow (\mu_Y, F_Y)$ and $g: (\mu_X, F_X) \rightarrow (\mu_Z, F_Z)$ be any fuzzy maps in the category **FTOP**. Then there exists a fuzzy pushout (μ_D, F_D) .

Proof. Define D to be the quotient of the disjoint union $Y \amalg Z = Y \times \{1\} \cup Z \times \{2\}$ by the equivalence relation generated by the relation $(f(x), 1) \sim (g(x), 2)$ for each $x \in X$, then $D = Y \amalg Z / \sim$. Let the functions $\alpha: Y \rightarrow D$ and $\beta: Z \rightarrow D$ be defined by $\alpha(y) = [(y, 1)]$, $\beta(z) = [(z, 2)]$. Now we define the fuzzy topology on D as follows: $\mu_D([(y, 1)]) = \mu_Y(y)$ for each $y \in X$, $\mu_D([(z, 2)]) = \mu_Z(z)$ for each $z \in Z$, and by the definition of fuzzy co-product, D is assigned the finest fuzzy topology so that the functions α and β are fuzzy continuous.

Lemma. A fuzzy set $U: D \rightarrow I$ is fuzzy open, $U \in F_D$, iff $\alpha^{-1}(U) \cap \mu_Y \in F_Y$ and $\beta^{-1}(U) \cap \mu_Z \in F_Z$.

Proof. consider the quotient map $q: Y \amalg Z \rightarrow D$, and set $\alpha = q|_Y$ and $\beta = q|_Z$. Let $U: D \rightarrow I$ be a fuzzy open set belongs to F_D . Because q is a fuzzy map, $q^{-1}(U) \cap \mu_{Y \amalg Z} \in F_{Y \amalg Z}$. Then we have

$$(q^{-1}(U) \cap \mu_{Y \amalg Z})(y, 1) = q^{-1}(U)(y, 1) \wedge \mu_{Y \amalg Z}(y, 1) = U(q(y, 1)) \wedge \mu_Y(y) = U(\alpha(y)) \wedge \mu_Y(y) = (\alpha^{-1}(U) \cap \mu_Y)(y).$$

But this implies that $\alpha^{-1}(U) \cap \mu_Y \in F_Y$. By the definition of fuzzy co-product, we conclude that $\alpha^{-1}(U) \cap \mu_Y \in F_Y$. In similar way and by replacing $(y, 1)$ with $(z, 2)$, we have $\beta^{-1}(U) \cap \mu_Z \in F_Z$. Conversely, suppose that $U: D \rightarrow I$ is a fuzzy set for which $\alpha^{-1}(U) \cap \mu_Y \in F_Y$ and $\beta^{-1}(U) \cap \mu_Z \in F_Z$. The above calculations implies that $(q^{-1}(U) \cap \mu_{Y \amalg Z})(y, 1) = \alpha^{-1}(U) \cap \mu_Y(y)$ and $(q^{-1}(U) \cap \mu_{Y \amalg Z})(z, 2) = \beta^{-1}(U) \cap \mu_Z(z)$, proving the belonging of $q^{-1}(U) \cap \mu_{Y \amalg Z}$ to $F_{Y \amalg Z}$. but this means that U is fuzzy open by the definition of the fuzzy quotient topology.

It is clear that $\alpha(f(x)) = [(f(x), 1)] = [(g(x), 2)] = \beta(g(x))$, $x \in X$, this implies that $\alpha \circ f = \beta \circ g$. To show that D satisfies the universal property, given a fuzzy space (μ_C, F_C) with fuzzy maps $\acute{\alpha}: (\mu_Y, F_Y) \rightarrow (\mu_C, F_C)$ and $\acute{\beta}: (\mu_Z, F_Z) \rightarrow (\mu_C, F_C)$ such that $\acute{\alpha} \circ f = \acute{\beta} \circ g$, we define $\varphi: (\mu_D, F_D) \rightarrow (\mu_C, F_C)$ by $\varphi([(y, 1)]) = \acute{\alpha}(y)$, and $\varphi([(z, 2)]) = \acute{\beta}(z)$. It is easy to check that this is well-defined. Also, it is clear that $\varphi \circ \alpha = \acute{\alpha}$ and $\varphi \circ \beta = \acute{\beta}$. For the uniqueness property, consider the quotient map $q: Y \amalg Z \rightarrow D$ and define $\bar{\varphi}: Y \amalg Z \rightarrow C$ by $\bar{\varphi}|_Y = \acute{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\varphi}|_Z = \acute{\beta}$. We can verify that $\bar{\varphi}$ factors through the map q to yield the map $\varphi: (\mu_D, F_D) \rightarrow (\mu_C, F_C)$. Further, φ is unique because it is required to satisfy $\varphi \circ q = \bar{\varphi}$ (by the uniqueness condition in theorem 3.1). Also the last equation guarantees the fuzzy continuity of φ . \square

Example 3.5

(1). Let (X, μ, F) be a fuzzy space, $(\{z\}, 1_{\{z\}}, F_{\{z\}})$ be a terminal object in **FTOP** [4] and $A \subset X$. Let $\mu_A = \mu|_A$, $F_A = \{U|_A : U \in F\}$, then (A, μ_A, F_A) is called a subspace of (X, μ, F) [4]. The fuzzy pushout of the diagram

$$(\{z\}, 1_{\{z\}}, F_{\{z\}}) \leftarrow (A, \mu_A, F_A) \rightarrow (X, \mu, F),$$

is the fuzzy quotient $(X/A, \gamma, \mathcal{U})$,

(2). Let (X, μ, F) be a fuzzy space, $A, B \subset X$ so that $X = A \cup B$. Let $\mu_A = \mu|_A$, $F_A = \{U|_A : U \in F\}$ and $\mu_B = \mu|_B$, $F_B = \{U|_B : U \in F\}$, then (A, μ_A, F_A) and (B, μ_B, F_B) are fuzzy spaces considered as subspaces of (X, μ, F) . Consider the diagram of fuzzy maps (inclusions)

$$(B, \mu_B, F_B) \leftarrow (A \cap B, \mu_{A \cap B}, F_{A \cap B}) \rightarrow (A, \mu_A, F_A),$$

where $(A \cap B, \mu_{A \cap B}, F_{A \cap B})$ is a subspace of (A, μ_A, F_A) and (B, μ_B, F_B) . Then the fuzzy pushout (μ_D, F_D) is the fuzzy space (X, μ, F) .

Proposition 3.6 Given any fuzzy maps $f: (\mu_X, F_X) \rightarrow (\mu_Y, F_Y)$ and $g: (\mu_X, F_X) \rightarrow (\mu_Z, F_Z)$, the fuzzy pushout (μ_D, F_D) is unique up to fuzzy homeomorphism.



Proof. Suppose $(\mu_{D'}, F_{D'})$, with fuzzy maps $f': (\mu_Y, F_Y) \rightarrow (\mu_{D'}, F_{D'})$ and $g': (\mu_Z, F_Z) \rightarrow (\mu_{D'}, F_{D'})$, is another fuzzy pushout. Take $(\mu_C, F_C) = (\mu_{D'}, F_{D'})$; we find a fuzzy map $\varphi: (\mu_D, F_D) \rightarrow (\mu_{D'}, F_{D'})$ such that with $\varphi \circ \alpha = f'$ and $\varphi \circ \beta = g'$. By reversing the roles of (μ_D, F_D) and $(\mu_{D'}, F_{D'})$, we find a fuzzy map $\varphi': (\mu_{D'}, F_{D'}) \rightarrow (\mu_D, F_D)$ such that $\varphi' \circ f' = \alpha$ and $\varphi' \circ g' = \beta$. Then $\varphi' \circ \varphi \circ \alpha = \alpha$, and similarly $\varphi' \circ \varphi \circ \beta = \beta$. Now take $(\mu_C, F_C) = (\mu_D, F_D)$, $\alpha = \alpha'$ and $\beta = \beta'$. We have two fuzzy maps, $\varphi' \circ \varphi: (\mu_D, F_D) \rightarrow (\mu_D, F_D)$ and id_D that satisfy the conditions of fuzzy pushout; by the uniqueness in definition of fuzzy pushout, $\varphi' \circ \varphi = id_D$. Similarly, $\varphi \circ \varphi' = id_{D'}$, so that φ and φ' are inverse fuzzy homeomorphisms. \square

We close this section by investigation the relationship among the universal constructions mentioned above.

Theorem 3.7 In FTOP, fuzzy pushouts exist if and only if fuzzy co-equalizers exist.

Proof. (*Co-equalizers \Rightarrow Pushouts*) Consider the arbitrary fuzzy maps $f: (\mu_X, F_X) \rightarrow (\mu_Z, F_Z)$ and $g: (\mu_Y, F_Y) \rightarrow (\mu_Z, F_Z)$. Let $(\mu_{Y \sqcup Z}, F_{Y \sqcup Z})$ be the fuzzy co-product of the fuzzy spaces (μ_Y, F_Y) and (μ_Z, F_Z) with the fuzzy maps $e_1: Y \rightarrow Y \sqcup Z$ and $e_2: Z \rightarrow Y \sqcup Z$ defined by $e_1(y) = (y, 1)$, and $e_2(z) = (z, 2)$. Let (μ_E, F_E) together with a fuzzy map $q: (\mu_{Y \sqcup Z}, F_{Y \sqcup Z}) \rightarrow (\mu_E, F_E)$ be the fuzzy co-equalizer of fuzzy maps $e_1 \circ f, e_2 \circ g: (\mu_X, F_X) \rightarrow (\mu_{Y \sqcup Z}, F_{Y \sqcup Z})$ such that $q \circ (e_1 \circ f) = q \circ (e_2 \circ g)$, by theorem 3.3. Now we prove that (μ_E, F_E) together with fuzzy maps $q \circ e_1: (\mu_Y, F_Y) \rightarrow (\mu_E, F_E)$ and $q \circ e_2: (\mu_Z, F_Z) \rightarrow (\mu_E, F_E)$ is the pushout of the fuzzy maps f and g . Let (μ_C, F_C) be a fuzzy space with fuzzy maps $\alpha: (\mu_Y, F_Y) \rightarrow (\mu_C, F_C)$ and $\beta: (\mu_Z, F_Z) \rightarrow (\mu_C, F_C)$ so that $\alpha \circ f = \beta \circ g$. By theorem 3.1, there exists a unique fuzzy map $\theta: (\mu_{Y \sqcup Z}, F_{Y \sqcup Z}) \rightarrow (\mu_C, F_C)$ such that $\theta \circ e_1 = \alpha$, $\theta \circ e_2 = \beta$. Since (μ_E, F_E) is the fuzzy co-equalizer of fuzzy maps $e_1 \circ f, e_2 \circ g: (\mu_X, F_X) \rightarrow (\mu_{Y \sqcup Z}, F_{Y \sqcup Z})$ and $\theta \circ (e_1 \circ f) = (\theta \circ e_1) \circ f = \alpha \circ f = \beta \circ g = (\theta \circ e_2) \circ g = \theta \circ (e_2 \circ g)$, then theorem 3.3 implies that there exists a unique fuzzy map $h: (\mu_E, F_E) \rightarrow (\mu_C, F_C)$ such that $h \circ q = \theta$. Hence $h \circ (q \circ e_1) = \theta \circ e_1 = \alpha$ and $h \circ (q \circ e_2) = \theta \circ e_2 = \beta$. Thus the required fuzzy pushout is obtained.

(*Pushouts \Rightarrow Co-equalizers*) Given any two fuzzy maps $f, g: (\mu_X, F_X) \rightarrow (\mu_Y, F_Y)$. Consider the function $f \sqcup g: X \sqcup X \rightarrow Y$ defined by $(f \sqcup g)(x, 1) = f(x)$ and $(f \sqcup g)(x, 2) = g(x)$, in similar way, we define $id_X \sqcup id_X: X \sqcup X \rightarrow X$. Now we show that $f \sqcup g$ is fuzzy map. Since f and g are fuzzy maps, then

$$\mu_Y((f \sqcup g)(x, 1)) = \mu_Y(f(x)) \geq \mu_X(x) = \mu_{X \sqcup X}(x, 1),$$

$$\mu_Y((f \sqcup g)(x, 2)) = \mu_Y(g(x)) \geq \mu_X(x) = \mu_{X \sqcup X}(x, 2).$$

Let U be a fuzzy open set in F_Y , $f_1, f_2: X \rightarrow X \sqcup X$ be fuzzy maps defined by $f_1(x) = (x, 1)$ and $f_2(x) = (x, 2)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_X \cap f_1^{-1}(\mu_{X \sqcup X} \cap (f \sqcup g)^{-1}(U)) &= \mu_X \cap \mu_X \cap f_1^{-1}(\mu_{X \sqcup X}) \cap f_1^{-1}((f \sqcup g)^{-1}(U)) \\ &= \mu_X \cap f_1^{-1}(\mu_{X \sqcup X}) \cap f_1^{-1}(U(f \sqcup g)) \\ &= \mu_X \cap \mu_X \cap U(f) = \mu_X \cap (f^{-1}(U)) \in F_X. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\mu_{X \sqcup X} \cap (f \sqcup g)^{-1}(U) \in F_{X \sqcup X}$, proving the fuzzy continuity of $f \sqcup g: X \sqcup X \rightarrow Y$. Let (μ_D, F_D) be the fuzzy pushout of $f \sqcup g: X \sqcup X \rightarrow Y$ and $id_X \sqcup id_X: X \sqcup X \rightarrow X$ so that $\alpha: (\mu_Y, F_Y) \rightarrow (\mu_D, F_D)$ and $\beta: (\mu_X, F_X) \rightarrow (\mu_D, F_D)$ are fuzzy maps with $\alpha \circ (f \sqcup g) = \beta \circ (id_X \sqcup id_X)$. We claim that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & f & \\ (\mu_X, F_X) & \rightrightarrows & (\mu_Y, F_Y) \xrightarrow{\alpha} (\mu_D, F_D) \\ & g & \end{array}$$

is a fuzzy co-equalizer diagram. It is an easy work to check that $(f \sqcup g) \circ f_1 = f$, $(f \sqcup g) \circ f_2 = g$. Thus $\alpha \circ f = \alpha \circ (f \sqcup g) \circ f_1 = \beta \circ (id_X \sqcup id_X) \circ f_1 = \beta$, and $\alpha \circ g = \alpha \circ (f \sqcup g) \circ f_2 = \beta \circ (id_X \sqcup id_X) \circ f_2 = \beta$ and hence $\alpha \circ f = \alpha \circ g$. For any fuzzy map (μ_C, F_C) and $\theta: (\mu_Y, F_Y) \rightarrow (\mu_C, F_C)$ with $\theta \circ f = \theta \circ g$, so that $\theta \circ (f \sqcup g) = \theta \circ f = \theta \circ g = \theta \circ (id_X \sqcup id_X)$. By theorem 3.4, there exists a fuzzy map $\varphi: (\mu_D, F_D) \rightarrow (\mu_C, F_C)$ such that $\theta = \varphi \circ \alpha$ and thus the universal property of the co-equalizer $(\mu_Y, F_Y) \xrightarrow{\alpha} (\mu_D, F_D)$ is satisfied. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Adamek, J., Herrlich, H. and Strecker, G. 1990 Abstract and concrete categories. Wiley, New York
- [2] Behera, A. 2000 Universal constructions for fuzzy topological spaces. Fuzzy Sets and Systems (39), 271-276.
- [3] Chang, C.L. 1968 Fuzzy topological spaces. J. Math. Anal. Appl. (24), 182-190.
- [4] Geetha, S. 1992 Studies on fuzzy topological semigroups and related areas. Doctoral Thesis, University of Cochin.
- [5] Geetha, S. 1993 On L- fuzzy topological semigroups. J. Math. Anal. Appl. (174), 147-152.



- [6] Geetha, S. 1993 On L- fuzzy semitopological semigroups. J. Math. Anal. Appl. (175), 1-9.
- [7] Ghanim, M.H., Kerre, E.E. and Mashhour, A.S. 1984 Separation axioms, subspaces and sums in fuzzy topology. J. Math. Anal. Appl. (102), 189-202.
- [8] Hamouda, E. H. 2014 On some universal constructions for I- fuzzy topological spaces. J. of Advances in Math. Vol. 6, No.2,973-941
- [9] Liu, Y., Zhang, D. and Luo, M.. 2000 Some categorical aspects of fuzzy topology1. J. Math. Anal. Appl. (251), 649–668
- [10] Lowen, R. 1977 Initial and final topologies and the fuzzy Tychonoff theorem. . Math. Anal. Appl. (58), 11-21
- [11] Shostak, A. P. 1989 Two decades of fuzzy topology: basic idea, notions and results. Russ. Math. Surveys (6), 125-186.
- [12] Wong, C.K. 1974 Fuzzy topology: Product and quotient theorems. J. Math. Anal. Appl. (45), 517- 521.
- [13] Wong, C.K. 1976 Categories of fuzzy sets and fuzzy topological spaces. J. Math. Anal. Appl. (53), 704-714.
- [14] Wuyts, P. and Lowen, R. 1988 Reflectors and coreflectors in the category of fuzzy topological spaces. Comput. Math. Applic (16), 823-836
- [15] Zadeh, L.A. 1965 Fuzzy sets. Inform. And Control (8), 338-353.

