



The Best Approximation by Using Bernstein's Polynomial in $L_p [0, 1]$

Ahmed Abbas Mezaal

Department of Mathematics, College of Computer Science and Mathematics,
Thi-Qar University, Thi-Qar, Iraq
aam_mb@yahoo.com

Abstract

We estimate in this paper the degree of approximation of $f \in L_p [0,1]$ by using Bernstein's polynomial involving on Ditizian-Totik modulus of smoothness $W_{\varphi^\lambda}^r(f, t)$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. On the other hand, we consider this polynomial to obtain an equivalence approximation theorem with this modulus.

Keywords: Bernstein's polynomial; Moduli of smoothness.



Council for Innovative Research

Peer Review Research Publishing System

Journal: Journal of Advances in Mathematics

Vol 7, No. 2

editor@cirworld.com

www.cirworld.com, member.cirworld.com



Introduction

Let $L_p[0,1]$ be the set of all Lebesgue integrable functions defined on $[0,1]$ and $0 \leq p \leq \infty$. For $f \in L_p[0,1]$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the Bernstein's operators is defined as:

$$B_n(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{n,k}(x) f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right), \quad \dots (1.1)$$

$$\text{Where } P_{n,k}(x) = \binom{n+k-1}{k} \frac{x^k}{(1+x)^{n+k}}.$$

On the other hand, we consider combinations of these operators, which have higher orders of approximation. The linear combinations of Bernstein's operators on $L_p[0,1]$ are defined as (see [3]):

$$B_{n,r}(f, x) = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} C_i(n) B_{n_i}(f, x), \quad r \in \mathbb{N} \quad \dots (1.2)$$

Where n_i and $C_i(n)$ satisfy

$$n = n_0 < n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_{r-1} \leq D_n \text{ and}$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} C_i(n) \leq C$$

where the constants C and D are independent of n .

In [2] the Ditzian-Totik modulus of smoothness $W_{\varphi^\lambda}^r(f, t)$ was used for polynomial approximation and defined by:

$$W_{\varphi^\lambda}^r(f, t) = \sup_{0 \leq h \leq t} \sup_{x \pm (rh\varphi^\lambda(x)/2) \in [0,1]} |\Delta_{h\varphi^\lambda(x)}(f, x)| \quad \dots (1.3)$$

where, $\varphi(x) = \sqrt{x(1-x)}$ for $x \in [0,1]$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$

and the r -th symmetric difference of f is given by:

$$\Delta_{h\varphi^\lambda}^r(f, x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^r \binom{r}{i} (-1)^{r-i} f\left(x - \frac{rh\varphi^\lambda}{2} + ih\varphi^\lambda\right), & x \pm \left(\frac{rh\varphi^\lambda}{2}\right) \in [0,1] \\ 0, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases} \quad \dots (1.4)$$

And our k -functional by:

$$K_{\varphi^\lambda}(f, t^r) = \inf \{ \|f - g\| + t^r \|\varphi^{r\lambda} g^{(r)}\| \} \quad \dots (1.5)$$

$$\tilde{K}_{\varphi^\lambda}(f, t^r) = \inf \{ \|f - g\| + t^r \|\varphi^{r\lambda} g^{(r)}\| + t^{r/(1-\lambda/2)} \|g^{(r)}\| \}$$

$$\text{and } K_{\varphi^\lambda}(f, t^r) \leq Ct^\alpha \text{ for } r \leq \alpha \quad \dots (1.6)$$

where the infimum is taken on the functions satisfying $g^{(r-1)}$ is absolutely continuous function, see [3]. It is well known the following equivalence see [1]

$$W_{\varphi^\lambda}^r(f, t) \sim K_{\varphi^\lambda}(f, t^r) = \tilde{K}_{\varphi^\lambda}(f, t^r). \quad \dots (1.7)$$

Let the Sobolev space W_λ^r be the collection of all functions f defined on $[0,1]$, such that $f^{(r-1)}$ is absolutely continuous and we defined as:

$$W_\lambda^r = \{ f \in C_0 : f^{(r-1)} \in A.C_{10c}, \|f\|_r < \infty \} \quad \dots (1.8)$$

$$\text{where } \|f\|_r = \sup_{x \in [0,1]} \delta_n^{r+\alpha(\lambda-1)}(x) |f^{(r)}(x)|$$

$$\text{and } \delta_n(x) = \varphi(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sim \max \left\{ \varphi(x), \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right\}, \quad 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, r \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } 0 < \alpha < r.$$

2. Auxiliary Lemmas

In this section, we mention some basis results which will be used to prove the main results. If $f \in L_p[0,1]$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$ then by [3], we know that:

$$B_n^{(r)}(f, x) = \frac{(n+r-1)!}{(n-1)!} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{n+r,k}(x) \Delta_{n-1}^r f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right).$$

Lemma 2.1 [6]:

Let $f^{(r)} \in L_p[0,1]$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$ then we have:



$$|\varphi^r(x)B_n^{(r)}(f, x)| \leq C n^{r/2} \|f\|_\infty . \quad \dots (2.1)$$

Lemma 2.2:

Let $f \in L_p[0,1]$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ then we have:

$$|\varphi^{r\lambda}(x) B_n^{(r)}(f, x)| \leq C \|\varphi^{r\lambda} f\|_\infty .$$

Proof:

By using Holder inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi^{r\lambda}(x) B_n^{(r)}(f, x)| &\leq \left| \varphi^{r\lambda}(x) \frac{(n+r-1)!}{(n-1)!} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} P_{n+r,k}(x) \Delta_{n-1}^r f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{(n+r-1)!}{(n-1)!} \left| \varphi^{r\lambda}(x) P_{n+r,0}(x) \Delta_{n-1}^r f(0) + \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \varphi^{r\lambda}(x) P_{n+r,k}(x) \Delta_{n-1}^r f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \right| \\ &= \frac{(n+r-1)!}{(n-1)!} \left| \varphi^{r\lambda}(x) \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} P_{n+r,k}(x) \Delta_{n-1}^r f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{(n+r-1)!}{(n-1)!} |\varphi^{r\lambda}(x)| \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \left| P_{n+r,k}(x) \Delta_{n-1}^r f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \right| \\ &\leq C \|\varphi^{r\lambda}(x) f\|_\infty . \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.3:

Let $f \in L_p[0,1]$, $r \in N$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ then for $r > r$, we get

$$|\varphi^{r\lambda}(x) B_n^{(r)}(f, x)| \leq C n^{r/2} \delta_n^{-r(1-\lambda)}(x) \|f\|_\infty . \quad \dots (2.2)$$

Proof:

We consider $x \in [0, \frac{1}{n}]$, then we have:

$$\delta_n(x) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, \quad \varphi(x) \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} \text{ and using}$$

$$\frac{d}{dx} P_{n,k}(x) = n (P_{n+1,k-1}(x) - P_{n+1,k}(x))$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty P_{n,k}(x) \frac{d}{dx} = \frac{1}{n-1} .$$

On the other hand, by using (2.1) and $\varphi(x) \sim \sigma(x)$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi^{r\lambda}(x) B_n^{(r)}(f, x)| &= \varphi^{r(\lambda-1)}(x) |\varphi^r(x) B_n^{(r)}(f, x)| \\ &\leq C \varphi^{r(\lambda-1)}(x) n^{r/2} \|f\|_\infty \\ &\leq C n^{r/2} \delta_n^{-r(1-\lambda)}(x) \|f\|_\infty . \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.4 [7]:

Let $r \in N$, $0 \leq \beta \leq r$, $x \pm rt/2 \in I$ and $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{8r}$, then we have the following inequality :

$$\int_{-t/2}^{t/2} \cdots \int_{-t/2}^{t/2} \delta_n^{-\beta} \left(x + \sum_{j=1}^r u_j \right) du_1 du_2 \cdots du_r \leq C(\beta) t^r \delta_n^{-r}(x) . \quad \dots (2.3)$$

Lemma 2.5 [3]:

For $x, t \in (0, \infty)$, $r \in N$ then we have:

$$\beta_n((t-x)^{2r}, x) \leq C n^{-r} \varphi^{2r}(x) . \quad \dots (2.4)$$

Lemma 2.6:

For $x, t, u \in [0,1]$, $x < u < t$, $t, r \in N$ and $\lambda \in [0,1]$ then:



$$\beta_n \left(\left| \int_{\alpha}^t |t-u|^{r-1} \varphi^{-r\lambda}(u) du \right|, x \right) \leq C n^{-r/2} \delta_n(x) \varphi^{-r\lambda}(x). \quad \dots (2.5)$$

Proof:

When $r = 1$, then

$$\left| \int_{\alpha}^t \varphi^{-\lambda}(u) du \right| \leq |t-\alpha| \{ x^{-\lambda/2} (1+t)^{-\lambda/2} + (1+x)^{-\lambda/2} t^{-\lambda/2} \}. \quad \dots (2.6)$$

From lemma 2.5, then

$$\beta_n((t-x)^2, x) \leq C n^{-r} \varphi^{2r}(x). \quad \dots (2.7)$$

Applying the Holder inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_n \left(\left| \int_{\alpha}^t \varphi^{-\lambda}(u) du \right|, x \right) &\leq \{ \beta_n((t-x)^4, x) \}^{1/4} \left[x^{-\lambda/2} \{ \beta_n((1+t)^{-2\lambda/3}, x) \}^{3/4} + (1+x)^{-\lambda/2} \{ \beta_n(t^{-2\lambda/3}, x) \}^{3/4} \right] \\ &\leq C n^{-1/2} \delta_n(x) \left[x^{-\lambda/2} \{ \beta_n((1+t)^{-2\lambda/3}, x) \}^{3/4} + (1+x)^{-\lambda/2} \{ \beta_n(t^{-2\lambda/3}, x) \}^{3/4} \right] \end{aligned} \quad \dots (2.8)$$

Also, applying the Holder inequality, we get

$$\beta_n(t^{-2\lambda/3}, x) \leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} P_{n,k}(x) \left(\frac{k}{n} \right)^{-1} \right)^{2\lambda/3} \leq C x^{-2\lambda/3}. \quad \dots (2.9)$$

Similarly:

$$\beta_n((1+t)^{-2\lambda/3}, x) \leq C (1+x)^{-2\lambda/3}. \quad \dots (2.10)$$

Combining (2.8) and (2.10), we obtain (2.5).

When $r > 1$, then we have

$$\frac{|t-u|^2}{\varphi^2(u)} \leq \frac{|t-x|^2}{\varphi^2(x)}, \text{ for } t < u < x$$

$$|t-u|x \leq |t-x|u \quad \text{and}$$

$$\frac{u|t-u|}{\varphi^2(u)} \leq \frac{x|t-x|}{\varphi^2(x)}$$

Thus

$$\frac{|t-u|^{r-2}}{\varphi^{(r-2)\lambda}(u)} \leq \frac{|t-x|^{r-2}}{\varphi^{(r-2)\lambda}(x)}, \quad r > 2 \quad \dots (2.11)$$

because

$$\frac{|t-u|}{\varphi^2(u)} \leq \frac{|t-x|}{x} \left(\frac{1}{1+x} + \frac{1}{1+t} \right) \quad \dots (2.12)$$

Otherwise,

$$\frac{(u-t)}{u} \leq \frac{(x-t)}{t}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{1+u} \leq \frac{1}{1+x} + \frac{1}{1+t},$$

$$\frac{|t-u|}{\varphi^{2\lambda}(u)} \leq \frac{|t-x|}{x^\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{1+x} + \frac{1}{1+t} \right)^\lambda \quad \dots (2.13)$$

By using (2.11) and (2.13), we obtain

$$\frac{|t-u|^{r-1}}{\varphi^{r\lambda}(u)} \leq \frac{|t-x|^{r-1}}{\varphi^{(r-2)\lambda}(x)} \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{1+x} \right)^\lambda + \left(\frac{1}{1+t} \right)^\lambda \right\} \quad \dots (2.14)$$



Since $\beta_n((1+t)^{-r}, x) \leq C(1+x)^{-r}$, for $n \in N$, $x \in [0, \infty]$, we have

$$\beta_n((1+t)^{-2\lambda}, x) \leq C(1+x)^{-2\lambda}, \text{ for } \lambda \in [0, 1]. \quad \dots (2.15)$$

Now, by using (2.7), (2.14) and (2.15) and by using Holder inequality, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_n \left(\left| \int_a^t |t-u|^{r-1} \varphi^{-r\lambda}(u) du \right|, x \right) &\leq \beta_n^{1/2}(|t-u|^{2r}, u) (\varphi^{-r\lambda}(x) + x^{-\lambda} \varphi^{-(r-2)\lambda}(x) \beta_n^{1/2}((1+t)^{-2\lambda}, x)) \\ &\leq C n^{-r/2} \delta_n^r(x) \varphi^{-r\lambda}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.7 [3]:

Let $x \in \left[0, \frac{1}{n}\right]$, then:

$$B_n^{(r)}(f, x) = \varphi^{-2r} \sum_{i=0}^r q_i(n\varphi^2(x)) n^i \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{n,k}(x) \left(\frac{k}{n} - x\right)^i \Delta_{\frac{1}{n}}^r f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \quad \dots (2.16)$$

Where $q_i(n\varphi^2(x))$ is a polynomial in $n\varphi^2(x)$ of degree $(r-i)/2$.

Lemma 2.8:

For $r \in N$ and $f \in W_{\lambda}^r$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq r$ then we have

$$\|B_n f\|_r \leq C n^{r/2} \|f\|_0. \quad \dots (2.17)$$

$$\|B_n f\|_r \leq C \|f\|_r. \quad \dots (2.18)$$

Proof:

For $x \in \left[0, \frac{1}{n}\right]$ and $\delta_n(x) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ according to lemma 2.3, we get

$$|\delta_n^{r+\alpha(\lambda-1)}(x) B_n^{(r)}(f, x)| \leq C n^{r/2} \|f\|_0$$

On the other hand, for $x \in \left[\frac{1}{n}, 1\right]$ and $\delta_n(x) \sim \varphi(x)$ and by using lemma 2.7, (2.16) we can obtain

$$B_n^{(r)}(f, x) = \varphi^{-2r}(x) \sum_{i=0}^r q_i(n\varphi^2(x)) n^i \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{n,k}(x) \left(\frac{k}{n} - x\right)^i \Delta_{\frac{1}{n}}^r f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right),$$

therefore

$$|\varphi^{-2r}(x) q_i(nx) n^i| \leq C \left(\frac{n}{\varphi^2(x)}\right)^{(r+i)/2} \quad \dots (2.19)$$

For any $x \in \left[\frac{1}{n}, 1\right]$ and since

$$\left|\Delta_{\frac{1}{n}}^r f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)\right| \leq C \|f\|_0 \varphi^{\alpha(\lambda-1)}(x), \quad \dots (2.20)$$

by using the Holder inequality, we get

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{n,k}(x) \left(\frac{k}{n} - x\right)^i \Delta_{\frac{1}{n}}^r f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \right| \leq C \left(\frac{\varphi^2(x)}{n}\right)^{i/2} \|f\|_0 \varphi^{\alpha(\lambda-1)}(x) \quad \dots (2.21)$$

From lemma 2.7, (2.16) and (2.21) we can deduce (2.17). Similarly by using lemma 2.2 we can obtain (2.18).

Lemma 2.9 [1]:

Let $f \in L_p[0,1]$, $r \in N$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, then

$$\|f - g_n\| \leq C W_{\varphi^\lambda}^r(f, S_n), \quad \dots (2.22)$$

$$S_n^r \|\varphi^{r\lambda} g_n^{(r)}\| \leq C W_{\varphi^\lambda}^r(f, S_n). \quad \dots (2.23)$$

3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1:

Let $f \in L_p[0,1]$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $r \in N$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, then



$$|B_{n,r}(f, x) - f(x)| \leq C W_{\varphi^\lambda}^r \left(f, n^{-1/2} \delta_n^{1-\lambda}(x) \right), \quad \dots (3.1)$$

involving the constant C dependent on.

Proof:

By using (1.5) and (1.7) and taking $\delta_n = \delta_n(x, \lambda) = n^{-1/2} \delta_n^{1-\lambda}(x)$, we can choose $g_n = g_{n,x,\lambda}$ for fixed x and y and by lemma 2.9, (2.22) and (2.23), then we have

$$\delta_n^{r/(1-(\lambda/2))} \|g_n^{(r)}\| \leq C W_{\varphi^\lambda}^r(f, \delta_n). \quad \dots (3.2)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} |B_{n,r}(f, x) - f(x)| &= |B_{n,r}(f, x) - B_{n,r}(g_n, x) + B_{n,r}(g_n, x) + f - g_n(x) + g_n(x)| \\ &\leq |B_{n,r}(f, x) - B_{n,r}(g_n, x)| + |f - g_n(x)| + |B_{n,r}(g_n, x) - g_n(x)| \\ &\leq C \|f - g_n\|_\infty + |B_{n,r}(g_n, x) - g_n(x)|. \end{aligned} \quad \dots (3.3)$$

By using Taylor's series

$$g_n(t) = g_n(x) + (t-x)g_n'(x) + \dots + \frac{(t-x)^{r-1}}{(r-1)!} g_n^{(r-1)}(x) + R_r(g_n, t, x),$$

Where

$$R_r(g_n, t, x) = \frac{1}{(r-1)!} \int_a^t (t-u)^{r-1} g_n^{(r-1)}(u) du.$$

By using (1.2), (1.3) and lemma 2.6, (2.5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |B_{n,r}(g_n, x) - g_n(x)| &= \left| B_{n,r} \left(\frac{1}{(r-1)!} \int_a^t (t-u)^{r-1} g_n^{(r-1)}(u) du, x \right) \right| \\ &\leq C \|\varphi^{r\lambda} g_n^{(r)}\| \left(B_{n,r} \left(\left| \int_a^t \frac{|t-u|^{r-1}}{\varphi^{r\lambda}(u)} du \right|, x \right) \right) \\ &\leq C \varphi^{r\lambda}(x) n^{-r/2} \delta_n^{r}(x) \|\varphi^{r\lambda} g_n^{(r)}\| \end{aligned} \quad \dots (3.4)$$

Also, by using (1.2), (1.3) and (2.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |B_{n,r}(g_n, x) - f(x)| &\leq C \|\delta_n^{r\lambda} g_n^{(r)}\| \left| B_{n,r} \left(\int_a^t \frac{|t-u|^{r-1}}{\delta_n^{r\lambda}(u)} du, x \right) \right| \\ &\leq C \|\delta_n^{r\lambda} g_n^{(r)}\| n^{r\lambda/2} |B_{n,r}((t-x)^{2r}, x)^{1/2}| \\ &\leq C n^{-r/2} \delta_n^{r}(x) n^{r\lambda/2} \|\delta_n^{r\lambda} g_n^{(r)}\|. \end{aligned} \quad \dots (3.5)$$

Then by using lemma 2.9, (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5) and for $x \in [0, \frac{1}{n}]$ and $\delta_n(x) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$

$$\begin{aligned} |B_{n,r}(f, x) - f(x)| &\leq C (\|f - g_n\| + \delta_n^{r} \|\delta_n^{r\lambda} g_n^{(r)}\|) \\ &\leq C (\|f - g_n\| + \delta_n^{r} \|\varphi^{r\lambda} g_n^{(r)}\| + \delta_n^{r} n^{-r\lambda/2} \|g_n^{(r)}\|) \\ &\leq C (\|f - g_n\| + \delta_n^{r} \|\varphi^{r\lambda} g_n^{(r)}\| + \delta_n^{r/(1-(\lambda/2))} \|g_n^{(r)}\|) \\ &\leq C \tilde{K}_{\varphi^\lambda}(f, \delta_n) \leq C W_{\varphi^\lambda}^r(f, \delta_n). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.2:

Let $f \in L_p[0,1]$, $r \in N$, $0 < \alpha < r$, and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, and if

$$\|B_{n,r}(f, x) - f(x)\|_p = O(\delta_n^r) \quad \dots (3.6)$$

Then we have

$$W_{\varphi^\lambda}^r(f, t) = O(t^\alpha) \quad \dots (3.7)$$

Where $\delta_n = n^{-1/2} \delta_n^{1-\lambda}(x)$ and $p = 0$.

**Proof:**

Suppose that $\|B_{n,r}(f, x) - f(x)\|_0 = O(\delta_n^{-r})$ holds.

Now, we introduce a new k -functional as:

$$K_\lambda^\alpha(f, t^r) = \inf_{g \in W_\lambda^r} \{ \|f - g\|_0 + t^r \|g\|_r \}$$

for $g \in W_\lambda^r$, such that

$$\|f - g\|_0 + n^{-r/2} \|g\|_r \leq 2 K_\lambda^\alpha(f, n^{-r/2}). \quad \dots (3.8)$$

By (3.6), we can deduce that

$$\|B_{n,r}(f, x) - f(x)\|_0 \leq C n^{-\alpha/2}.$$

Thus, by using lemma 2.8 and (3.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} K_\lambda^\alpha(f, t^r) &\leq \|f - B_{n,r}(f)\|_0 + t^r \|B_{n,r}(f)\|_r \\ &\leq C n^{-\alpha/2} + t^r (\|B_{n,r}(f - g, x)\|_r + \|B_{n,r}(g, x)\|_r) \\ &\leq C (n^{-\alpha/2} + t^r (n^{r/2} \|f - g\|_0 + \|g\|_r)) \\ &\leq C \left(n^{-\alpha/2} + \frac{t^r}{n^{-r/2}} K_\lambda^\alpha(f, n^{-r/2}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Which implies that

$$K_\lambda^\alpha(f, t^r) \leq C t^\alpha. \quad \dots (3.9)$$

On the other hand, since $x + (i - \frac{r}{2}) t \varphi^\lambda(x) \geq 0$

$$\text{therefore } \left| (i - \frac{r}{2}) t \varphi^\lambda(x) \right| \leq x$$

$$\text{and } x + (i - \frac{r}{2}) t \varphi^\lambda(x) \leq 2x$$

$$\text{so that } \delta_n \left(x + (i - \frac{r}{2}) t \varphi^\lambda(x) \right) \leq 2\delta_n(x). \quad \dots (3.10)$$

Thus, for $f \in W_\lambda^0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta_{t\varphi^\lambda(x)}^r f(x)| &\leq \|f\|_0 \left(\sum_{i=0}^r \binom{r}{i} \delta_n^{\alpha(1-\lambda)} \left(x + (i - \frac{r}{2}) t \varphi^\lambda(x) \right) \right) \\ &\leq 2^{2r} \delta_n^{\alpha(1-\lambda)}(x) \|f\|_0. \end{aligned} \quad \dots (3.11)$$

From lemma 2.4 and for $g \in W_\lambda^r$, $0 \leq t \varphi^\lambda(x) \leq \frac{1}{\delta_r}$, $x \pm rt \varphi^\lambda(x)/2 \in [0,1]$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta_{t\varphi^\lambda(x)}^r g(x)| &\leq \left| \int_{-(t/2)\varphi^\lambda(x)}^{(t/2)\varphi^\lambda(x)} \cdots \int_{-(t/2)\varphi^\lambda(x)}^{(t/2)\varphi^\lambda(x)} g^{(r)} \left(r + \sum_{i=1}^r u_i \right) du_1 du_2 \cdots du_r \right| \\ &\leq \|g\|_r \int_{-(t/2)\varphi^\lambda(x)}^{(t/2)\varphi^\lambda(x)} \cdots \int_{-(t/2)\varphi^\lambda(x)}^{(t/2)\varphi^\lambda(x)} \delta_n^{-r+\alpha(1-\lambda)} \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^r u_i \right) du_1 du_2 \cdots du_r \end{aligned} \quad \dots (3.12)$$

Then we have

$$|\Delta_{t\varphi^\lambda(x)}^r g(x)| \leq C t^r \delta_n^{-r+\alpha(1-\lambda)}(x) \|g\|_r.$$

Now, using (3.9), (3.11) and (3.12) for $0 \leq t \varphi^\lambda(x) \leq \frac{1}{\delta_r}$, $x \pm rt \varphi^\lambda(x)/2 \in [0,1]$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta_{t\varphi^\lambda(x)}^r f(x)| &= |\Delta_{t\varphi^\lambda(x)}^r (f - g + g)(x)| \\ &\leq |\Delta_{t\varphi^\lambda(x)}^r (f - g)(x)| + |\Delta_{t\varphi^\lambda(x)}^r g(x)| \\ &\leq C \delta_n^{\alpha(1-\lambda)}(x) K_\lambda^\alpha \left(f, \frac{t^r}{\delta_n^{(1-\lambda)}(x)} \right) \leq C t^r. \end{aligned}$$



References

- [1] Z. Ditzian; (1994), "Directed estimate for Bernstein polynomials", Approx. Theory Jornal, 79, 165-166.
- [2] Z. Ditzian, D. Jiang; (1992), "Approximations by polynomials in $C[-1,1]$ ", Canad. J. Math., 44, 924-940.
- [3] Z. Ditzian, V. Tolik; (1987), " Moduli of Smoothness ", Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [4] H. Tong, G. Zhang; (2002), " Stechkin M archand type inequalities for Baskakov Polynomials ", J. Approx. Theory, 114, 33-47.
- [5] V. Gupta, N. Deo; (2005), " On the rate of convergence for bivariate Beta operators ", General Math., 107-114.
- [6] M. Heilmann; (1989), " Directed and converse results for operators of Baskakov-Durrmeyer type ", Approx. Theory and its Application, 105-127.
- [7] D. Zhon; (1993), " On paper of Mazhar and Tolik ", J. Approx. Theory, 209-300.

