



Anti (Q, L)-Fuzzy Subhemirings of a Hemiring

M.Latha, Dr.N.Anitha

Department of Mathematics, Karpagam University, Coimbatore-641021

Department of Mathematics, Periyar University, PG Extension Centre, Dharmapuri-636 705

ABSTRACT:

In this paper, an attempt has been made to study the algebraic nature of an anti (Q, L)-fuzzy subhemirings of a hemiring.

Indexing terms/Keywords

L-fuzzy set, anti (Q, L)-fuzzy subhemiring, pseudo anti (Q, L)-fuzzy coset.

Academic Discipline And Sub-Disciplines

Mathematics;

SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION

Mathematics; 2000 AMS Subject Classification: 03F55, 06D72, 08A72.



Council for Innovative Research

Peer Review Research Publishing System

Journal: JOURNAL OF ADVANCES IN MATHEMATICS

Vol .11, No.4

www.cirjam.com , editorjam@gmail.com



INTRODUCTION

There are many concepts of universal algebras generalizing an associative ring $(R; +; \cdot)$. Some of them, in particular, about near rings and several kinds of semirings have been proved very useful. Semirings (also called half rings) are algebras $(R; +; \cdot)$ which share the same properties as a ring excepting that $(R; +)$ is assumed to be a semi group rather than a commutative group. Semi rings appear in a natural manner in some applications the theory of automata and formal languages. An algebra $(R; +; \cdot)$ is said to be a semi ring $(R; +)$ and $(R; \cdot)$ are semi groups satisfying $a \cdot (b+c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$ and $(b+c) \cdot a = b \cdot a + c \cdot a$ for all a, b and c in R . A semiring R is said to be additively commutative if $a+b=b+a$ for all a, b and c in R . A semiring R may have an identity 1 , defined by $1 \cdot a = a = a \cdot 1$ and a zero 0 , defined by $0+a=a=0+a$ and $a \cdot 0=0=0 \cdot a$ for all a in R . A semiring R is said to be a hemiring if it is additively commutative with zero. After the introduction of fuzzy sets by L.A.Zadeh [12], several researchers explored the generalization of the concept of fuzzy sets. The notion of anti left h-ideals in hemiring was introduced by Akram.M and K.H.Dar [1]. The notion of homomorphism and anti-homomorphism of fuzzy and anti-fuzzy ideal of a ring was introduced by N.Palaniappan & K.Arjunan [6]. Osman Kanzanci, Sultan Yamark and Serife Yilmaz in [13] introduced the notion of intuitionistic Q-fuzzification of N-subgroups (sub near-rings) in a near-ring and investigated some related properties. A.Solairaju and R.Nagarajan have given a new structure in the construction of Q-fuzzy groups and subgroups [14] and [15]. In this paper are to be introduced some theorems in (Q, L) -fuzzy subhemirings of a hemiring.

1. PRELIMINARIES

1.1 Definition: Let X be a non-empty set and $L = (L, \leq)$ be a lattice with least element 0 and greatest element 1 .

1.2 Definition: Let X be a non-empty set and Q be a non-empty set. A (Q, L) -fuzzy subset A of X is function $A: X \times Q \rightarrow L$

1.3 Definition: Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a hemiring. A (Q, L) -fuzzy subset A of R is said to be an anti (Q, L) -fuzzy subhemiring of R if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$(i) \mu_A(x + y, q) \leq (\mu_A(x, q) \vee \mu_A(y, q))$$

$$(ii) \mu_A(xy, q) \leq (\mu_A(x, q) \vee \mu_A(y, q)), \text{ for all } x \text{ and } y \text{ in } R, \text{ and } q \text{ in } Q.$$

1.4 Definition: Let A and B be (Q, L) -fuzzy subsets of sets G and H respectively. The anti-product of A and B denoted by AxB is defined as $AxB = \{((x, y), q), \mu_{AxB}(x, y, q)\} / \text{for all } x \text{ in } G \text{ and } y \text{ in } H \text{ \& } q \text{ in } Q\}$, where $\mu_{AxB}(x, y, q) = \{(\mu_A(x, q) \vee \mu_B(y, q))\}$.

1.5 Definition: Let A be a (Q, L) -fuzzy subset in a set S , the anti-strongest relation (Q, L) -fuzzy relation on S , that is a (Q, L) -fuzzy relation on A is V given by $\mu_V((x, y), q) = \{(\mu_A(x, q) \vee \mu_B(y, q))\}$ for all x and y in S and q in Q .

1.6 Definition: Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ and $(R', +, \cdot)$ be any two hemirings. Let $f: R \rightarrow R'$ be any function and A be an anti (Q, L) -fuzzy subhemiring in R , V be an anti (Q, L) -fuzzy subhemiring in $f(R) = R'$, defined by $\mu_V(y, q) = \inf_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \mu_A(x, q)$ for all x in R and y in R' and q in Q . Then A is called a preimage of V under f and is denoted by $f^{-1}(V)$.

1.7 Definition: Let A be an anti (Q, L) -fuzzy subhemiring of a hemiring $(R, +, \cdot)$ and a in R then the pseudo anti (Q, L) -fuzzy coset $(aA)^p$ is defined by $((aA)^p)(x, q) = p^{(a)} \mu_A(x, q)$, for every x in R , q in Q and for some p in P .

2. PROPERTIES OF ANTI (Q, L) -FUZZY SUBHEMIRINGS OF A HEMIRING

2.1 Theorem: Union of any two anti (Q, L) -fuzzy subhemiring of a hemiring R is an anti (Q, L) -fuzzy subhemiring of R .

Proof: Let A and B be any two anti (Q, L) -fuzzy subhemirings of a hemiring R and x and y in R . Let $A = \{((x, q), \mu_A(x, q)) / x \in R \text{ \& } q \in Q\}$ and $B = \{((x, q), \mu_B(x, q)) / x \in R \text{ \& } q \in Q\}$ and also Let $C = A \cup B = \{((x, q), \mu_C(x, q)) / x \in R \text{ \& } q \in Q\}$, where $\{(\mu_A(x, q) \vee \mu_B(x, q)) = \mu_C(x, q)\}$. Now,

$$\mu_C(x + y, q) \leq \{(\mu_A(x, q) \vee \mu_A(y, q) \vee ((\mu_B(x, q) \vee \mu_B(y, q)))\} \leq (\mu_C(x, q) \vee \mu_C(y, q)). \text{Therefore,}$$

$$\mu_C(x + y, q) \leq (\mu_C(x, q) \vee \mu_C(y, q)), \text{ for all } x \text{ and } y \text{ in } R \text{ and } q \text{ in } Q. \text{ And } \mu_C(xy, q) \leq$$

$$\{(\mu_A(x, q) \vee \mu_A(y, q)) \vee ((\mu_B(x, q) \vee \mu_B(y, q)))\} \leq (\mu_C(x, q) \vee \mu_C(y, q)). \text{Therefore, } \mu_C(xy, q) \leq (\mu_C(x, q) \vee \mu_C(y, q)), \text{ for}$$

all x and y in R and q in Q . Therefore C is an anti (Q, L) -fuzzy subhemiring of a hemiring R .



2.2 Theorem: The Union of a family of anti (Q,L)-fuzzy subhemiring of hemiring R is an anti (Q,L)-fuzzy subhemiring of R.

Proof: It is trivial.

2.3 Theorem: If A and B are two anti (Q,L)-fuzzy subhemirings of the hemirings R_1 and R_2 respectively, then anti product AXB is an anti (Q,L)-fuzzy subhemiring of $R_1 \times R_2$.

Proof: Let A and B be two anti (Q,L)-fuzzy subhemirings of the hemirings R_1 and R_2 respectively. Let x_1 and x_2 be in R_1 , y_1 and y_2 be in R_2 . Then $((x_1, y_1), q)$ and $((x_2, y_2), q)$ are in $R_1 \times R_2$. Now,

$$\mu_{AXB}[(x_1, y_1), q] + (x_2, y_2), q] \leq \{((\mu_A(x_1, q) \vee \mu_A(x_2, q)) \vee (\mu_B(y_1, q) \vee \mu_B(y_2, q)))\} \leq (\mu_{AXB}(x_1, y_1), q) \vee \mu_{AXB}(x_2, y_2), q).$$

Therefore, $\mu_{AXB}[(x_1, y_1), q] + (x_2, y_2), q] \leq (\mu_{AXB}(x_1, y_1), q) \vee \mu_{AXB}(x_2, y_2), q)$. Also,

$$\mu_{AXB} [(x_1, y_1), q] (x_2, y_2), q] \leq \{(\mu_A(x_1, q) \vee \mu_A(x_2, q)) \vee ((\mu_B(y_1, q) \vee \mu_B(y_2, q)))\} \leq (\mu_{AXB}(x_1, y_1), q) \vee \mu_{AXB}(x_2, y_2), q).$$

Therefore, $\mu_{AXB}[(x_1, y_1), q] (x_2, y_2), q] \leq (\mu_{AXB}(x_1, y_1), q) \vee \mu_{AXB}(x_2, y_2), q)$. Hence AXB is an anti (Q,L)-fuzzy subhemiring of a hemiring $R_1 \times R_2$.

2.4 Theorem: Let A be a (Q, L)-fuzzy subset of a hemiring R and V be the anti-strongest fuzzy relation of R. Then A is an anti (Q, L)-fuzzy subhemiring of R if and only if V is an anti (Q, L)-fuzzy subhemiring of $R \times R$.

Proof: Suppose that A is an anti (Q,L)-fuzzy subhemiring of a hemiring R. Then for any

$X = (x_1, x_2)$ and $Y = (y_1, y_2)$ are in $R \times R$. We have $\mu_V(XY, q) \leq \{(\mu_A(x_1 y_1, q) \vee \mu_A(x_2 y_2, q))\} \leq$

$$\{(\mu_A(x_1, q) \vee \mu_A(y_1, q)) \vee ((\mu_A(x_2, q) \vee \mu_A(y_2, q)))\} \leq (\mu_V((x_1, x_2), q) \vee \mu_V((y_1, y_2), q)) \leq (\mu_V(X, q) \vee \mu_V(Y, q))$$

for all X and Y in $R \times R$ and q in Q. Therefore, $\mu_V(XY, q) \leq (\mu_V(X, q) \vee \mu_V(Y, q))$, for all X and Y in $R \times R$ and q in Q. This

proves that V is an anti (Q, L)-fuzzy subhemiring of a hemiring of $R \times R$. Conversely assume that V is an anti (Q, L)-fuzzy subhemiring of a hemiring of $R \times R$, then for any $X = (x_1, x_2)$ and $Y = (y_1, y_2)$ are in $R \times R$

we have $\{(\mu_A(x_1 + y_1, q) \vee \mu_A(x_2 + y_2, q))\} = \mu_V(X + Y, q) \leq (\mu_V(X, q) \vee \mu_V(Y, q)) = (\mu_V((x_1, x_2), q) \vee \mu_V((y_1, y_2), q)) =$

$$(\mu_A(x_1, q) \vee \mu_A(y_1, q)) \vee ((\mu_A(x_2, q) \vee \mu_A(y_2, q))) \text{ If } x_2 = 0, y_2 = 0 \text{ we get } \mu_A(x_1 + y_1, q) \leq (\mu_A(x_1, q) \vee \mu_A(y_1, q))$$

for all x_1 and y_1 in R. And, $\{(\mu_A(x_1 y_1, q) \vee \mu_A(x_2 y_2, q))\} = \mu_V(XY, q) \leq (\mu_V(X, q) \vee \mu_V(Y, q)) =$

$$(\mu_V((x_1, x_2), q)) \vee \mu_V((y_1, y_2), q) = \{(\mu_A(x_1, q) \vee \mu_A(x_2, q)) \vee (\mu_A(y_1, q) \vee \mu_A(y_2, q))\}. \text{ If } x_2 = 0, y_2 = 0 \text{ we get}$$

$\mu_A(x_1 y_1, q) \leq (\mu_A(x_1, q) \vee \mu_A(y_1, q))$ for all x_1 and y_1 in R. Therefore A is an anti(Q,L)-fuzzy subhemiring of R.

2.5 Theorem: If A is an anti (Q,L)-fuzzy subhemiring of a hemiring $(R, +, \cdot)$ if and only if $\mu_A(x + y, q) \leq (\mu_A(x, q) \vee \mu_A(y, q))$, $\mu_A(xy, q) \leq (\mu_A(x, q) \vee \mu_A(y, q))$ for all x and y in R.

Proof: It is trivial.

2.6 Theorem: If A is an anti (Q,L)-fuzzy subhemiring of a hemiring $(R, +, \cdot)$, then $H = \{x/x \in R : \mu_A(x, q) = 0\}$ is either empty or is a subhemiring of R.

Proof: It is trivial.

2.7 Theorem: Let A is an anti (Q,L)-fuzzy subhemiring of a hemiring $(R, +, \cdot)$. If $\mu_A(x + y, q) = 1$, then either $\mu_A(x, q) = 1$ or $\mu_A(y, q) = 1$, for all x and y in R.

Proof: It is trivial.

2.8 Theorem: Let A is an anti (Q,L)-fuzzy subhemiring of a hemiring $(R, +, \cdot)$, then the pseudo anti (Q,L)-fuzzy coset $(aA)^p$ is anti (Q,L)-fuzzy subhemiring of a hemiring R, for every a in R.

Proof: Let A is an anti (Q,L)-fuzzy subhemiring of a hemiring R. For every x and y in R, we have



$((a \mu_A)^p)(x + y, q) \leq p(a) (\mu_A(x, q) \vee \mu_A(y, q)) \in (p(a)\mu_A(x, q) \vee p(a)\mu_A(y, q)) = (a \mu_A)^p(x, q) \vee (a \mu_A)^p(y, q)$. Therefore,
 $((a \mu_A)^p)(x + y, q) \leq ((a \mu_A)^p)(x, q) \vee ((a \mu_A)^p)(y, q)$. Now, $((a \mu_A)^p)(xy, q) \leq p(a) (\mu_A(x, q) \vee \mu_A(y, q)) = (p(a)\mu_A(x, q) \vee p(a)\mu_A(y, q)) = ((a \mu_A)^p)(x, q) \vee ((a \mu_A)^p)(y, q)$.

Therefore, $((a \mu_A)^p)(xy, q) \leq ((a \mu_A)^p)(x, q) \vee ((a \mu_A)^p)(y, q)$. Hence $(aA)^P$ is an anti (Q,L)-fuzzy subhemiring of a hemiring R .

2.9 Theorem: Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ and $(\dot{R}, +, \cdot)$ be any two hemirings. The homomorphic image of an anti (Q, L)-fuzzy subhemiring of R is an anti (Q, L)-fuzzy subhemiring of \dot{R} .

Proof: Let $f: R \rightarrow \dot{R}$ be a homomorphism. Then, $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$ and $f(xy) = f(x)f(y)$ for all x and y in R. Let $V = f(A)$, where A is an anti (Q,L) fuzzy subhemiring of R. Now, for $f(x), f(y)$ in \dot{R} , $\mu_V((f(x) + f(y)), q) \leq \mu_A(x + y, q) \leq (\mu_A(x, q) \vee \mu_A(y, q))$, which implies that $\mu_V(f(x) + f(y), q) \leq (\mu_V(f(x), q) \vee \mu_V(f(y), q))$. Again, $\mu_V((f(x) \cdot f(y)), q) \leq \mu_A(xy, q) \leq (\mu_A(x, q) \vee \mu_A(y, q))$, which implies that $\mu_V((f(x)f(y)), q) \leq (\mu_V(f(x), q) \vee \mu_V(f(y), q))$. Hence V is an anti (Q,L)-fuzzy subhemiring of hemiring \dot{R} .

2.10 Theorem: Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ and $(\dot{R}, +, \cdot)$ be any two hemirings. The homomorphic preimage of an anti (Q, L)-fuzzy subhemiring of \dot{R} is an anti (Q, L)-fuzzy subhemiring of R.

Proof: Let $f: R \rightarrow \dot{R}$ be a homomorphism. Then, $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$ and $f(xy) = f(x)f(y)$, for all x and y in R. Let $V = f(A)$ where V is an anti (Q,L) fuzzy subhemiring of \dot{R} . Now,

for x, y in R, $\mu_A(x + y, q) = \mu_V((f(x) + f(y)), q) \leq (\mu_V(f(x), q) \vee \mu_V(f(y), q)) = (\mu_A(x, q) \vee \mu_A(y, q))$ which implies that $\mu_A(x + y, q) \leq (\mu_A(x, q) \vee \mu_A(y, q))$. Again, $\mu_A(xy, q) = \mu_V((f(x) \cdot f(y)), q) \leq (\mu_V(f(x), q) \vee \mu_V(f(y), q)) = (\mu_A(x, q) \vee \mu_A(y, q))$ which implies that $\mu_A(xy, q) \leq (\mu_A(x, q) \vee \mu_A(y, q))$. Hence A is an anti (Q, L)-fuzzy subhemiring of hemiring R.

2.11 Theorem: Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ and $(\dot{R}, +, \cdot)$ be any two hemirings. The anti-homomorphic image of an anti (Q,L)-fuzzy subhemiring of R is an anti (Q,L)-fuzzy subhemiring of \dot{R} .

Proof: Let $f: R \rightarrow \dot{R}$ be an anti-homomorphism. Then, $f(x + y) = f(y) + f(x)$ and $f(xy) = f(y)f(x)$ for all x and y in R. Let $V = f(A)$ where A is an anti (Q,L)-fuzzy subhemiring of R.

Now, for $f(x), f(y)$ in \dot{R} , $\mu_V((f(x) + f(y)), q) \leq \mu_A(y + x, q) \leq (\mu_A(y, q) \vee \mu_A(x, q)) = (\mu_A(x, q) \vee \mu_A(y, q))$, which implies that $\mu_V((f(x) + f(y)), q) \leq (\mu_V(f(x), q) \vee \mu_V(f(y), q))$. Again, $\mu_V((f(x) \cdot f(y)), q) \leq \mu_A(yx, q) \leq (\mu_A(y, q) \vee \mu_A(x, q)) = (\mu_A(x, q) \vee \mu_A(y, q))$ which implies that $\mu_V((f(x)f(y)), q) \leq (\mu_V(f(x), q) \vee \mu_V(f(y), q))$. Hence V is an anti (Q, L)-fuzzy subhemiring of hemiring \dot{R} .

2.12 Theorem: Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ and $(\dot{R}, +, \cdot)$ be any two hemirings. The anti-homomorphic preimage of an anti (Q, L)-fuzzy subhemiring of \dot{R} is an anti (Q,L)-fuzzy subhemiring of R.

Proof: Let $V = f(A)$ where V is an anti (Q,L) – fuzzy subhemiring of \dot{R} . Let x and y in R. Then

$\mu_A(x + y, q) = \mu_V((f(x) + f(y)), q) \leq (\mu_V(f(y), q) \vee \mu_V(f(x), q)) = (\mu_A(x, q) \vee \mu_A(y, q))$, which implies that $\mu_A(x + y, q) \leq (\mu_A(x, q) \vee \mu_A(y, q))$. Again, $\mu_A(xy, q) = \mu_V((f(x)f(y)), q) \leq (\mu_V(f(y), q) \vee \mu_V(f(x), q)) = (\mu_A(x, q) \vee \mu_A(y, q))$, which implies that $\mu_A(xy, q) \leq (\mu_A(x, q) \vee \mu_A(y, q))$. Hence A is an anti (Q, L)-fuzzy subhemiring of hemiring R.

In the following Theorem is the composition operation of functions:

2.13 Theorem: Let A be an anti (Q, L)-fuzzy subhemiring of hemiring H and f is an isomorphism from a hemiring R onto H. Then $A \circ f$ is an anti (Q, L)-fuzzy subhemiring of R.

Proof: Let x and y in R. Then we have, $(\mu_A \circ f)((x + y), q) = \mu_A((f(x) + f(y)), q) \leq (\mu_A(f(x), q) \vee \mu_A(f(y), q)) \leq$



$(\mu_A \circ f)(x, q) \vee (\mu_A \circ f)(y, q)$, which implies that $(\mu_A \circ f)((x + y), q) \leq (\mu_A \circ f)(x, q) \vee (\mu_A \circ f)(y, q)$. And,

$$(\mu_A \circ f)(xy, q) = \mu_A(f(x), q)(f(y), q) \leq (\mu_A(f(x), q) \vee \mu_A(f(y), q)) \leq (\mu_A \circ f)(x, q) \vee (\mu_A \circ f)(y, q),$$

which implies that $(\mu_A \circ f)((xy), q) \leq (\mu_A \circ f)(x, q) \vee (\mu_A \circ f)(y, q)$. Therefore $A \circ f$ is an anti(Q, L)-fuzzy subhemiring of hemiring R.

2.14 Theorem: Let A be an anti (Q, L)-fuzzy subhemiring of hemiring H and f is an anti- isomorphism from a hemiring R onto H. Then $A \circ f$ is an anti (Q,L)-fuzzy subhemiring of R.

Proof: Let x and y in R. Then we have, $(\mu_A \circ f)((x + y), q) = \mu_A(f(y) + f(x), q) \leq (\mu_A(f(x), q) \vee \mu_A(f(y), q)) \leq$

$(\mu_A \circ f)(x, q) \vee (\mu_A \circ f)(y, q)$.which implies that $(\mu_A \circ f)((x + y), q) \leq ((\mu_A \circ f)(x, q) \vee (\mu_A \circ f)(y, q))$.And,

$(\mu_A \circ f)(xy, q) = \mu_A((f(y) f(x)), q) \leq (\mu_A(f(x), q) \vee \mu_A(f(y), q)) \leq (\mu_A \circ f)(x, q) \vee (\mu_A \circ f)(y, q)$ which implies that

$(\mu_A \circ f)(xy, q) \leq (\mu_A \circ f)(x, q) \vee (\mu_A \circ f)(y, q)$. Therefore $A \circ f$ is an anti (Q, L)-fuzzy subhemiring of hemiring R.

REFERENCE

- [1]. Akram.M and K.H.Dar 2007 On anti fuzzy left h-ideals in hemi rings, International Mathematical Forum, 2(46); 2295-2304,.
- [2]. Anitha.N and Arjunan.K, 2010 Homomorphism in Intuitionistic fuzzy subhemirings of a hemi ring, International J.of.Math.Sci &Engg.Appls. (IJMSEA), 'Vol.4 (V); 165-172,.
- [3]. Anthony.J.M and H.Sherwood, 1979 Fuzzy groups Redefined, Journal of mathematical analysis and applications, 69; 124-130,.
- [4]. Asok kumer Ray, 1999 On product of fuzzy subgroups, fuzzy sets and systems, 105; 181-183,.
- [5]. Biswas.R, 1990 Fuzzy subgroups and anti-fuzzy subgroups, fuzzy sets and systems, 35; 121-124.
- [6]. Palaniappan.N&K.Arjunan, 2008 The homomorphism, anti homomorphism of a fuzzy and an anti-fuzzy ideals of a ring, Varahmihir Journal of Mathematical Sciences,6(1);181-006,.
- [7]. Palaniappan.N &K.Arjunan , 2007 Operation on fuzzy and anti fuzzy ideals, Antartical J.Math, 4(1); 59-64, 2007.
- [8]. Palaniappan.N &K.Arjunan, 2007 Some properties of intuitionistic fuzzy subgroups, Acta Ciencia Indica, VolXXXVIII (2); 321-328,.
- [9]. Rajesh Kumar, 1993 Fuzzy Algebra, University of Delhi Publication Division, Volume 1,.
- [10].Vasanth Kandasamy.W.B., 2003 Smarandache fuzzy algebra, American research press, Rehoboth,.
- [11] .Xueling MA.Jianming ZHAN, 2007 on fuzzy h-ideals of hemi rings, journal of Systems science & Complexity, 20; 470-478.
- [12].Zadeh.L.A, 1965 Fuzzy sets Information and control, 8; 338-353,.
- [13].Osman Kazanci, Sultan Yamark and Serife Yilmaz, 2007.On intuitionistic Q-fuzzy R-subgroups of near rings, International mathematical forum, 2(59):2899-2910.
- [14]. Solairaju.A and R.Nagarajan, 2008.Q-fuzzy left R-subgroups of near rings w.r.t T-norms, Antarctica journal of mathematics, 5:1-2.
- [15]. Solairaju.A and R.Nagarajan, 2009.A new structure and construction of Q-fuzzy groups, Advances in fuzzy mathematics, Volume4 (1):23-29.