

Nevanlinna Theory for the Uniqueness of Difference Polynomials and Meromorphic Functions by sharing one Small Function

Raj Shree Dhar
J and K Institute of Mathematical Sciences
Higher Education Department, J and K Government
J and K, INDIA

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this paper is to extend the usual Nevanlinna theory to the periodic functions, difference operators and difference polynomials of meromorphic functions concerning their uniqueness after sharing one small function and satisfying certain conditions on the number of zeros and poles of the functions.

Indexing terms/Keywords:

Shared value; Uniqueness; Shift, Difference operator; Difference polynomials.

Academic Discipline And Sub-Disciplines

Mathematics; Complex Analysis.

SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION (2010):

P rimary30D35, Secondary30D30, 39A05.



Council for Innovative Research

Peer Review Research Publishing System

Journal: Journal of Advances in Mathematics

Vol 9, No 1

editor@cirjam.org

www.ciriam.com. www.cirworld.com

1806 | Page June 16, 2014



INTRODUCTION

A function f(z) is called meromorphic if it is analytic in the complex plane except at poles. Throughout this paper, we assume the reader is familiar with the standard notations and fundamental results of Nevanlinna theory of Meromorphic functions such as the Characteristic function T(r, f), proximity function m(r, f), counting function m(r, f) and so on. (see e.g.[2], [4]). In addition, m(r, f) denotes any quantity that satisfies the condition that m(r, f) = m(r, f) as m(r, f) = m(r, f) as m(r, f) = m(r, f). Such function m(r, f) = m(r, f) outside a possible exceptional set of finite logarithmic measure. For a meromorphic function m(r, f) = m(r, f) to denote the family of all meromorphic functions m(r, f) = m(r, f). Such functions are called **small functions** with respect to m(r, f) = m(r, f) we define its shift by m(r, f) = m(r, f) and its difference operator by

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{C}} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{c}) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{z})$$

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{C}} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{z}) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{c}),$$

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{m}} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{mc}) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{z})$$

where m is a positive integer,

$$\Delta_c^n f(z) = \Delta_c^{n-1}(\Delta_c f(z))$$

 $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \ge 2$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{n!}{k! \cdot (n-k)!} f(z + \overline{n-k} \cdot c) .$$

In particular,

$$\Delta_c^n f(z) = \Delta^n f(z)$$

for c=1.

We define Shift Monomial as

$$M[f] = \prod_{i=1}^{k} f^{n_i}(z+c_i),$$

where $c_i \in \mathbb{C}, n_i \in \mathbb{N}, i = 1, 2, ..., k$.

Then $d_M = n_1 + n_2 + ... + n_k$,

Is the degree of the Shift Monomial M[f].

Definition 1

Let $M_1[f]$, $M_2[f]$, ..., $M_n[f]$ denote the distinct monomials in f, and a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n be small meromorphic functions including complex numbers then

$$D[f] = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} M_{j}[f] \qquad ... (1)$$

will be called a Difference Polynomial in f of degree

$$d = \mathop{Max}_{j=1}^{n} d_{M_{j}}.$$

Linear Difference Polynomial is defined as the Difference Polynomial of degree one i.e.

$$L[z, f] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i f(z + c_i).$$

A difference operator is a special case of Linear Differential Polynomial in f in which sum of the co- efficients is zero.

For a given non- constant meromorphic function f(z), we recall the definition of the order of f(z) as



$$\rho[f] = \overline{\lim}_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log r}.$$

Let f(z) and g(z) be two meromorphic functions and let a(z) be a small function with respect to f(z) and g(z). We say that f(z) and g(z) share a(z) IM, provided that f(z) - a(z) and g(z) - a(z) have the same zeros (ignoring multiplicities), and we say that f(z) and g(z) share a(z) CM, provided that f(z) - a(z) and g(z) - a(z) have the same zeros (counting multiplicities).

Uniqueness Theory of Meromorphic functions is an important part of Nevanlinna Theory. Recently, number of papers have focused on the Nevanlinna Theory with respect to difference operators see e.g. [1 - 3], [5 - 10]. Then many authors started to investigate the uniqueness of meromorphic functions sharing values with their shifts or difference operators.

The following results concerning the uniqueness of difference operators have already been proved:

Theorem A [6]:

Let f(z) be a non- constant entire function of finite order. If f(z) and f(z+c) share two finite values CM, then

Δ

$$f(z) \equiv f(z+c)$$
.

Theorem B [1]:

Let f(z) be a non- constant entire function of finite order and let a(z) (\neq 0) ϵ S (f) be a periodic entire function with period c . If three functions f(z), $\Delta_c f(z)$, $\Delta_c^2 f(z)$ share a(z) CM, then $\Delta_c^2 f(z) = \Delta_c f(z)$.

Theorem C [3]:

Let f(z) be a non- constant transcendental meromorphic function of finite order, such that N(r,f) + N(r,0,f) = S(r,f), and let $a(z) \neq 0, \infty \in S(f)$. For m a positive integer and c a non- zero complex constant, if f(z) and $f(z+mc) - f(z) = \Delta_{mc} f$ share a(z) CM then $\Delta_{mc} f = f$.

Theorem D [3]:

Let f(z) be a non- constant meromorphic function of finite order, such that N(r,f) + N(r, 0, f) = S(r, f), and let $a(z) \neq 0$, ∞) $\in S(f)$. For m a positive integer and c a non- zero complex constant, if f(z) and

$$\sum_{k=1}^n a_k \Delta_c^k f(z) \quad \text{share a(z) then} \quad \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \Delta_c^k f(z) = f(z) \, .$$

Theorem E [8]:

Let f(z) be a meromorphic function of hyper order less than one. Let L[z, f] be a linear differential polynomial in f and let a, b be two distinct small meromorphic functions. Let f(z) and L[z, f] share a, b, ∞ CM and one of the following cases hold:

i).
$$L[z, a] - a = L[z, b] - b \equiv 0$$

ii). L[z, a]
$$-a \equiv 0$$
 or L[z, b] $-b \equiv 0$ and N(r, f) $< \lambda$ T(r, f), $\lambda \in (0, 1)$

iii). ρ [f] $\notin \mathbb{N} \cup \infty$

then f(z) = L[z, f].

Theorem F [10]:

Let f(z) be a non- constant meromorphic function of finite order. Let c be a complex constant and a be a non- zero small periodic function with period c. If $f(z)^n$, $f(z+c)^n$ share a and ∞ CM, $n \ge 4$, then f(z) = w f(z+c)

for a constant w and integer n such that $w^n = 1$.

QUESTION

It is natural to ask about the pattern in uniqueness of a non- constant meromorphic function with a general difference polynomial (not a small meromorphic function) in f as defined in (1), when they share small meromorphic function IM? It is pertinent to mention that General Difference polynomial includes linear difference polynomials as well as difference operators and shifts. In this connection, we have the following results:



MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 1:

Let f(z) be a non- constant meromorphic function of finite order such that

$$\overline{N}(r,f)+N(r,0,f)=\lambda T(r,f), \lambda \in (0,\frac{1}{2}).$$

Let D[f] be a non- constant General Difference Polynomial of degree d, defined as in definition 1 such that

 $T(r, D) \neq S(r, f)$. If D[f] and f^d share a non-zero small function a(z) ($\neq \infty$) IM then D[f] = f^d .

Remark 1:

If d = 1, then D[f] becomes linear difference polynomial and difference operators and shifts are particular cases of linear difference polynomials.

If the condition on N(r, f) is dropped, then we have the following results:

Theorem 2:

Let f(z) be a non- constant meromorphic function of finite order. Let D[f] be a non- constant General Difference Polynomial of degree d such that $T(r, D) \neq S(r, f)$. If D[f] and f^d share two distinct non- zero small functions a(z), b(z) ($\neq \infty$) IM and

$$N(r,0,f) = \lambda T(r,f), \lambda \in (0,\frac{1}{2}),$$

then

$$D[f] = f^d$$

Theorem 3:

Let f(z) be a non- constant entire function of finite order. Let D[f] be a non- constant General Difference Polynomial of degree d such that $T(r, D) \neq S(r, f)$. If D[f] and f^d share one non- zero small functions a(z) ($\neq \infty$) IM and

$$N(r,0,f) = \lambda T(r,f), \lambda \in (0,\frac{1}{2}),$$

then

$$D[f] = f^d$$

EXAMPLES

Ex. 1:

Let

$$f(z) = 1 + \tan^2(z) = \sec^2 z$$
,

then we take

$$D[f] = f(z \pm \frac{\pi}{2}) = 1 + \cot^2 z = \cos ec^2 z$$
.

Here f(z) is non- constant meromorphic function of finite order and D[f] is of degree one. Also we observe that N(r, 0, f) = S(r, f). It can be easily seen that D[f], f^d share 2 (one finite non- zero value IM) and

$$D[f] \neq f^d$$

Thus in Theorem 2, the number of shared values can not be further reduced which implies that two is best possible.

Ex. 2:

Let

$$f(z) = \sin^2 z,$$



then

$$D[f] = f(z \pm \frac{\pi}{2}) = \cos^2 z$$
.

Here f(z) is a non- constant entire function of finite order and D[f] is of degree one. It can be seen that D[f], f^d share 1/2 (one finite non- zero value IM) and

 $D[f] \neq f^d$.

The reason being that N(r, 0, f) $\neq \lambda$ T(r, f), $\lambda \in (0, 1/2)$.

Hence the condition that $N(r, 0, f) = \lambda T(r, f)$, $\lambda \in (0, 1/2)$ is essential.

Ex. 3:

Let

$$f(z) = e^{\sin z}.$$

Then

$$D[f] = f(z \pm \pi) = e^{-\sin z}.$$

Here f(z) is a non- constant entire function of infinite order and D[f] is of degree one. The condition that

 $N(r, 0, f) = \lambda T(r, f), \lambda \in (0, 1/2)$ is satisfied. It can be seen that $D[f], f^d$ share 1, -1 and

 $D[f] \neq f^d$.

The reason being that the condition for f to be of finite order is essential.

Ex. 4:

Let

$$f(z) = e^{z \log 2},$$

and

$$D[f] = f(z+1) - f(z).$$

Here f(z) is a non- constant entire function of finite order and D[f] is of degree one. Also N(r, 0, f) = S(r, f) and f(z) and D[f] share a IM and we have $D[f] = f^d$.

For the proofs of the results we need the following lemma:

LEMMA[12]:

Let f(z) be a non- constant meromorphic function of finite order such that

$$f^n P[z, f] = Q[z, f],$$

where are difference polynomial P[z, f], Q[z, f] are difference polynomials in f. If the degree of Q[z, f] as a polynomial in f and its shifts is at most n, then

$$m(r, P[z, f]) = S(r, f)$$

Where the exceptional set associated with S(r, f) is of at most finite logarithimic measure.

PROOFS OF THE MAIN RESULTS

Proof of Theorem 1:

Suppose on the contrary, then

 $D[f] \neq f^d$.

Using Nevanlinna's Second Fundamental Theorem, Lemma1 and the given condition, we get



$$dT(r, f) = T(r, f^{d}) + O(1)$$

$$\leq \overline{N}(r, f^{d}) + \overline{N}(r, 0, f^{d}) + \overline{N}(r, a(z), f^{d}) + S(r, f)$$

$$\leq \overline{N}(r, \frac{D[f]}{f^{d}}, 1) + \lambda dT(r, f) + S(r, f), \lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$$

$$\leq T(r, \frac{D[f]}{f^{d}}) + \lambda dT(r, f) + S(r, f), \lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$$

$$= N(r, \frac{D[f]}{f^{d}}) + \lambda dT(r, f) + S(r, f), \lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$$

$$\leq N(r, \frac{1}{f^{d}}) + \lambda dT(r, f) + S(r, f), \lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$$

$$= 2\lambda dT(r, f) + S(r, f), \lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$$

$$\leq dT(r, f) + S(r, f),$$

which is a contradiction, therefore

$$D[f] \equiv f^d$$
.

Proof of Theorem 2:

Suppose on the contrary, then

$$D[f] \neq f^d$$
.

Using Nevanlinna's Second Fundamental Theorem, Lemma1 and the given condition, we get

$$dT(r,f) = T(r,f^{d}) + O(1)$$

$$\leq \overline{N}(r,a(z),f^{d}) + \overline{N}(r,0,f^{d}) + \overline{N}(r,b(z),f^{d}) + S(r,f)$$

$$\leq \overline{N}(r,\frac{D[f]}{f^{d}},1) + \lambda dT(r,f) + S(r,f), \lambda \in (0,\frac{1}{2})$$

$$\leq T(r,\frac{D[f]}{f^{d}}) + \lambda dT(r,f) + S(r,f), \lambda \in (0,\frac{1}{2})$$

$$= N(r,\frac{D[f]}{f^{d}}) + \lambda dT(r,f) + S(r,f), \lambda \in (0,\frac{1}{2})$$

$$\leq N(r,\frac{1}{f^{d}}) + \lambda dT(r,f) + S(r,f), \lambda \in (0,\frac{1}{2})$$

$$= 2\lambda dT(r,f) + S(r,f), \lambda \in (0,\frac{1}{2})$$

$$\leq dT(r,f) + S(r,f), \lambda \in (0,\frac{1}{2})$$

$$\leq dT(r,f) + S(r,f), \lambda \in (0,\frac{1}{2})$$

which is a contradiction, therefore

$$D[f] \equiv f^d$$
.

Proof of Theorem 3:

The proof is on the same lines as in Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.



REFERENCES

- [1] Chen, B., Chen, Z., Li, S. 2012 Uniqueness Theorems on Entire functionas and their difference operators or Shifts, Hindawi Publishing Corporation, Abstract and Applied Analysis.
- [2] Chen, Z. X. Value Distribution of products of Meromorphic functions and their differences.
- [3] Dhar, R. S. 2013 Uniqueness Theorems on Meromorphic Functions and their difference operators, Int. J. of Math. Anal. vol. 7, no. 30, 1489- 1495.
- [4] Hayman, W. K. 1964 Meromorphic Functions, Clarenden Press, Oxford.
- [5] Halburdand, R. G., Korhonen, R. 2006 Nevanlinna theory for the difference op- erator, Ann. Acad.Sci. Fenn. 31(2), 463-478.
- [6] [6] Heittokangas, J. Et. Al., 2009 Value sharing results for shifts of meromor-phic functions, and sufficient conditions for periodicity, J.Math. Anal. Aand Appl.355, 352-363.
- [7] Li, S., Gao, Z. S. 2011 Entire functions sharing one or two finite values CM with their shifts or difference operators, Arch Math. 97, 475-483.
- [8] Li, S., Chen, B. 2013 Meromorphic functions sharing small func- tions with their linear difference polynomials, Advances in Difference Equations..
- [9] Rubel, L. A., Yang, C. C., 1977 Values shared by an entire function and its derivatives, Lecture Notes in Math. 599, Berlin, Springer Verlag, 101-103.
- [10] Qi, X., Dou, J., Yang, L. 2012 Uniqueness and value distribution for difference operators of meromorphic function, Advances in difference equations.
- [11] Yang, C. C., Yi, H.X. 2003 Uniqueness Theory of Meromorphic functions, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.
- [12] Yang, C. C., I. Laine, 2010 On analogies between non-linear difference and differential equations, Proc. Jpn. Acad. Sec. A, Math. Sci. 86, 10-14.

Author' biography



Dr. Raj Shree Dhar, is Inch. Principal, Higher Education Department, J & K Government, Jammu & Kashmir, India. At Present, she is posted as Nodal Officer, J & K Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Sub-Office Jammu, J & K, India. She did M.Sc. in Mathematics from Kashmir University at the age of nineteen. She has done M. Phil. in Mathematics on the topic "Location of the zeros of the polynomials in complex variables" and Ph. D. in Mathematics on the topic "Shared values and Differential Polynomials of the Entire and Meromorphic functions" from Jammu University. She is second female in the State who did Ph. D. from J & K Universities in 1995. She has organized various workshops, conferences, activities in the college and acted as convener/ member in different committees for which she received Best Teacher Award in 2008 and Certificate of Efficiency in 2004. She has acted as resource person in Institutions and T.V. Channel for disseminating the knowledge about Career in

Mathematics. She has eight research papers published in journals of National and International Repute. She has guided 7 M.Phil. Students. She has 26 years of teaching experience and taught Under Graduate and Post Graduate students of Mathematics. She has participated in 38 Conferences/ workshops. Her published books include AN INQUIRY INTO EVOLUTION OF MATHEMATICS , GATEWAY TO COMPLEX ANALYSIS available at www.flipcart.com

1812 | Page June 16, 2014