



## Higher dimensional fixed point results in complete ordered metric spaces

Sumitra Dalal

College of Science, Jazan University, Saudia-Arabia  
mathsqueen\_d@yahoo.com

### ABSTRACT

The aim of this paper is to define the concept of compatible maps for  $n$ -tupled maps, a new notion propounded by M.Imdad et.al.[13] and prove  $n$ -tupled coincidence and  $n$ -tupled fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces. Our results generalize, extend and improve the results of [3,7,8,12,13,19.25,26].

### Mathematics Subject Classification:

54H25; 47H10; 54E50

**Keywords:** Fixed points;  $n$ -tupled coincidence and fixed points; mixed-monotone property and partially ordered metric spaces.



## Council for Innovative Research

Peer Review Research Publishing System

**Journal:** Journal of Advances in Mathematics

Vol 7, No. 1

[editor@cirworld.com](mailto:editor@cirworld.com)

[www.cirworld.com](http://www.cirworld.com), [member.cirworld.com](http://member.cirworld.com)



## 1. INTRODUCTION:

The Banach contraction principle is the most natural and significant result of fixed point theory. It has become one of the most fundamental and powerful tools of nonlinear analysis because of its wide range of applications to nonlinear equations arising in physical and biological processes ensuring the existence and uniqueness of solutions. It is widely considered as the source of metric fixed point theory. Also, its significance lies in its vast applicability in a number of branches of mathematics. Generalization of the above principle has been done by various mathematicians see [1,3,4,7,8,20-26]. Existence of a fixed point for contraction type mappings in partially ordered metric space and applications have been considered by many authors. There already exists an extensive literature on this topic, but keeping in view the relevance of this paper, we merely refer to [3-6,10-13,15,18,19,25-26].

Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [25] introduced the notions of mixed monotone property and coupled fixed point for the contractive mapping  $F: X \times X$ , where  $X$  is a partially ordered metric space, and proved some coupled fixed point theorems for a mixed monotone operator. As an application of the coupled fixed point theorems, they determined the existence and uniqueness of the solution of a periodic boundary value problems. It is very natural to extend the definition of 2-dimensional fixed point (coupled fixed point), 3-dimensional fixed point (tripled fixed point), 4-dimensional fixed point (quadrupled fixed point) and so on to multidimensional fixed point (n-tuple fixed point) (see also [5,9,16, 17,19]). The last remarkable result of this trend was given by M.Imdad et al. [13] by introducing the notion of multidimensional fixed points. (see also [1,12,15,,18]).

The purpose of this paper is to establish some n-tupled coincidence and fixed point results for compatible maps in complete partially ordered metric spaces. Our results generalize and improve the results of [3,7,8,12,13,19,25,26].

## 2. PRELIMINARIES AND DEFINITIOS:

As usual, this section is devoted to preliminaries which include some basic definitions and results related to coupled fixed point and n-tupled fixed point in partially ordered metric spaces.

**Definition 2.1 [26]** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set equipped with a metric  $d$  such that  $(X, d)$  is a metric space. Further, equip the product space  $X \times X$  with the following partial ordering:

For  $(x, y), (u, v) \in X \times X$ , define  $(u, v) \leq (x, y) \Leftrightarrow x \geq u, y \leq v$ .

**Definition 2.2 [26]** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set and  $F: X \times X \rightarrow X$  then  $F$  enjoys the mixed monotone property if  $F(x, y)$  is monotonically non-decreasing in  $x$  and monotonically non-increasing in  $y$ , that is, for any  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$x_1, x_2 \in X, x_1 \leq x_2 \Rightarrow F(x_1, y) \leq F(x_2, y) \text{ and } y_1, y_2 \in X, y_1 \leq y_2 \Rightarrow F(x, y_1) \geq F(x, y_2).$$

**Definition 2.3 [26]** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set and  $F: X \times X \rightarrow X$ , then  $(x, y) \in X \times X$  is called a coupled fixed point of the mapping  $F$  if  $F(x, y) = x$  and  $F(y, x) = y$ .

**Definition 2.4 [26]** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set and  $F: X \times X \rightarrow X$  and  $g: X \times X \rightarrow X$  then  $F$  enjoys the mixed  $g$ -monotone property if  $F(x, y)$  is monotonically  $g$ -non-decreasing in  $x$  and monotonically  $g$ -non-increasing in  $y$ , that is, for any  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$x_1, x_2 \in X, g(x_1) \leq g(x_2) \Rightarrow F(x_1, y) \leq F(x_2, y), \text{ for any } y \in X,$$

$$y_1, y_2 \in X, g(y_1) \leq g(y_2) \Rightarrow F(x, y_1) \geq F(x, y_2), \text{ for any } x \in X.$$

**Definition 2.5 [26]** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set and  $F: X \times X \rightarrow X$  and  $g: X \times X \rightarrow X$ , then  $(x, y) \in X \times X$  is called a coupled coincidence point of the maps  $F$  and  $g$  if  $F(x, y) = gx$  and  $F(y, x) = gy$ .

**Definition 2.6 [26]** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set, then  $(x, y) \in X \times X$  is called a coupled fixed point of the maps  $F: X \times X \rightarrow X$  and  $g: X \times X \rightarrow X$  if  $gx = F(x, y) = x$  and  $gy = F(y, x) = y$ .

Throughout the paper,  $r$  stands for a general even natural number

**Definition 2.7 [13]** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set and  $F: \prod_{i=1}^r X^i \rightarrow X$  then  $F$  is said to have the mixed monotone property if  $F$  is non-decreasing in its odd position arguments and non-increasing in its even positions arguments, that is, if,

$$(i) \quad \text{For all } x_1^1, x_2^1 \in X, x_1^1 \leq x_2^1 \Rightarrow F(x_1^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r) \leq F(x_2^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r),$$

$$(ii) \quad \text{For all } x_1^2, x_2^2 \in X, x_1^2 \leq x_2^2 \Rightarrow F(x^1, x_1^2, x^3, \dots, x^r) \geq F(x^1, x_2^2, x^3, \dots, x^r),$$

$$(iii) \quad \text{For all } x_1^3, x_2^3 \in X, x_1^3 \leq x_2^3 \Rightarrow F(x^1, x^2, x_1^3, x^4, \dots, x^r) \leq F(x^1, x^2, x_2^3, x^4, \dots, x^r),$$

...

$$\text{For all } x_1^r, x_2^r \in X, x_1^r \leq x_2^r \Rightarrow F(x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x_1^r) \geq F(x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x_2^r).$$



**Definition 2.8 [13]** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set and  $F: \prod_{i=1}^r X^i \rightarrow X$  and  $g: X \rightarrow X$  be two maps. Then  $F$  is said to have the mixed  $g$ -monotone property if  $F$  is  $g$ -non-decreasing in its odd position arguments and  $g$ -non-increasing in its even positions arguments, that is, if,

- (i) For all  $x_1^1, x_2^1 \in X, gx_1^1 \leq gx_2^1 \Rightarrow F(x_1^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r) \leq F(x_2^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r)$ ,
- (ii) For all  $x_1^2, x_2^2 \in X, gx_1^2 \leq gx_2^2 \Rightarrow F(x^1, x_1^2, x^3, \dots, x^r) \geq F(x^1, x_2^2, x^3, \dots, x^r)$ ,
- (iii) For all  $x_1^3, x_2^3 \in X, gx_1^3 \leq gx_2^3 \Rightarrow F(x^1, x^2, x_1^3, \dots, x^r) \leq F(x^1, x^2, x_2^3, \dots, x^r)$ ,

For all  $x_1^r, x_2^r \in X, gx_1^r \leq gx_2^r \Rightarrow F(x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x_1^r) \geq F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x_2^r)$ .

**Definition 2.9 [12]** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set. An element  $(x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r) \in \prod_{i=1}^r X^i$  is called an  $r$ -tupled fixed point of the mapping  $F: \prod_{i=1}^r X^i \rightarrow X$  if

$$\begin{aligned} x^1 &= F(x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r), \\ x^2 &= F(x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r, x^1), \\ x^3 &= F(x^3, \dots, x^r, x^1, x^2), \\ &\dots \\ x^r &= F(x^r, x^1, x^2, \dots, x^{r-1}). \end{aligned}$$

**Example 1** Let  $(R, d)$  be a partial ordered metric space under natural setting and let  $F: \prod_{i=1}^r X^i \rightarrow X$  be mapping defined by  $F(x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r) = \sin(x^1 \cdot x^2 \cdot x^3 \cdot \dots \cdot x^r)$ , for any  $x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r \in X$ , then  $(0, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$  is an  $r$ -tupled fixed point of  $F$ .

**Definition 2.10 [13]** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set. An element  $(x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r) \in \prod_{i=1}^r X^i$  is called an  $r$ -tupled coincidence point of the maps  $F: \prod_{i=1}^r X^i \rightarrow X$  and  $g: X \rightarrow X$  if

$$\begin{aligned} gx^1 &= F(x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r), \\ gx^2 &= F(x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r, x^1), \\ gx^3 &= F(x^3, \dots, x^r, x^1, x^2), \\ &\dots \\ gx^r &= F(x^r, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^{r-1}). \end{aligned}$$

**Example 2** Let  $(R, d)$  be a partial ordered metric space under natural setting and let  $F: \prod_{i=1}^r X^i \rightarrow X$  and  $g: X \rightarrow X$  be maps defined by

$$\begin{aligned} F(x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r) &= \sin x^1 \cdot \cos x^2 \cdot \sin x^3 \cdot \cos x^4 \cdot \dots \cdot \sin x^{r-1} \cdot \cos x^r, \\ g(x) &= \sin x, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r \in X$ , then  $\{(x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r), x^i = m\pi, m \in N, 1 \leq i \leq r\}$  is an  $r$ -tupled coincidence point of  $F$  and  $g$ .

**Definition 2.11 [13]** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set. An element  $(x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r) \in \prod_{i=1}^r X^i$  is called an  $r$ -tupled fixed point of the maps  $F: \prod_{i=1}^r X^i \rightarrow X$  and  $g: X \rightarrow X$  if

$$\begin{aligned} x^1 &= gx^1 = F(x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r), \\ x^2 &= gx^2 = F(x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r, x^1), \\ x^3 &= gx^3 = F(x^3, \dots, x^r, x^1, x^2), \\ &\dots \\ x^r &= gx^r = F(x^r, x^1, x^2, \dots, x^{r-1}). \end{aligned}$$



Now, we define the concept of compatible maps for  $r$ -tupled maps.

**Definition 2.11** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set, then the maps  $F: \prod_{i=1}^r X^i \rightarrow X$  and  $g: X \rightarrow X$  are called compatible if

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(F(x_n^1, x_n^2, \dots, x_n^r), F(gx_n^1, gx_n^2, \dots, gx_n^r)) &= 0, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(F(x_n^2, x_n^3, \dots, x_n^r, x_n^1), F(gx_n^2, gx_n^3, \dots, gx_n^r, gx_n^1)) &= 0, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(F(x_n^3, \dots, x_n^r, x_n^1, x_n^2), F(gx_n^3, \dots, gx_n^r, gx_n^1, gx_n^2)) &= 0, \\ &\dots \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(F(x_n^r, x_n^1, x_n^2, \dots, x_n^{r-1}), F(gx_n^r, gx_n^1, gx_n^2, \dots, gx_n^{r-1})) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

whenever,  $\{x_n^1\}, \{x_n^2\}, \{x_n^3\}, \dots, \{x_n^r\}$  are sequences in  $X$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(x_n^1, x_n^2, x_n^3, \dots, x_n^r) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(x_n^1) = x^1, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(x_n^2, x_n^3, \dots, x_n^r, x_n^1) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(x_n^2) = x^2, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(x_n^3, x_n^4, \dots, x_n^1, x_n^2) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(x_n^3) = x^3, \\ &\dots \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(x_n^r, x_n^1, x_n^2, \dots, x_n^{r-1}) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(x_n^r) = x^r. \end{aligned}$$

For some  $x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r \in X$ .

### 3. MAIN RESULTS:

Imdad et al. [13] proved the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set equipped with a metric  $d$  such that  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space.

Assume that there is a function  $\varphi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $\varphi(t) < t$  and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow t^+} \varphi(r) < t$  for each  $t > 0$ . Further let

$F: \prod_{i=1}^r X^i \rightarrow X$  and  $g: X \rightarrow X$  be two maps such that  $F$  has the mixed  $g$ -monotone property satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $F(\prod_{i=1}^r X^i) \subseteq g(X)$ ,
- (ii)  $g$  is continuous and monotonically increasing ,
- (iii) the pair  $(g, F)$  is commuting,
- (iv)  $d(F(x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r), F(y^1, y^2, y^3, \dots, y^r)) \leq \varphi\left(\frac{1}{r} \sum_{n=1}^r d(g(x^n), g(y^n))\right)$

for all  $x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r, y^1, y^2, y^3, \dots, y^r \in X$ , with  $gx^1 \leq gy^1, gx^2 \geq gy^2,$

$gx^3 \leq gy^3, \dots, gx^r \geq gy^r$ . Also, suppose that either

- (a)  $F$  is continuous or
- (b)  $X$  has the following properties:
  - (i) If a non-decreasing sequence  $\{x_n\} \rightarrow x$  then  $x_n \leq x$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .
  - (ii) If a non-increasing sequence  $\{y_n\} \rightarrow y$  then  $y \leq y_n$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .

If there exist  $x_0^1, x_0^2, x_0^3, \dots, x_0^r \in X$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(iv)} \quad gx_0^1 &\leq F(x_0^1, x_0^2, x_0^3, \dots, x_0^r), \\ gx_0^2 &\geq F(x_0^2, x_0^3, \dots, x_0^r, x_0^1), \\ gx_0^3 &\leq F(x_0^3, \dots, x_0^r, x_0^1, x_0^2), \\ &\dots \\ gx_0^r &\geq F(x_0^r, x_0^1, x_0^2, x_0^3, \dots, x_0^{r-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $F$  and  $g$  have a  $r$ -tupled coincidence point, i. e there exist  $x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r \in X$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(v)} \quad gx^1 &= F(x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r), \\ gx^2 &= F(x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r, x^1), \end{aligned}$$



$$gx^3 = F(x^3, \dots, x^r, x^1, x^2),$$

$$\dots$$

$$gx^r = F(x^r, x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^{r-1}).$$

Now, we prove our main result as follows:

**Theorem 3.2** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set equipped with a metric  $d$  such that  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space.

Assume that there is a function  $\varphi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $\varphi(t) < t$  and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow t^+} \varphi(r) < t$  for each  $t > 0$ . Further let

$F: \prod_{i=1}^r X^i \rightarrow X$  and  $g: X \rightarrow X$  be two maps such that  $F$  has the mixed  $g$ -monotone property satisfying the following conditions:

$$(3.1) \quad F(\prod_{i=1}^r X^i) \subseteq g(X),$$

(3.2)  $g$  is continuous and monotonically increasing,

(3.3) the pair  $(g, F)$  is compatible,

$$(3.4) \quad d(F(x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r), F(y^1, y^2, y^3, \dots, y^r)) \leq \varphi(\max\{d(g(x^n), g(y^n))\}),$$

For all  $x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r, y^1, y^2, y^3, \dots, y^r \in X, n = 1, 2, \dots, r$  and  $gx^1 \leq gy^1, gx^2 \geq gy^2,$

$gx^3 \leq gy^3, \dots, gx^r \geq gy^r$ . Also, suppose that either

(c)  $F$  is continuous or

(d)  $X$  has the following properties:

(j) If a non-decreasing sequence  $\{x_n\} \rightarrow x$  then  $x_n \leq x$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .

(iii) If a non-increasing sequence  $\{y_n\} \rightarrow y$  then  $y \leq y_n$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .

If there exist  $x_0^1, x_0^2, x_0^3, \dots, x_0^r \in X$  such that

$$(3.5) \quad gx_0^1 \leq F(x_0^1, x_0^2, x_0^3, \dots, x_0^r),$$

$$gx_0^2 \geq F(x_0^2, x_0^3, \dots, x_0^r, x_0^1),$$

$$gx_0^3 \leq F(x_0^3, \dots, x_0^r, x_0^1, x_0^2),$$

$$\dots$$

$$gx_0^r \geq F(x_0^r, x_0^1, x_0^2, x_0^3, \dots, x_0^{r-1}).$$

Then  $F$  and  $g$  have a  $r$ -tupled coincidence point, i. e there exist  $x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r \in X$  such that

$$(3.6) \quad gx^1 = F(x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r),$$

$$gx^2 = F(x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r, x^1),$$

$$gx^3 = F(x^3, \dots, x^r, x^1, x^2),$$

$$\dots$$

$$gx^r = F(x^r, x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^{r-1}).$$

**Proof.** Starting with  $x_0^1, x_0^2, x_0^3, \dots, x_0^r \in X$ , we define the sequences  $\{x_n^1\}, \{x_n^2\}, \{x_n^3\}, \dots, \{x_n^r\}$  in  $X$  as follows:

$$(3.7) \quad gx_{n+1}^1 = F(x_n^1, x_n^2, x_n^1, \dots, x_n^r),$$

$$gx_{n+1}^2 = F(x_n^2, x_n^3, \dots, x_n^r, x_n^1),$$

$$gx_{n+1}^3 = F(x_n^3, \dots, x_n^r, x_n^1, x_n^2),$$

$$\dots$$

$$gx_{n+1}^r = F(x_n^r, x_n^1, x_n^2, x_n^3, \dots, x_n^{r-1}).$$

Now, we prove that for all  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$(3.8) \quad gx_n^1 \leq gx_{n+1}^1, \quad gx_n^2 \geq gx_{n+1}^2, \quad gx_n^3 \leq gx_{n+1}^3, \quad \dots, \quad gx_n^r \geq gx_{n+1}^r.$$

$$(3.9) \quad gx_0^1 \leq F(x_0^1, x_0^2, x_0^3, \dots, x_0^r) = gx_1^1,$$

$$gx_0^2 \geq F(x_0^2, x_0^3, \dots, x_0^r, x_0^1) = gx_1^2,$$

$$gx_0^3 \leq F(x_0^3, \dots, x_0^r, x_0^1, x_0^2) = gx_1^3,$$

$$\dots$$





$$gx_0^r \geq F(x_0^r, x_0^1, x_0^2, x_0^3, \dots, x_0^{r-1}) = gx_1^r.$$

So (3.8) holds for  $n = 0$ . Suppose (3.8) holds for some  $n > 0$ . Consider

$$\begin{aligned} gx_{n+1}^1 &= F(x_n^1, x_n^2, x_n^3, \dots, x_n^r) \\ &\leq F(x_{n+1}^1, x_n^2, x_n^3, \dots, x_n^r) \\ &\leq F(x_{n+1}^1, x_{n+1}^2, x_n^3, \dots, x_n^r) \\ &\leq F(x_{n+1}^1, x_{n+1}^2, x_{n+1}^3, \dots, x_n^r) \\ &\leq F(x_{n+1}^1, x_{n+1}^2, \dots, x_{n+1}^r) = gx_{n+2}^1, \\ gx_{n+1}^2 &= F(x_n^2, x_n^3, \dots, x_n^r, x_n^1) \\ &\geq F(x_{n+1}^2, x_n^3, \dots, x_n^r, x_n^1) \\ &\geq F(x_{n+1}^2, x_{n+1}^3, \dots, x_n^r, x_n^1) \\ &\geq F(x_{n+1}^2, x_{n+1}^3, \dots, x_{n+1}^r, x_n^1) \\ &\geq F(x_{n+1}^2, x_{n+1}^3, \dots, x_{n+1}^r, x_{n+1}^1) = gx_{n+2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} gx_{n+1}^3 &= F(x_n^3, \dots, x_n^r, x_n^1, x_n^2) \\ &\leq F(x_{n+1}^3, \dots, x_n^r, x_n^1, x_n^2) \\ &\leq F(x_{n+1}^3, x_{n+1}^4, \dots, x_n^r, x_n^1, x_n^2) \\ &\leq F(x_{n+1}^3, x_{n+1}^4, \dots, x_{n+1}^r, x_n^1, x_n^2) \\ &\leq F(x_{n+1}^3, x_{n+1}^4, x_{n+1}^5, \dots, x_{n+1}^r, x_{n+1}^1, x_n^2) \\ &\leq F(x_{n+1}^3, x_{n+1}^4, \dots, x_{n+1}^r, x_{n+1}^1, x_{n+1}^2) = gx_{n+2}^3, \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} gx_{n+1}^r &= F(x_n^r, x_n^1, x_n^2, \dots, x_n^{r-1}) \\ &\geq F(x_{n+1}^r, x_n^1, x_n^2, \dots, x_n^{r-1}) \\ &\geq F(x_{n+1}^r, x_{n+1}^1, x_n^2, x_n^3, \dots, x_n^{r-1}) \\ &\geq F(x_{n+1}^r, x_{n+1}^1, x_{n+1}^2, \dots, x_n^{r-1}) \\ &\geq F(x_{n+1}^r, x_{n+1}^1, x_{n+1}^2, \dots, x_{n+1}^{r-1}) = gx_{n+2}^r. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by induction (3.8) holds for all  $n \geq 0$ . Using (3.7) and (3.8)

$$\begin{aligned} (3.10) \quad d(g(x_m^1), g(x_{m+1}^1)) &= d(F(x_{m-1}^1, x_{m-1}^2, \dots, x_{m-1}^r), F(x_m^1, x_m^2, \dots, x_m^r)) \\ &\leq \varphi(\max\{d(g(x_{m-1}^n), g(x_m^n))\}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can inductively write

$$\begin{aligned} (3.11) \quad d(g(x_m^2), g(x_{m+1}^2)) &\leq \varphi(\max\{d(g(x_{m-1}^n), g(x_m^n))\}), \\ &\dots \\ d(g(x_m^r), g(x_{m+1}^r)) &\leq \varphi(\max\{d(g(x_{m-1}^n), g(x_m^n))\}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by putting

$$(3.12) \quad \gamma_m = \max\{d(g(x_m^1), g(x_{m+1}^1)), d(g(x_m^2), g(x_{m+1}^2)), \dots, d(g(x_m^r), g(x_{m+1}^r))\}.$$

We have,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.13) \quad \gamma_m &= \max\{d(g(x_m^1), g(x_{m+1}^1)), d(g(x_m^2), g(x_{m+1}^2)), \dots, d(g(x_m^r), g(x_{m+1}^r))\} \\ &\leq \varphi(\max\{d(g(x_{m-1}^n), g(x_m^n))\}) = \varphi(\gamma_{m-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varphi(t) < t$  for all  $t > 0$ , therefore,  $\gamma_m \leq \gamma_{m-1}$  for all  $m$  so that  $\{\gamma_m\}$  is a non-increasing sequence. Since it is bounded below, there is some  $\gamma \geq 0$  such that

$$(3.14) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_m = +\gamma.$$



We shall show that  $\gamma = 0$ . Suppose, if possible  $\gamma > 0$ . Taking limit as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  of both sides of (3.13) and keeping in mind our supposition that  $\lim_{r \rightarrow t^+} \varphi(r) < t$  for all  $t > 0$ , we have

$$(3.15) \quad \gamma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_m \leq \varphi(\gamma_{m-1}) = \varphi(\gamma) < \gamma,$$

this contradiction gives  $\gamma = 0$  and hence

$$(3.16) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \max \left\{ d(g(x_m^1), g(x_{m+1}^1)), d(g(x_m^2), g(x_{m+1}^2)), \dots, d(g(x_m^r), g(x_{m+1}^r)) \right\} \right] = 0.$$

Next we show that all the sequences  $\{g(x_m^1)\}, \{g(x_m^2)\}, \{g(x_m^3)\}, \dots, \dots$ , and  $\{g(x_m^r)\}$  are Cauchy sequences. If possible, suppose that at least one of  $\{g(x_m^1)\}, \{g(x_m^2)\}, \dots$ , and

$\{g(x_m^r)\}$  is not a Cauchy sequence. Then there exist  $\epsilon > 0$  and sequences of positive integers  $\{l(k)\}$  and  $\{m(k)\}$  such that for all positive integers  $k$ ,

$$m(k) > l(k) > k,$$

$$(3.17) \quad \max \{ d(gx_{l(k)}^1, gx_{m(k)}^1), d(gx_{l(k)}^2, gx_{m(k)}^2), \dots, d(gx_{l(k)}^r, gx_{m(k)}^r) \} \geq \epsilon \text{ and} \\ \max \{ d(gx_{l(k)}^1, gx_{m(k)-1}^1), d(gx_{l(k)}^2, gx_{m(k)-1}^2), \dots, d(gx_{l(k)}^r, gx_{m(k)-1}^r) \} < \epsilon.$$

Now,

$$(3.18) \quad d(gx_{l(k)}^1, gx_{m(k)}^1) = d(F(x_{l(k)-1}^1, x_{l(k)-1}^2, \dots, x_{l(k)-1}^r), F(x_{m(k)-1}^1, x_{m(k)-1}^2, \dots, x_{m(k)-1}^r)) \\ \leq \varphi(\max \{ d(gx_{l(k)-1}^n, gx_{m(k)-1}^n) \}), n = 1, 2, \dots, r.$$

$$\text{Similarly, } d(gx_{l(k)}^2, gx_{m(k)}^2) \leq \varphi(\max \{ d(gx_{l(k)-1}^n, gx_{m(k)-1}^n) \}), n = 1, 2, \dots, r$$

...

$$d(gx_{l(k)}^r, gx_{m(k)}^r) \leq \varphi(\max \{ d(gx_{l(k)-1}^n, gx_{m(k)-1}^n) \}), n = 1, 2, \dots, r$$

Thus,

$$(3.19) \quad \epsilon \leq \max \{ d(gx_{l(k)}^1, gx_{m(k)}^1), d(gx_{l(k)}^2, gx_{m(k)}^2), \dots, d(gx_{l(k)}^r, gx_{m(k)}^r) \} \\ \leq \varphi(\max \{ d(gx_{l(k)-1}^n, gx_{m(k)-1}^n) \}), n = 1, 2, \dots, r$$

Again, the triangular inequality and (3.17) gives

$$(3.20) \quad d(gx_{l(k)-1}^1, gx_{m(k)-1}^1) \leq d(gx_{l(k)-1}^1, gx_{l(k)}^1) + d(gx_{l(k)}^1, gx_{m(k)-1}^1) \\ \leq d(gx_{l(k)-1}^1, gx_{l(k)}^1) + \epsilon, n = 1, 2, \dots, r \quad \text{and}$$

$$d(gx_{l(k)-1}^2, gx_{m(k)-1}^2) \leq d(gx_{l(k)-1}^2, gx_{l(k)}^2) + \epsilon, n = 1, 2, \dots, r$$

...

$$d(gx_{l(k)-1}^r, gx_{m(k)-1}^r) \leq d(gx_{l(k)-1}^r, gx_{l(k)}^r) + \epsilon, n = 1, 2, \dots, r.$$

i. e, we have

$$(3.21) \quad \max \{ d(gx_{l(k)-1}^1, gx_{m(k)-1}^1), d(gx_{l(k)-1}^2, gx_{m(k)-1}^2), \dots, d(gx_{l(k)-1}^r, gx_{m(k)-1}^r) \} \\ \leq \max \{ \leq d(gx_{l(k)-1}^n, gx_{l(k)}^n) \} + \epsilon, n = 1, 2, \dots, r$$

Also,

$$(3.22) \quad d(gx_{m(k)}^1, gx_{l(k)}^1) \leq d(gx_{m(k)}^1, gx_{m(k)-1}^1) + d(gx_{m(k)-1}^1, gx_{l(k)-1}^1) \\ + d(gx_{l(k)-1}^1, gx_{l(k)}^1) \\ d(gx_{m(k)}^2, gx_{l(k)}^2) \leq d(gx_{m(k)}^2, gx_{m(k)-1}^2) + d(gx_{m(k)-1}^2, gx_{l(k)-1}^2) + d(gx_{l(k)-1}^2, gx_{l(k)}^2) \\ \dots \\ d(gx_{m(k)}^r, gx_{l(k)}^r) \leq d(gx_{m(k)}^r, gx_{m(k)-1}^r) + d(gx_{m(k)-1}^r, gx_{l(k)-1}^r) + d(gx_{l(k)-1}^r, gx_{l(k)}^r)$$

Using (3.17),(3.19) and (3.22), we have

$$(3.23) \quad \epsilon \leq \max \{ d(gx_{l(k)}^1, gx_{m(k)}^1), d(gx_{l(k)}^2, gx_{m(k)}^2), \dots, d(gx_{l(k)}^r, gx_{m(k)}^r) \} \\ \leq \max \{ d(gx_{m(k)}^1, gx_{m(k)-1}^1), d(gx_{m(k)}^2, gx_{m(k)-1}^2), \dots, d(gx_{m(k)}^r, gx_{m(k)-1}^r) \}$$



$$+ \max\{d(gx_{m(k)-1}^1, gx_{l(k)-1}^1), d(gx_{m(k)-1}^2, gx_{l(k)-1}^2), \dots, d(gx_{m(k)-1}^r, gx_{l(k)-1}^r)\}$$

$$+ \max\{d(gx_{l(k)-1}^1, gx_{l(k)}^1), d(gx_{l(k)-1}^2, gx_{l(k)}^2), \dots, d(gx_{l(k)-1}^r, gx_{l(k)}^r)\}.$$

Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in above equation, we get

$$(3.24) \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\max\{d(gx_{l(k)-1}^1, gx_{m(k)-1}^1), d(gx_{l(k)-1}^2, gx_{m(k)-1}^2), \dots, d(gx_{l(k)-1}^r, gx_{m(k)-1}^r)\}) = \varepsilon$$

Finally, letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.17) and using (3.19) and (3.23), we get

$$(3.25) \quad \varepsilon \leq \max\{d(gx_{l(k)}^1, gx_{m(k)}^1), d(gx_{l(k)}^2, gx_{m(k)}^2), \dots, d(gx_{l(k)}^r, gx_{m(k)}^r)\} \leq \varphi(\varepsilon) < \varepsilon,$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $\{g(x_m^1)\}, \{g(x_m^2)\}, \{g(x_m^3)\}, \dots, \{g(x_m^r)\}$  are Cauchy sequences. Since the metric space  $(X, d)$  is complete, so there exist  $x^1, x^2, \dots, x^r \in X$  such that

$$(3.26) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} g(x_m^1) = x^1, \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} g(x_m^2) = x^2, \dots, \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} g(x_m^r) = x^r.$$

As  $g$  is continuous, so from (3.26), we have

$$(3.27) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} g(g(x_m^1)) = g(x^1), \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} g(g(x_m^2)) = g(x^2), \dots, \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} g(g(x_m^r)) = g(x^r).$$

By the compatibility of  $g$  and  $F$ , we have

$$(3.28) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(g(F(x_m^1, x_m^2, \dots, x_m^r)), F(g(x_m^1), g(x_m^2), \dots, g(x_m^r))) = 0,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(g(F(x_m^2, \dots, x_m^r, x_m^1)), F(g(x_m^2), \dots, g(x_m^r), g(x_m^1))) = 0,$$

...

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(g(F(x_m^r, x_m^1, \dots, x_m^{r-1})), F(g(x_m^r), g(x_m^1), \dots, g(x_m^{r-1}))) = 0.$$

Now, we show that  $F$  and  $g$  have an  $r$ -tupled coincidence point. To accomplish this, suppose (a) holds, i.e.  $F$  is continuous, then using (3.28) and (3.8), we see that

$$d(g(x^1), F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^r))$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(g(g(x_{m+1}^1)), F(g(x_m^1), g(x_m^2), \dots, g(x_m^r)))$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(g(F(x_m^1, x_m^2, \dots, x_m^r)), F(g(x_m^1), g(x_m^2), \dots, g(x_m^r))) = 0,$$

which gives  $g(x^1) = F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^r)$ . Similarly, we can prove  $g(x^2) = F(x^2, \dots, x^r, x^1), \dots,$

$$g(x^r) = F(x^r, x^1, \dots, x^{r-1})$$

Hence  $(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^r) \in \prod_{i=1}^r X^i$  is an  $r$ -tupled coincidence point of the maps  $F$  and  $g$ .

If (b) holds. Since  $g(x_m^i)$  is non-decreasing or non-increasing as  $i$  is odd or even and  $g(x_m^i) \rightarrow x^i$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $g(x_m^i) \leq x^i$ , when  $i$  is odd while  $g(x_m^i) \geq x^i$ , when  $i$  is even. Since  $g$  is monotonically increasing, therefore

$$(3.29) \quad g(g(x_m^i)) \leq g(x^i) \text{ when } i \text{ is odd,}$$

$$g(g(x_m^i)) \geq g(x^i) \text{ when } i \text{ is even.}$$

Now, using triangle inequality together with (3.8), we get

$$(3.30) \quad d(g(x^1), F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^r))$$

$$\leq d(g(x^1), g(x_{m+1}^1)) + d(g(x_{m+1}^1), F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^r))$$

$$\leq d(g(x^1), g(x_{m+1}^1)) + d(g(F(x_m^1, x_m^2, \dots, x_m^r)), F(g(x_m^1), g(x_m^2), \dots, g(x_m^r)))$$

$$\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore,  $g(x^1) = F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^r)$ . Similarly we can prove  $g(x^2) = F(x^2, \dots, x^r, x^1), \dots,$

$g(x^r) = F(x^r, x^1, \dots, x^{r-1})$ . Thus the theorem follows.

Now, we furnish our theorem by an example.

**Example 3.** Let  $X = [0,1]$  be complete metric space under usual metric and natural ordering  $\leq$  of real numbers. Define the maps  $F: \prod_{i=1}^r X^i \rightarrow X$  and  $g: X \rightarrow X$  as follows





$$g(x) = rx \text{ and } F(x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r) = \begin{cases} \frac{x^1 - 2x^2 + 3x^3 - \dots + (r-1)x^{r-1} - rx^r}{r^2 + 1}, & x^{i+1} \leq x^i, i = 1, 3, \dots, r-1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

For all  $x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r \in X$ . Then  $F$  enjoy the mixed  $g$ -monotone property. Also  $F$  is  $g$ -compatible in  $X$ . Now choose  $\{x_0^1, x_0^2, \dots, x_0^r\} = \{0, \frac{1}{5}, 0, \frac{1}{5}, \dots, \frac{1}{5}\}$

Set  $\varphi(t) = \frac{(r+1)}{r^2+1} t$ . Then we see that

$$\begin{aligned} g(x_0^1) &= g(0) = 0 = F(x_0^1, x_0^2, \dots, x_0^r), \\ g(x_1^2) &= F(x_0^2, \dots, x_0^r, x_0^1) \leq g\left(\frac{1}{5}\right) = g(x_0^2), \\ g(x_0^3) &= g(0) = 0 = F(x_0^3, x_0^4, \dots, x_0^1), \\ &\dots \\ g(x_1^r) &= F(x_0^r, \dots, x_0^2, x_0^1) \leq g\left(\frac{1}{5}\right) = g(x_0^r). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we check contactive condition(3.4) of theorem 3.2. We take

$x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r, y^1, y^2, \dots, y^{r-1}, y^r \in X$  such that

$$gy^1 \leq gx^1, gx^2 \leq gy^2, \dots, gx^r \leq gy^r.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } K &= \max(d(gx^1, gy^1), d(gx^2, gy^2), \dots, d(gx^r, gy^r)) \\ &= \max\{|gx^1 - gy^1|, |gx^2 - gy^2|, \dots, |gx^r - gy^r|\} \\ &= r \cdot \max\{|x^1 - y^1|, |x^2 - y^2|, \dots, |x^r - y^r|\} \end{aligned}$$

The following four cases arise

Case 1: Let  $x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r, y^1, y^2, \dots, y^{r-1}, y^r \in X$  such that  $x^{i+1} \leq x^i, y^{i+1} \leq y^i, i = 1, 3, \dots, r-1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^{r-1}, x^r), F(y^1, y^2, \dots, y^{r-1}, y^r)) &= d\left(\frac{x^1 - 2x^2 + 3x^3 - \dots + (r-1)x^{r-1} - rx^r}{r^2 + 1}, \frac{y^1 - 2y^2 + 3y^3 - \dots + (r-1)y^{r-1} - ry^r}{r^2 + 1}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{r^2 + 1} \left| (x^1 - 2x^2 + 3x^3 - \dots + (r-1)x^{r-1} - rx^r) - (y^1 - 2y^2 + 3y^3 - \dots + (r-1)y^{r-1} - ry^r) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{r^2 + 1} \{(|x^1 - y^1| + 2|x^2 - y^2| + \dots + r|x^r - y^r|)\} \\ &= \frac{K}{r^2 + 1} (\sum_{n=1}^r n) = \frac{r(r+1)}{2(r^2+1)} K. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: Let  $x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r, y^1, y^2, \dots, y^{r-1}, y^r \in X$  such that  $x^{i+1} \leq x^i, i = 1, 3, \dots, r-1$  and  $y^i \leq y^{i+1}$  for atleast one  $i$ . Then (for  $y^1 \leq y^2$ )

$$\begin{aligned} d(F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^{r-1}, x^r), F(y^1, y^2, \dots, y^{r-1}, y^r)) &= d\left(\frac{x^1 - 2x^2 + 3x^3 - \dots + (r-1)x^{r-1} - rx^r}{r^2 + 1}, 0\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{r^2 + 1} |(x^1 - 2x^2 + 3x^3 - \dots + (r-1)x^{r-1} - rx^r) + (2y^2 - y^1)|. \\ &= \frac{1}{r^2 + 1} \{(|x^1 - y^1| + 2|x^2 - y^2| + \dots + r|x^r - y^r|)\} = \frac{r(r+1)}{2(r^2+1)} K \end{aligned}$$

Case 3: Let  $x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r, y^1, y^2, \dots, y^{r-1}, y^r \in X$  such that  $y^{i+1} \leq y^i, i = 1, 3, \dots, r-1$  and  $x^i \leq x^{i+1}$  for atleast one  $i$ . This case is similar to Case 2.

Case 3: Let  $x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r, y^1, y^2, \dots, y^{r-1}, y^r \in X$  such that  $x^i \leq x^{i+1}, y^i \leq y^{i+1}, i = 1, 3, \dots, r-1$ . Then

$$d(F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^{r-1}, x^r), F(y^1, y^2, \dots, y^{r-1}, y^r)) = d(0, 0) \leq \frac{r(r+1)}{2(r^2+1)} K.$$

Thus, in all the cases,

$$d(F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^{r-1}, x^r), F(y^1, y^2, \dots, y^{r-1}, y^r)) \leq \frac{r(r+1)}{2(r^2+1)} K \leq \frac{(r+1)}{(r^2+1)} r \cdot K \leq \varphi(\max\{d(gx^n, gy^n)\}).$$

Hence all the conditions of our theorem 3.2 are satisfied and  $(0, 0, \dots, 0)$  is an  $r$ -tuple coincidence point of  $F$  and  $g$ .



The following corollary is a generalization of corollary 1[10] and theorem 2.1[9]

**Corollary 3.1** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set equipped with a metric  $d$  such that  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space. Further let  $F: \prod_{i=1}^r X^i \rightarrow X$  and  $g: X \rightarrow X$  be two maps satisfying all the conditions of theorem 3.2, with replaced (3.4) by

$$(3.31) \quad (d(F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^r), F(y^1, y^2, \dots, y^r)) \leq k(\max\{d(g(x^n), g(y^n))\}), n = 1, 2, \dots, r$$

and  $k \in [0, 1)$ . Then  $F$  and  $g$  have a  $r$ -tupled coincidence point.

**Proof:** If we put  $\varphi(t) = k \cdot t$  with  $k \in [0, 1)$  in theorem 3.2, we get the corollary.

### Uniqueness of $r$ -tupled fixed point

For all  $(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^r), (y^1, y^2, \dots, y^r) \in X^r$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (x^1, x^2, \dots, x^r) &\leq (y^1, y^2, \dots, y^r) \\ \Leftrightarrow x^1 &\leq y^1, x^2 \geq y^2, \dots, x^r \geq y^r. \end{aligned}$$

We say that  $(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^r) = (y^1, y^2, \dots, y^r) \Leftrightarrow x^1 = y^1, x^2 = y^2, \dots, x^r = y^r$ .

**Theorem 3.3** In addition to the hypothesis of theorem 3.2, suppose that for every

$$(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^r), (y^1, y^2, \dots, y^r) \in X^r$$

There exist  $(z^1, z^2, \dots, z^r) \in X^r$  such that

$F((z^1, z^2, \dots, z^r), (z^2, z^3, \dots, z^r, z^1), \dots, (z^r, z^1, \dots, z^{r-1}))$  is comparable to

$F((x^1, x^2, \dots, x^r), F(x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r, x^1), \dots, F(x^r, x^1, \dots, x^{r-1}))$  and

$F((y^1, y^2, \dots, y^r), F(y^2, y^3, \dots, y^r, y^1), \dots, F(y^r, y^1, \dots, y^{r-1}))$ .

Then  $F$  and  $g$  have a unique  $r$ -coincidence point, which is a fixed point of  $g: X \rightarrow X$  and  $F: \prod_{i=1}^r X^i \rightarrow X$ . That is there exists a unique  $(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^r) \in X^r$  such that

$$x^i = g(x^i) = F(x^i, x^1, \dots, x^{i-1}) \text{ for all } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}.$$

**Proof.** By theorem 3.2, the set of  $r$ -coincidence points is non-empty. Now, suppose that  $(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^r)$  and  $(y^1, y^2, \dots, y^r)$  are two coincidence points of  $F$  and  $g$ , that is

$$g(x^i) = F(x^i, x^1, \dots, x^{i-1}) \text{ for all } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\} \text{ and}$$

$$g(y^i) = F(y^i, y^1, \dots, y^{i-1}) \text{ for all } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}.$$

We will show that

$$(3.32) \quad g(x^i) = g(y^i) \text{ for all } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}.$$

By assumption, there exists  $(z^1, z^2, \dots, z^r) \in X^r$  such that

$F((z^1, z^2, \dots, z^r), F(z^2, z^3, \dots, z^r, z^1), \dots, F(z^r, z^1, \dots, z^{r-1}))$  is comparable to

$F((x^1, x^2, \dots, x^r), F(x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r, x^1), \dots, F(x^r, x^1, \dots, x^{r-1}))$  and

$F((y^1, y^2, \dots, y^r), F(y^2, y^3, \dots, y^r, y^1), \dots, F(y^r, y^1, \dots, y^{r-1}))$ .

Let  $z_0^i = z^i$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ . Since  $F(X^r) \subseteq g(X)$ , we can choose  $z_1^i \in X$  such that

$g(z_1^i) = F(z_0^i, z_0^1, \dots, z_0^{i-1})$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ . By a similar reason, we can inductively define sequences  $\{g(z_n^i)\}, n \in N$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$  such that

$$g(z_{n+1}^i) = F(z_n^i, z_n^1, \dots, z_n^{i-1}) \text{ for all } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}.$$

In addition, let  $x_0^i = x^i$  and  $y_0^i = y^i$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$  and in the same way, define the sequences  $\{g(x_n^i)\}$  and  $\{g(y_n^i)\}, n \in N$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ . Since

$$F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^r), F(x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r, x^1), \dots, F(x^r, x^1, \dots, x^{r-1}) = (gx_1^1, gx_1^2, \dots, gx_1^r) \text{ and}$$

$$F(z^1, z^2, \dots, z^r), F(z^2, z^3, \dots, z^r, z^1), \dots, F(z^r, z^1, \dots, z^{r-1}) = (gz_1^1, gz_1^2, \dots, gz_1^r)$$

are comparable, then

$$g(x_1^i) \leq g(z_1^i) \text{ for all } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\} \text{ if } i \text{ is odd,}$$

$$g(x_1^i) \geq g(z_1^i) \text{ for all } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\} \text{ if } i \text{ is even.}$$

We have



$$g(x^{2i-1}) = g(x_1^{2i-1}) \leq g(z_2^{2i-1}) \leq \dots \leq g(z_r^{2i-1}),$$

$$g(x^{2i}) = g(x_1^{2i}) \geq g(z_2^{2i}) \geq \dots \geq g(z_r^{2i}).$$

Then  $(g(x^1), g(x^2), \dots, g(x^r))$  and  $(g(z_n^1), g(z_n^2), \dots, g(z_n^r))$  are comparable for all  $n \in N$ . It follows from condition (3.4) of theorem 3.2

$$\begin{aligned} d(g(x^i), g(z_{n+1}^i)) &= d(F(x^i, x^1, \dots, x^{i-1}), F(z_n^i, z_n^1, \dots, z_n^{i-1})) \\ &\leq \varphi(\{\max d(gx^i, gz_n^i)\}), \text{ for all } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.33) \quad &[\max d(g(x^1), g(z_n^1)), d(g(x^2), g(z_n^2)), \dots, d(g(x^r), g(z_n^r))] \\ &\leq \varphi(\{\max d(g(x^1), g(z_n^1)), d(g(x^2), g(z_n^2)), \dots, d(g(x^r), g(z_n^r))\}) \\ &\dots \\ &\leq \varphi^n(\max\{d(g(x^1), g(z_n^1)), d(g(x^2), g(z_n^2)), \dots, d(g(x^r), g(z_n^r))\}) \end{aligned}$$

For all  $n \geq 1$ . Note that  $\varphi(0) = 0, \varphi(t) < t, \lim_{r \rightarrow t^+} \varphi(r) < t$  for  $t > 0$  imply that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^n(t) = 0$  for all  $t > 0$ . Hence from (3.33) we have

$$(3.34) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(g(x^i), g(z_{n+1}^i)) = 0 \text{ for all } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}.$$

Similarly, one can prove that

$$(3.35) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(g(y^i), g(z_{n+1}^i)) = 0 \text{ for all } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}.$$

Using (3.34), (3.35) and triangle inequality we get

$$d(g(x^i), g(y^i)) \leq d(g(x^i), g(z_{n+1}^i)) + d(g(z_{n+1}^i), g(y^i)) \rightarrow 0,$$

As  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ . Hence,  $g(x^i) = g(y^i)$ .

Since  $g(x^i) = F(x^i, x^1, \dots, x^{i-1})$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ , hence, we have

$$(3.36) \quad g(g(x^i)) = g(F(x^i, x^1, \dots, x^{i-1})) = F(gx^i, gx^1, \dots, gx^{i-1})$$

Denote  $gx^i = u^i$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ . From (3.36), we have

$$(3.37) \quad g(u^i) = g(gx^i) = F(u^i, u^1, \dots, u^{i-1}) \text{ for all } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}.$$

Hence  $(u^i, u^1, \dots, u^{i-1})$  is a  $r$ -coincidence point of  $F$  and  $g$ .

It follows  $y^i = u^i$  and so

$$g(y^i) = g(u^i) \text{ for all } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}.$$

This means that

$$g(u^i) = u^i \text{ for all } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}.$$

Now, from (3.37), we have

$$u^i = g(u^i) = F(u^i, u^1, \dots, u^{i-1}) \text{ for all } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}.$$

Hence,  $(u^1, u^2, \dots, u^r)$  is a  $r$ -fixed point of  $F$  and a fixed point of  $g$ .

To prove the uniqueness of the fixed point, assume that  $(v^1, v^2, \dots, v^r)$  is another  $r$ -fixed point. Then, we have

$$u^i = g(u^i) = v^i = g(v^i) \text{ for all } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$$

Thus,  $(u^1, u^2, \dots, u^r) = (v^1, v^2, \dots, v^r)$ . This completes the proof.

In the following theorem, we replace the continuity of  $g$ , the compatibility of  $F$  and  $g$  and the completeness of  $X$  by assuming that  $g(X)$  is a complete subspace of  $X$ .

**Theorem 3.4** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set equipped with a metric  $d$  such that  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space.

Assume that there is a function  $\varphi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $\varphi(t) < t$  and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow t^+} \varphi(r) < t$  for each  $t > 0$ . Further let

$F: \prod_{i=1}^r X^i \rightarrow X$  and  $g: X \rightarrow X$  be two maps such that  $F$  has the mixed  $g$ -monotone property and satisfying (3.1), (3.4) and the following conditions:

$$(3.38) \quad g(X) \text{ is a complete subspace of } X,$$



Also, suppose that either  $X$  has the following properties:

- (k) If a non-decreasing sequence  $\{x_n\} \rightarrow x$  then  $x_n \leq x$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .  
 (iv) If a non-increasing sequence  $\{y_n\} \rightarrow y$  then  $y \leq y_n$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .

If there exist  $x_0^1, x_0^2, x_0^3, \dots, x_0^r \in X$  such that (3.5) holds. Then  $F$  and  $g$  have a  $r$ -tupled coincidence point.

**Proof:** We construct the sequences  $\{x_n^1\}, \{x_n^2\}, \{x_n^3\}, \dots, \{x_n^r\}$  as in theorem 3.2. As in the proof of theorem 3.2, the sequences  $\{g(x_m^1)\}, \{g(x_m^2)\}, \{g(x_m^3)\}, \dots, \text{and } \{g(x_m^r)\}$  are Cauchy sequences. Since  $g(X)$  is complete, there exist  $x^1, x^2, \dots, x^r \in X$  such that

$$(3.39) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} g(x_m^1) = gx^1, \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} g(x_m^2) = gx^2, \dots, \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} g(x_m^r) = gx^r.$$

Since  $g(x_m^i)$  is non-decreasing or non-increasing as  $i$  is odd or even and  $g(x_m^i) \rightarrow x^i$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $g(x_m^i) \leq x^i$ , when  $i$  is odd while  $g(x_m^i) \geq x^i$ , when  $i$  is even. Since  $g$  is monotonically increasing, therefore

$$(3.40) \quad g(g(x_m^i)) \leq g(x^i) \text{ when } i \text{ is odd,}$$

$$g(g(x_m^i)) \geq g(x^i) \text{ when } i \text{ is even.}$$

$$(3.41) \quad d(g(x^1), F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^r)) \\ \leq d(g(x^1), g(x_{m+1}^1)) + d(g(x_{m+1}^1), F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^r)) \\ \leq d(g(x^1), g(x_{m+1}^1)) + d((F(x_m^1, x_m^2, \dots, x_m^r)), F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^r)) \\ d(g(x^1), g(x_{m+1}^1)) + \varphi(\max\{d(g(x_m^n), g(x^n))\}) \\ \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore,  $g(x^1) = F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^r)$ . Similarly we can prove  $g(x^2) = F(x^2, \dots, x^r, x^1), \dots,$   
 $g(x^r) = F(x^r, x^1, \dots, x^{r-1})$ . Thus the theorem follows.

#### 4. CONCLUSION:

Our work sets analogues, unifies, generalizes, extends and improves several well known results existing in literature, in particular the recent results of [1-4,7-10,12,13,15,19,21,25,26] etc. in the frame work of ordered metric spaces as the notion of compatible maps is more general than commuting and weakly commuting maps. Our theorems 3.2 and 3.3 have been proved by assuming much weaker condition than in analogous results and our corollary 3.1 is a generalization of corollary 1[10] and theorem 2.1 [9]. Also, our theorem 3.4 does not need completeness of space and continuity of maps involved therein. The results concerning commuting and weakly commuting maps being extendable in the spirit of our theorems, can be extended verbatim by simply using wider class of compatibly in place of commuting and weakly commuting maps.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:

The author wishes to acknowledge with thanks the Deanship of Scientific Research, Jazan University, Jazan, Saudi Arabia, for their technical and financial support in this research.

#### REFERENCES:

- [1] A. Rold'an, J. Mart'inez-Moreno, and C. Rold'an, "Multidimensional fixed point theorems in partially ordered complete metric spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 396, no. 2, pp. 536–545, 2012.
- [2] Berinde, V. and Borcut, M., Tripled fixed point theorems for contractive type mappings in Partially ordered metric spaces, *Nonlinear Analysis*, Volume 74, Issue 15, October 2011, Pages 4889-4897.
- [3] A. C. M. Ran and M. C. B. Reuring, A fixed point theorem in partially metric spaces and some applications in partially ordered metric spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 132(2004), pp. 1435-1443.
- [4] B. S. Choudhary, and A. Kundu, Coupled coincidence point results in ordered metric spaces for compatible mappings, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Method and Applications A*, vol. 73, no. 8, 2010, pp. 2524-2531.
- [5] E. Karapinar and V. Berinde, "Quadruple fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces," *Banach Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 74–89, 2012.
- [6] E. Karapinar, N. V. Luong, N. X. Thuan, Coupled coincidence points for mixed monotone operators in partially ordered metric spaces, *Arab J. Math*(2012)1, pp. 329-339.
- [7] G. Jungck, Commuting mappings and fixed point, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 83 (1976), pp. 261-263.
- [8] G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 9(1986), pp. 771-779.





- [9] H.S.Ding and S. Radenovic, Coupled coincidence point theorems for generalized nonlinear contraction in partially ordered metric spaces, *Fixed point theory and applications* 2012, 2012:96, pp. 1-10.
- [10] J. Harjani, B. Lopez and K. Sadarangani, Fixed point theorems for mixed monotone operators and applications to integral equations, *Nonlinear Analysis* 74(2011), 1749-1760.
- [11] J. J. Nieto, R. Rodriguez-Lopez, Contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equation, *Order* 22(2005), pp. 223-239.
- [12] M. Paknazar, M. E. Gordji, M. D. L. Sen and S. M. Vaezpour,  $N$ -fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, Paknazar et al. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2013, **2013**:11
- [13] M. Imdad, A. H. Soliman, B. S. Choudhary and P. Das, On  $n$ -tupled Coincidence Point Results in Metric Spaces, *Journal of Operators*, Volume 2013, Article ID 532867, pp. 1-8.
- [14] M. Gugani, M. Agarwal and R. Chugh, Common Fixed Point Results in  $G$ -Metric Spaces and Applications, *International Journal of Computer Applications* (0975 – 8887) Volume 43– No.11, April 2012, pp. 38-42.
- [15] Muzeyyen Erturk and Vatan Karakaya,  $n$ -tuple fixed point theorems for contractive type mappings in partially ordered metric spaces, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2013, 2013:196, pp. 1-19.
- [16] M. Abbas, B. Ali, W. Sintunavarat and P. Kumam, Tripled fixed point and tripled coincidence point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy normed spaces, Abbas et al. *Fixed Point Theory and Application*, 2012, 2012:87.
- [17] M. Abbas, B. Ali, W. Sintunavarat and P. Kumam, Tripled fixed point and tripled coincidence point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy normed spaces, Abbas et al. *Fixed Point Theory and Application* 2012, 2012:87
- [18] M. Berzig and B. Samet, "An extension of coupled fixed point's concept in higher dimension and applications," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 63, no. 8, pp. 1319–1334, 2012
- [19] M. Borcut, Tripled coincidence theorems for contractive type mappings in partially ordered metric spaces, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 218(2012), no.14, 7339-734.
- [20] Sumitra, Sunny Chaun, B.D Pant, Coincidence and common fixed point theorems in intuitionistic Fuzzy –metric Spaces, *Far East Journal of Mathematical Science*, Volume 79, Number 1, 2013, pp. 25-48.
- [21] Dalal, S., Chauhan, S. and Kadelburg, Z. 2013 A common fixed point theorem in metric space under general contractive condition, *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, Volume 2013 (2013), Article ID 510691, 7 pages <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/510691>
- [22] Dalal, S. and Manro, S. 2013 Coincidence and common fixed point of weakly compatible maps in fuzzy metric spaces, *Applied Mathematics*. In press
- [23] Dalal, S., Imdad, M. and Chauhan, S. 2013 Unified fixed point theorems via common limit range property in modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, Hindawi Publishing Corporation, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, Volume 2013, Article ID 413473, 11 pages
- [24] Dalal, S., Manro, S., Bhatia, S. S, Kumar, S. and Kumam, P. 2013 Weakly compatible mapping with CLR $S$  Mapping in Fuzzy-Metric Spaces, *Journal Nonlinear Analysis and Applications*, 2013(2013).1-12.
- [25] Bhaskar, T. G. and Lakshmikantham, V. 2006 Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications*, vol.65, no.7, 2006, pp. 1379-1393.
- [26] Lakshmikantham, V. and Ćirić, L. 2009 Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, *Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Method and Applications*, vol. 70, no12, 2009, pp. 4341-4349
- [27] Nguyen, V., Nguyen, X. 2010 Coupled fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces, *Bull. Math. Anal. Appl.* 2(2010), pp. 16-24.
- [28] Shatanawi, W. 2011 Coupled Fixed Point Theorems in Generalized Metric Spaces, Hacettepe, *Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, Volume 40(3)(2011), pp. 441-447.