



## Skew Injective Modules Relative to Torsion Theories

Mehdi Sadik Abbas, MohanadFarhan Hamid

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Mustansiriya, Baghdad, Iraq  
amsaj59@yahoo.com

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Mustansiriya, Baghdad, Iraq  
mohanadfhamid@yahoo.com

### ABSTRACT

The purpose of this paper is to extend results about skew injective modules to a torsion theoretic setting. Given a hereditary torsion theory  $\tau$ , a module  $M$  is called  $\tau$ -skew injective if all endomorphisms of  $\tau$ -dense submodules of  $M$  can be extended to endomorphisms of  $M$ . A characterization of  $\tau$ -skew injectivity using split short exact sequences is given.

### Indexing terms/Keywords

Torsion theory;  $\tau$ -torsion module;  $\tau$ -dense submodule; skew injective module.

### Academic Discipline And Sub-Disciplines

Mathematics; Algebra.

### Mathematics Subject Classification

16D50.



## Council for Innovative Research

Peer Review Research Publishing System

**Journal:** Journal of Advances in Mathematics

Vol 9, No 2

[editor@cirjam.org](mailto:editor@cirjam.org)

[www.cirjam.com](http://www.cirjam.com), [www.cirworld.com](http://www.cirworld.com)



## 1 Preliminaries

All modules considered will be right unital  $R$ -modules, where  $R$  is some associative ring with a nonzero identity. By  $\tau = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$  we denote a hereditary torsion theory on the category  $\text{mod-}R$  of  $R$ -modules, where  $\mathcal{T}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{F}$ ) denotes the class of all  $\tau$ -torsion (resp.  $\tau$ -torsion free)  $R$ -modules.

A submodule  $N$  of a module  $M$  is said to be  $\tau$ -dense in  $M$  (denoted  $N \leq^{\tau d} M$ ) if  $M/N$  is  $\tau$ -torsion, and  $M$  is  $\tau$ -torsion if and only if all its elements are annihilated by  $\tau$ -dense right ideals of  $R$ . A submodule  $N$  of  $M$  is called  $\tau$ -essential in  $M$  (denoted  $N \leq^{\tau e} M$ ) if  $N$  is both  $\tau$ -dense and essential in  $M$ . In this case,  $M$  is called a  $\tau$ -essential extension of  $N$ . The intersection of any finite number of  $\tau$ -dense (resp.  $\tau$ -essential) submodules is again  $\tau$ -dense (resp.  $\tau$ -essential). If  $N$  and  $K$  are submodules of a module  $M$  such that  $N \leq^{\tau e} M$  then  $N \cap K \leq^{\tau e} K$ . Any submodule that contains a  $\tau$ -dense (resp.  $\tau$ -essential) submodule is itself  $\tau$ -dense (resp.  $\tau$ -essential). An  $R$ -module is called  $\tau$ -injective if it is injective with respect to every short exact sequence having a  $\tau$ -torsion cokernel. Every  $R$ -module  $M$  admits a  $\tau$ -injective envelope  $E = E_{\tau}(M)$ , i.e. a  $\tau$ -injective  $R$ -module  $E$  containing  $M$  as a  $\tau$ -essential submodule. A module  $M$  is called  $\tau$ -quasi injective if homomorphisms from  $\tau$ -dense submodules of  $M$  into  $M$  are extendable to endomorphisms of  $M$ . For preliminaries about torsion theories, we refer to [2].

Charalambides [3] introduced the concept of  $\tau$ -essentially closed submodules. A submodule  $N$  of a module  $M$  is called  $\tau$ -essentially closed in  $M$  (denoted  $N \leq^{\tau c} M$ ) if  $N$  has no proper  $\tau$ -essential extensions in  $M$ .

A module  $M$  is called skew injective [4] if whenever  $N$  is a submodule of  $M$ , any  $f$  in  $\text{End}(N)$  can be extended to  $g \in \text{End}(M)$ . Note that in [5] skew injective modules are called semiinjective. In this paper, we generalize this concept to torsion theoretic setting.

## 2 $\tau$ -Skew Injective Modules

**Definition.** A module  $M$  is called  $\tau$ -skew injective if whenever  $N$  is a  $\tau$ -dense submodule of  $M$ , any  $f$  in  $\text{End}(N)$  can be extended to  $g \in \text{End}(M)$ .

### Remarks.

- Every skew injective module is  $\tau$ -skew injective.
- Every  $\tau$ -quasi injective module (and hence every  $\tau$ -injective) module is  $\tau$ -skew injective.
- If  $M$  is a  $\tau$ -torsion  $\tau$ -skew injective module, then it is skew injective.
- If  $\tau$  is the torsion theory in which every  $R$ -module is  $\tau$ -torsion, then a module is  $\tau$ -skew injective if and only if it is skew injective.

**Proposition 1:** A module  $M$  is  $\tau$ -skew injective if and only if for every  $\tau$ -essential submodule  $N$  of  $M$ , any endomorphism of  $N$  can be extended to an endomorphism of  $M$ .

*Proof.* Let  $N$  be a  $\tau$ -dense submodule of  $M$  and  $f \in \text{End}(N)$ . Let  $N'$  be a relative complement of  $N$  in  $M$ . Then  $N \oplus N'$  is a  $\tau$ -essential submodule of  $M$ . Moreover,  $f$  can be extended to an  $R$ -endomorphism  $g$  of  $N \oplus N'$  by putting  $g(N') = 0$ . By the given condition, there is an  $R$ -homomorphism  $h$  of  $M$  which extends  $g$  hence  $f$ . The other direction is trivial.  $\square$

Given a submodule  $M$  of a module  $E$  and an endomorphism  $f$  of  $E$ , we call  $f$  an  $M$ - $\tau$ -essential endomorphism if  $f(N) \subseteq N$  for some  $\tau$ -essential submodule  $N$  of  $M$ .

**Theorem 2:** If  $E$  is the  $\tau$ -injective envelope of a module  $M$ , then the following statements are equivalent:

- $M$  is  $\tau$ -skew injective and  $f(M) \subseteq M$  for any endomorphism  $f$  of  $E$  having a  $\tau$ -essential kernel.
- $g(M) \subseteq M$  for any  $M$ - $\tau$ -essential endomorphism  $g$  of  $E$ .

*Proof.* (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) Let  $g$  be an  $M$ - $\tau$ -essential endomorphism of  $E$ . Then there is a  $\tau$ -essential submodule  $N$  of  $M$  such that  $g(N) \subseteq N$ . By  $\tau$ -skew injectivity of  $M$ , there exists  $h \in \text{End}(M)$  that extends  $g$ . Again  $\tau$ -injectivity of  $E$  gives existence of a  $k$  in  $\text{End}(E)$  such that  $k|_M = h$ . So  $(g - k)(N) = 0$ . Hence  $N \subseteq \ker(g - k)$ . So  $\ker(g - k) \leq^{\tau e} E$ . Then by hypothesis  $(g - k)(M) \subseteq M$ . Therefore, for any  $x$  in  $M$  we have  $(g - k)(x) = m \in M$ , hence  $g(x) = m + k(x) \in M$ , i.e.  $g(M) \subseteq M$ .

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) Since any endomorphism of  $E$  having a  $\tau$ -essential kernel is necessarily an  $M$ - $\tau$ -essential homomorphism, we need only prove that  $M$  is  $\tau$ -skew injective. By Proposition 1, let  $N$  be a  $\tau$ -essential submodule of  $M$  and  $f \in \text{End}(N)$ . By  $\tau$ -injectivity of  $E$  we have a  $g \in \text{End}(E)$  such that  $g(N) = f(N) \subseteq N$ . Hence,  $g$  is an  $M$ - $\tau$ -essential homomorphism, so  $g(M) \subseteq M$ . Then,  $g|_M \in \text{End}(M)$  is an extension of  $f$ .  $\square$

The following Theorem generalizes Lemma 6 of [5].

**Theorem 3:** Let  $M$  be a  $\tau$ -skew injective module,  $E$  a  $\tau$ -essential extension of  $M$  and  $f$  an  $M$ - $\tau$ -essential endomorphism of  $E$ . If for each  $x \in M$  there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $f^{n+1}(x) = f^n(x)$ , then  $f(M) \subseteq M$ .

*Proof.* Let  $N$  be the sum of all  $\tau$ -dense submodules  $N'$  of  $M$  such that  $f(N') \subseteq N'$ . Therefore  $f(N) \subseteq N$  and by hypothesis,  $N$  is a  $\tau$ -essential submodule of  $M$ . We see that  $f^n(N) \subseteq N$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , hence by  $\tau$ -skew injectivity of  $M$ , there exist endomorphisms  $g_1, g_2, \dots$  of  $M$  such that  $(f^n - g_n)(N) = 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . So we have well-defined homomorphisms  $h_n$  from  $M/N$  into  $E: h_n(m + N) = (f^n - g_n)(m)$  for all  $m \in M, n \geq 1$ . Let  $\bar{A}_n = h_n^{-1}(N \cap \text{Im } h_n)$  for all  $n$ . Hence  $\bar{A}_n$  are  $\tau$ -essential submodules of  $\bar{M} = M/N$ , for  $\bar{A}_n$  is the inverse image under the homomorphism  $h_n$  of the essential submodule  $N \cap \text{Im } h_n$  of



Im  $h_n$ , this gives essentiality of  $\bar{A}_n$  in  $\bar{M}$ . Moreover,  $\bar{M} = M/N$  is a  $\tau$ -torsion module. This means that  $\bar{A}_n$  is  $\tau$ -dense in  $\bar{M}$  for all  $n$ . If  $\bar{M} = \bar{0}$ , then  $f(M) \subseteq M$  and everything is proved. Assume  $b \in M \setminus N$  so that  $\bar{N} + \bar{b}R$  is a non-zero submodule of  $\bar{M}$  and choose a natural number  $n$  such that  $f^{n+1}(b) = f^n(b)$ . Put  $\bar{A} = \bar{A}_1 \cap \dots \cap \bar{A}_n$ , hence  $\bar{A}$  is a  $\tau$ -essential submodule of  $\bar{M}$  since  $\bar{A}$  is the intersection of a finite number of  $\tau$ -essential submodules of  $\bar{M}$ . Now  $\bar{A} \cap \bar{N} + \bar{b}R \neq 0$ . Therefore, there exists an element  $r \in R$  such that  $br \in (N + bR) \setminus N$  and  $br + N \in \bar{A}_1 \cap \dots \cap \bar{A}_n$ . It follows from the definition of the modules  $\bar{A}_i$  that  $h_i(br) \in M$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . If  $b_1 = br$ , then  $g_m(b_1) \in M$  for all  $m$ . From the definition of the homomorphisms  $h_i$  we see that  $f^i(b_1) \in M$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . But then  $f^m(b_1) \in M$  for all  $m$ , since  $f^{m+1}(b_1) = (f^{m+1}(b))r = f^m(b_1)$ . Now put  $N_1 = N + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f^i(b_1)R$ . Hence  $N_1$  is a  $\tau$ -dense submodule of  $M$  with  $f(N_1) \subseteq N_1$  and  $N_1 \not\subseteq N$ , in contradiction with the choice of the module  $N$ .  $\square$

Charalambides [3] defines a module  $M$  to be  $\tau$ -quasi continuous if it is invariant under idempotents of  $\text{End}(E_\tau(M))$ . From the above Theorem, we see that if  $f$  is an idempotent in  $\text{End}(E_\tau(M))$  and  $M$  is  $\tau$ -skew injective, then  $f^2(x) = f(x)$  for all  $x \in M$ , hence  $f(M) \subseteq M$ . So we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 1:** Every  $\tau$ -skew injective module is  $\tau$ -quasi continuous.  $\square$

In [3], a module  $M$  is defined to be  $\tau$ -CS if every ( $\tau$ -essentially) closed  $\tau$ -dense submodule of  $M$  is a direct summand. There, it is proved that  $\tau$ -quasi continuous modules are  $\tau$ -CS. Hence we have:

**Corollary 2:** Any  $\tau$ -skew injective module is  $\tau$ -CS.  $\square$

$\tau$ -skew injectivity is preserved by taking direct summands:

**Proposition 4:** A direct summand of a  $\tau$ -skew injective module is  $\tau$ -skew injective.

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be  $\tau$ -skew injective such that  $M = N \oplus N'$ . Let  $K$  be a  $\tau$ -dense submodule of  $N$ . Then  $N/K \cong (N \oplus N') / (K \oplus N')$  is  $\tau$ -torsion, which means that  $K \oplus N'$  is  $\tau$ -dense in  $M$ . Any homomorphism  $f: K \rightarrow K$  can be extended to a homomorphism  $f': K \oplus N' \rightarrow K \oplus N'$  by putting  $f'(k + n') = f(k)$  for all  $k + n' \in K \oplus N'$ . Now  $\tau$ -skew injectivity of  $M$  gives a homomorphism  $g \in \text{End}(M)$  that extends  $f'$ . Hence  $h = pgi_N$  extends  $f$ , where  $p$  is the projection map of  $M$  onto  $N$ .  $\square$

We end this section with a characterization of  $\tau$ -skew injective modules using split short exact sequences:

**Theorem 5:** For a module  $A$ , the following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $A$  is  $\tau$ -skew injective.
- (2) Any short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B$  splits whenever there exists  $\beta \in \text{Hom}(A, B)$  such that:
  - (a)  $\alpha(A) + \beta(A) = B$ ,
  - (b)  $\alpha(A) \cap \beta(A) \subseteq \alpha(\beta^{-1}(\alpha(A)))$  and
  - (c)  $\beta^{-1}(\alpha(A)) \leq^{\tau-d} A$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Let  $N = \beta^{-1}(\alpha(A))$ . By hypothesis,  $\alpha(A) \cap \beta(A) = \beta(\beta^{-1}(\alpha(A))) = \beta(N) \subseteq N$ . Hence by  $\tau$ -skew injectivity of  $A$ , the homomorphism  $\beta: N \rightarrow A$  extends to a homomorphism  $\gamma: A \rightarrow A$ . By assumption,  $B = \alpha(A) + \beta(A)$ , i.e. for each  $b \in B$  there exist  $a, a'$  in  $A$  such that  $b = \alpha(a) + \beta(a')$ . Define  $\delta: B \rightarrow A$  by  $\delta(b) = \alpha(a) + \gamma(a')$ . It is an easy matter to verify that  $\delta$  is an  $R$ -homomorphism. Moreover, for  $a \in A$ ,  $\delta(\alpha(a)) = \delta(\alpha(a) + \beta(0)) = \alpha(a) + \gamma(0) = \alpha(a)$  so that  $\alpha(A)$  is a direct summand of  $B$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let  $N$  be a  $\tau$ -dense submodule of  $A$  and  $g \in \text{End}(N)$ . Form the pushout diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N & \xrightarrow{i} & A \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta \\ 0 \rightarrow A & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & B \end{array}$$

where  $B = (A \oplus A)/W$ , with  $W = \{(n, -g(n)), n \in N\}$ . If the second row splits then we get a homomorphism  $\alpha': B \rightarrow A$  such that  $\alpha' \alpha = 1_A$ . Hence  $\alpha' \beta i$  is an extension of  $g$ . So we need to show that conditions in (2) hold. But it is easy to see that conditions (a) and (b) hold. Moreover,  $\beta^{-1}(\alpha(A)) = N$  which is  $\tau$ -dense in  $A$ . Hence the lower sequence splits by (2).  $\square$

### 3 Direct sum of $\tau$ -skew injective modules

In Theorem 2 of the last section, we proved that a module  $M$  is (a)  $\tau$ -skew injective and (b)  $f(M) \subseteq M$  for any  $f \in \text{End}(E_\tau(M))$  having a  $\tau$ -essential kernel if and only if  $g(M) \subseteq M$  for any  $M$ - $\tau$ -essential endomorphism  $g$  of  $E$ . In this section, we seek conditions on the module  $M$  and/or the ring  $R$  so that condition (b) above is already satisfied.

Let us see first what happens if we take direct sum or summands of modules satisfying condition (b):

**Proposition 6.** A module  $M$  satisfies condition (b) if and only if any direct summand of  $M$  satisfies condition (b).

*Proof.* Let  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$  so that  $E_\tau(M) = E_\tau(M_1) \oplus E_\tau(M_2)$ . Let  $f$  be an endomorphism of  $E_\tau(M_1)$  having a  $\tau$ -essential kernel. Now  $f$  can be easily extended to an endomorphism of  $E_\tau(M_1) \oplus E_\tau(M_2)$  by  $(x, y) \mapsto (f(x), 0)$  whose kernel is now equal to  $\ker f \oplus E_\tau(M_2)$  which is clearly a  $\tau$ -essential submodule of  $E_\tau(M_1) \oplus E_\tau(M_2)$ . By assumption, the image of  $M$  under this map is contained in  $M$ . So  $f(M_1) \subseteq M_1$ . Conversely, Let  $f$  be an endomorphism of  $E_\tau(M)$  having a  $\tau$ -essential kernel.



Now for all  $i$ ,  $M_i \cap \ker f$  is  $\tau$ -essential in  $M_i$ . But  $M_i \cap \ker f$  is the kernel of  $p_i \circ (f|_{M_i})$ , where  $p_i$  is the projection map of  $M$  onto  $M_i$ . Hence  $p_i \circ (f|_{M_i})(M_i) \subseteq M_i$  for all  $i$ . So  $f(M) \subseteq M$ .  $\square$

Recall that a module  $M$  is called  $\tau$ -nonsingular [1] if  $Z_\tau(M) = 0$  where  $Z_\tau(M) = \{m \in M \mid \text{ann}_R(m) \leq^{\tau e} R\}$ . The following proposition shows that if we assume  $\tau$ -nonsingularity of the module  $M$ , then we can remove condition (b) above from Theorem 2:

**Proposition 7.** Let  $M$  be a  $\tau$ -nonsingular module. Then  $M$  is  $\tau$ -skew injective if and only if  $M$  is invariant over endomorphisms  $g \in \text{End}(E_\tau(M))$  having  $M$ - $\tau$ -essential kernels.

*Proof.* We will show that the only endomorphism of  $M$  that has a  $\tau$ -essential kernel is the zero homomorphism. But this implies that  $M$  is invariant under such homomorphisms and hence by Theorem 2, the result follows. To this end, let  $f \in \text{End}(M)$  with  $\ker f \leq^{\tau e} M$ , and let  $g = 1_M - f \in \text{End}(M)$ . We will show that  $g = 1_M$  and hence  $f = 0$ . For each  $x \in M$ , there exists a non-zero element  $r \in R$  such that  $0 \neq xr \in \ker f$ , so  $f(xr) = 0$  hence  $g(x)r = g(xr) = xr - f(xr) = xr$ , then  $(g(x) - x)r = 0$  and  $\text{ann}_R(g(x) - x)$  is a non-zero ideal of  $R$ . But  $(\ker f : x) \leq^{\tau e} R$  and hence  $\text{ann}_R(g(x) - x) \leq^{\tau e} R$ , i.e.  $g(x) - x \in Z_\tau(M) = 0$  and therefore  $f(x) = 0$ .  $\square$

In the next result, if the  $\tau$ -injective envelope of  $M$  satisfies some ascending chain condition, then we can get rid of condition (b).

**Proposition 8.** Let  $M$  be a  $\tau$ -skew injective module. If  $E = E_\tau(M)$  satisfies the ascending chain condition on  $\tau$ -essential submodules, then  $f(M) \subseteq M$  for every  $M$ - $\tau$ -essential  $f \in \text{End}(E)$ .

*Proof.* Consider the ascending chain  $M \cap \ker f \subseteq M \cap \ker f^2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq M$ . It is clear that  $M \cap \ker f^k \leq^{\tau e} M$  for each  $k \geq 1$ , so by assumption there is a positive integer  $n_0$  such that  $M \cap \ker f^n = M \cap \ker f^{n+1}$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ . We claim that  $\text{Im}(f^n) \cap \ker f \cap M = 0$ . To see this, let  $x \in \text{Im}(f^n) \cap \ker f \cap M$ . So there is  $y \in E$  such that  $x = f^n y$  and  $0 = f^n x = f^n f^n y = f^{2n} y$ . Hence  $y \in \ker f^{2n} = \ker f^n$ . So  $x = f^n(y) = 0$ . But  $\ker f^n \leq^{\tau e} E$  implies that  $\text{Im} f^n = 0$ . Now for  $x \in M$ ,  $x - f(x) \in E$  and  $0 = f^n(x - f(x)) = f^n(x) - f^{n+1}(x)$  or  $f^n(x) = f^{n+1}(x)$  for  $n \geq n_0$ . So by Theorem 3, we have  $f(M) \subseteq M$ .  $\square$

Now, combining the above propositions with Theorem 2, we get:

**Corollary.** If  $M$  is a  $\tau$ -nonsingular module or  $E_\tau(M)$  satisfies the ascending chain condition on  $\tau$ -essential submodules, then  $M$  is  $\tau$ -skew injective if and only if it is invariant under all  $M$ - $\tau$ -essential endomorphisms of  $E_\tau(M)$ .  $\square$

Now, we put conditions on the ring  $R$  to help us remove condition (b). For this we give a concept that generalizes both noetherian and weakly noetherian modules in [5].

**Definition.** A module  $M$  is said to be  $\tau$ -weakly noetherian if for every ascending chain  $L_1 \subseteq L_2 \subseteq \dots$  of submodules of  $M$  with  $L_{i+1}/L_i \leq^{\tau e} M/L_i$  for all  $i$ , there is a positive integer  $k$  such that  $L_{n+1} = L_n$  for all  $n \geq k$ . A ring  $R$  is called  $\tau$ -weakly noetherian if it is  $\tau$ -weakly noetherian as an  $R$ -module.

**Remarks.**

- (1) Every module with ascending chain condition on  $\tau$ -essential submodules is  $\tau$ -weakly noetherian.
- (2) If  $M$  is  $\tau$ -weakly noetherian then so is any homomorphic image of  $M$ .
- (3) Every cyclic module over a  $\tau$ -weakly noetherian ring is  $\tau$ -weakly noetherian.

*Proof.* (1) Let  $L_1 \subseteq L_2 \subseteq \dots$  be an ascending chain of submodules of a  $\tau$ -weakly noetherian module  $M$  with  $L_{i+1}/L_i \leq^{\tau e} M/L_i$  for all  $i$ . For each  $i$ , under the natural map  $M \rightarrow M/L_i$ , we have  $L_{i+1}$  is the preimage of  $L_{i+1}/L_i$ . So it must be essential in  $M$ . Moreover,  $M/L_{i+1} \cong (M/L_i)/(L_{i+1}/L_i)$  is  $\tau$ -torsion, hence  $L_{i+1} \leq^{\tau e} M$ . Thus the ascending chain  $L_2 \subseteq L_3 \subseteq \dots$  (and hence the ascending chain  $L_1 \subseteq L_2 \subseteq \dots$ ) terminates.

(2) Let  $N$  be a submodule of  $M$ . We want to show that  $M/N$  is  $\tau$ -weakly noetherian. Let  $L_1/N \subseteq L_2/N \subseteq \dots$  be an ascending chain of submodules of  $M/N$  such that  $(L_{i+1}/N)/(L_i/N) \leq^{\tau e} (M/N)/(L_i/N)$  for each  $i$ . Hence  $L_{i+1}/L_i \leq^{\tau e} M/L_i$  for each  $i$ . Now for every  $i$ ,  $(M/L_i)/(L_{i+1}/L_i) \cong \frac{(M/N)/(L_i/N)}{(L_{i+1}/N)/(L_i/N)}$ , so that  $L_{i+1}/L_i \leq^{\tau e} M/L_i$ . So by assumption there is a positive integer  $k$  such that  $L_{n+1} = L_n$  for all  $n \geq k$  or  $L_{n+1}/N = L_n/N$ .

(3) Let  $M$  be a cyclic module over a  $\tau$ -weakly noetherian ring  $R$ . This means that  $M \cong R/\text{ann}_R(m)$  for some  $m \in M$ . By (2) it follows that  $M$  is  $\tau$ -weakly noetherian.  $\square$

**Theorem 9.** Let  $M$  be a module over a  $\tau$ -weakly noetherian ring, then for each endomorphism  $f$  of  $\text{End}(E_\tau(M))$  that has a  $\tau$ -essential kernel, there is a positive integer  $n$  such that  $f^n(x) = 0$  for every  $x \in E_\tau(M)$ .

*Proof.* Put  $E = E_\tau(M)$  and let  $K_0 = 0, K_1 = \ker f, \dots, K_{n+1} = f^{-1}(K_n \cap f(E))$ . Hence  $K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq \dots$  is an ascending chain of submodules of  $E$ . Now  $\ker f = K_1 \leq^{\tau d} E$ . This implies that  $K_n \leq^{\tau d} E$  for each  $n$  and hence  $E/K_{n+1} \cong (E/K_n)/(K_{n+1}/K_n)$  which is  $\tau$ -torsion, gives that  $K_{n+1}/K_n \leq^{\tau d} E/K_n$ . So  $K_{n+1}/K_n \leq^{\tau e} E/K_n$  for each  $n$  since  $K_{n+1}/K_n \leq^e E/K_n$  for each  $n$ . For each  $x \in E$ , let  $A = xR$  which by remark (3) is  $\tau$ -weakly noetherian. Put  $A_0 = 0, A_1 = A \cap \ker f, \dots, A_n = A \cap K_n, \dots$  which gives an ascending chain  $A_0 \subseteq A_1 \subseteq \dots$  of submodules of  $A$ . Since each  $K_n$  is  $\tau$ -essential in  $E$ ,  $A_n = A \cap K_n$  is  $\tau$ -essential in  $A$  [3], and hence  $A_{n+1}/A_n \leq^{\tau d} A/A_n$  for all  $n$ . But  $A$  is  $\tau$ -weakly noetherian. So there is a positive integer  $k$  such that  $A_{n+1} = A_n$  for  $n \geq k$ . But  $A_{n+1}/A_n$  is an essential submodule of  $E/A_n$  for all  $n \geq k$ . This is equivalent to saying that  $A_n = A$



for all  $n \geq k$ . Hence  $A = A_n = A \cap K_n$ . So  $A \subseteq K_n$ , but  $x \in A$  which implies that  $f(x) \in K_{n-1} = f^{-1}(K_{n-2} \cap f(E))$ . Thus  $f^2(x) \in K_{n-2}$  and so on, we have  $f^n(x) = 0$ .  $\square$

Now we can remove condition (b) provided  $R$  is  $\tau$ -weakly noetherian:

**Theorem 10.** Let  $M$  be a module over a  $\tau$ -weakly noetherian ring. Then  $M$  is  $\tau$ -skew injective if and only if it is invariant under  $M$ - $\tau$ -essential endomorphisms of  $E_\tau(M)$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 2 it is enough to show that  $M$  is invariant under all endomorphisms of  $E = E_\tau(M)$  that have  $\tau$ -essential kernels. Let  $f$  be such an endomorphism, thus by Theorem 9, there is a positive integer  $n$  such that  $f^n(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in E$ . In particular, for every  $m \in M$  we have  $f^n(m - f(m)) = 0$ . Thus  $f^{n+1}(m) = f^n(m)$ . Using Theorem 3, we have  $f(M) \subseteq M$ .  $\square$

So far, examples of modules satisfying condition (b) are:

1.  $\tau$ -nonsingular modules,
2. Modules whose  $\tau$ -injective envelopes satisfy the ascending chain condition on  $\tau$ -essential submodules, and
3. Modules over  $\tau$ -weakly noetherian rings.

Now we are ready to study direct sums of  $\tau$ -skew injective modules. Here we give necessary and sufficient conditions for a direct sum of  $\tau$ -skew injective modules to be  $\tau$ -skew injective.

**Theorem 11.** Let  $M = M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_n$  be an  $R$ -module satisfying condition (b). Then  $M$  is  $\tau$ -skew injective if and only if  $K_{ij} M_i \subseteq M_j$  for each  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,

where  $K_{ij} = \{f \in \text{Hom}_R(E_\tau(M_i), E_\tau(M_j)) \mid f(N_i) \subseteq N_j \text{ for some } \tau\text{-essential submodules } N_i \text{ of } M_i \text{ and } N_j \text{ of } M_j\}$ .

*Proof.* Put  $E = E_\tau(M)$  and  $E_i = E_\tau(M_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then  $E = E_1 \oplus \dots \oplus E_n$ . Suppose that  $M$  is  $\tau$ -skew injective and let  $f_{ij} \in K_{ij}$ , i.e.  $f_{ij}: E_i \rightarrow E_j$  is a map with  $f_{ij}(N_i) \subseteq N_j$  for some  $\tau$ -essential submodules  $N_i$  of  $M_i$  and  $N_j$  of  $M_j$ . Consider the direct sum  $N = \bigoplus N'_k$ , where  $N'_k = N_k$  if  $k = i$  or  $k = j$  and otherwise  $N'_k = E_k$ . Now  $N$  is clearly  $\tau$ -essential in  $E$  and hence  $N \cap M$  is  $\tau$ -essential in  $M$ . But  $f_{ij}$  can easily be extended to a map  $f: E \rightarrow E_j$ ,  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto f_{ij}(x_i)$ . So that  $f(N) \subseteq N_j$ . Hence  $f(N \cap M) \subseteq N_j = N_j \cap M \subseteq N \cap M$ . This means that  $f$  is an  $M$ - $\tau$ -essential endomorphism of  $E$ . Hence  $f(M) \subseteq M$  since  $M$  is  $\tau$ -skew injective satisfying condition (b). So  $f_{ij}(M_i) \subseteq M_j$ . Conversely, let  $f$  be an  $M$ - $\tau$ -essential endomorphism of  $E$ , so that  $f(N) \subseteq N$  for some  $\tau$ -essential submodule  $N$  of  $M$ . Now for each  $i$  and  $j$ , we have  $N \cap M_i \leq^{\tau\text{-e}} M_i$  and  $p_j(N \cap M_i) \subseteq N \cap M_j$ , where  $p_j$  is the projection of  $M$  onto  $M_j$ . So if we compose  $p_j$  with the restriction of  $f$  on  $M_i$  we get a map  $f_{ij} \in K_{ij}$ , i.e.  $f_{ij}(M_i) \subseteq M_j$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ . Now it is easy to see that  $f(M) \subseteq M$ .  $\square$

**Corollary.** If  $M$  is a  $\tau$ -skew injective  $R$ -module satisfying condition (b) then  $M^n$  is also  $\tau$ -skew injective.  $\square$

**Proposition 12.** Let  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$  be a  $\tau$ -skew injective  $R$ -module satisfying condition (b). Then  $E_\tau(M_1) \cong E_\tau(M_2)$  if and only if  $M_1 \cong M_2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f: E_\tau(M_1) \rightarrow E_\tau(M_2)$  be an isomorphism. Then  $f$  extends to an endomorphism  $F$  of  $E_\tau(M_1) \oplus E_\tau(M_2)$  by  $(x, y) \mapsto (0, f(x))$ . If we prove that  $F$  is  $M$ - $\tau$ -essential then, by Theorem 11 we must have  $F(M_1) \subseteq M_2$ . Now since  $M_2$  is essential in  $E_\tau(M_2)$  we have  $f^{-1}(M_2)$  is essential in  $E_\tau(M_1)$ , and since  $M_1$  is  $\tau$ -essential in  $E_\tau(M_1)$  we must have  $M_1 \cap f^{-1}(M_2)$  is  $\tau$ -essential in  $M_1$  and hence  $(M_1 \cap f^{-1}(M_2)) \oplus M_2$  is  $\tau$ -essential in  $E_\tau(M_1) \oplus E_\tau(M_2)$ . Now  $F((M_1 \cap f^{-1}(M_2)) \oplus M_2) = f(M_1 \cap f^{-1}(M_2)) \subseteq f(M_1) \cap M_2 \subseteq M_2 \subseteq (M_1 \cap f^{-1}(M_2)) \oplus M_2$ . So  $F$  is  $M$ - $\tau$ -essential and  $F(M_1) \subseteq M_2$ . Similarly we get an  $M$ - $\tau$ -essential endomorphism  $G$  of  $E_\tau(M_1) \oplus E_\tau(M_2)$  so that  $G(M_2) \subseteq M_1$ . Now for every  $m_1 \in M_1$  we have  $G \circ F(m_1) = G(f(m_1)) = G(f(m_1)) = m_1$ . So  $G \circ F = 1_{M_1}$ . And similarly we have  $F \circ G = 1_{M_2}$ . The other direction is obvious.  $\square$

**Corollary.** Let  $M$  be a  $\tau$ -skew injective module satisfying condition (b) and  $E = E_\tau(M)$ . Then  $M \oplus E$  is  $\tau$ -skew injective if and only if  $M = E$ .

*Proof.* It is obvious that  $E$  satisfies condition (b), and by Proposition 6 so does  $M \oplus E$ . So we can apply Theorem 11 on  $M \oplus E$ . Clearly  $1_{E \oplus E}$  is an  $M \oplus M$ - $\tau$ -essential endomorphism of  $E \oplus E$ . So if  $M \oplus E$  is  $\tau$ -skew injective then  $1_E(E) \subseteq M$  by Theorem 11. But this means that  $M = E$ . The other direction is trivial.  $\square$

**Proposition 13.** The following statements are equivalent for any ring  $R$ :

- (1) The direct sum of any two  $\tau$ -skew injective  $R$ -modules satisfying condition (b) is  $\tau$ -skew injective.
- (2) Every  $\tau$ -skew injective  $R$ -module satisfying condition (b) is  $\tau$ -injective.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Let  $M$  be  $\tau$ -skew injective satisfying condition (b). Then  $M \oplus E$  is  $\tau$ -skew injective by 1. So by the above corollary,  $M = E$ . (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) is trivial.  $\square$

**Corollary.** The following statements are equivalent for a  $\tau$ -weakly noetherian ring:

- (1) The direct sum of any two  $\tau$ -skew injective  $R$ -modules is  $\tau$ -skew injective.
- (2) Every  $\tau$ -skew injective  $R$ -module is  $\tau$ -injective.  $\square$



## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to express their gratitude to Professor Edgar Enochs who has read the manuscript and provided them with his valuable remarks.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Abbas, M. S. and Hamid, M. F. 2013. A note on singular and nonsingular modules relative to torsion theories. *Mathematical Theory and Modeling*, Vol.3, No.14, pp. 11-15.
- [2] Bland, P. E.1998. *Topics in Torsion Theory*, Wiley-VCH, Berlin.
- [3] Charalambides, S. 2006. *Topics in Torsion Theory*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand.
- [4] Govorov, V. E. 1963. Skew injective modules, *Algebra Logika*, 2, No. 6, 21-49.
- [5] Tuganbaev, A. A. 1982. Semiinjective modules, *Mat. Zametski*, Vol 31, No. 3, pp. 447-456.

