



Real Characterization on Order Banach Algebra

Boushra Youssif

Department of Mathematics, Al-Qadisiya University, Iraq
Boushra.alshebani@qu.edu.iq

Sarah Fahim Hassan

Department of Mathematics, Al-Qadisiya University, Iraq
Sarahfahim66@yahoo.com

Abstract

In this paper we will study the real character on order Banach algebra with identity, and study character on order Banach algebra without identity. We proved some properties on real character it. We define set of all character and prove it with some condition convex set and used it to prove all character is extreme point, we introduce dual cone in order Banach space. Also we show any order Banach algebra satisfying certain conditions is isomorphic to the space valued continuous function $C_0(X)$ for suitable a locally compact Hausdorff space.

Keywords: order Banach algebra; algebra cone; dual cone; character.

Introduction

The study of Banach algebra entails combining the use of algebraic and analytic (or topological) methods. It has become an important field modern operator theory having many practical and theoretical applications.

We will show that Banach algebra techniques combine with order structures yield new insights. We prove our results in structure will called order Banach algebra A , the ordering which is induced by a subset C of A with certain special properties, called an algebra cone which is compatible with the algebraic structure of A , and this the useful references for the material on Banach space are [J.B.Conway,1990]. References for Banach algebra are [D,Holland,2015], [J.M.Erdman,2011] and references for order Banach Algebra are [D.Robinson,1983],[H.Rouben,1996], and [R.Dejong,2010].

1-order Banach algebra

Definition 1.1[1] :- Let A be a Banach with respect to real numbers. A is called Branch algebra, if there exist operation from $A \times A$ to A such that $(x, y) \rightarrow xy$. for all x, y and z belong to A and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ with the following properties

- 1 - $(xy)z = x(yz)$
- 2 - $(\lambda x + y)z = \lambda xz + yz$ and $z(\lambda x + y) = \lambda zx + zy$ (commutative)
- 3 - $\|xy\| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$ (Sub multiplicative)

Definition 1.2[1]:- We called a Banach algebra A is unital, if it has a unite element e such that $e.x = x.e = x \forall x \in A$. If A has unite then $\|e\|=1$

Definition (W*-topology) 1.3[5]:- Let A be a Banach space and A^* be the dual space of A , the weak topology is the weakest topology such the map $T: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous. A weak* topology is the weakest topology making all functional on A^* are continuous.

Theorem (Hahn Banach) 1.4[6]:- Let X be a linear space in a real numbers \mathbb{R} and let q be a sub linear functional on X , if M be a linear subset of X and if $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a linear functional with $f(x) \leq q(x)$ for all $x \in M$. Then there exist a linear functional $K: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $K(x) \leq q(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Proposition 1.5[6]:- If X is a normal space and x in X . Then $\|x\| = \sup\{|f(x)| : f \in X^* : \|f\| \leq 1\}$.

Definition 1.6 [6]:- The closed unit ball in X which denoted by $CL(x)$ when X is normed space is define by $CL(x) = \{x \in X : \|x\| \leq 1\}$.

Theorem (Alaoglu's theorem) 1.7[4]:- $CL(x)$ is a W^* -compact if X is normed space.



Definition(Extreme point) 1.8[6]:-If X is a linear space and $K \subseteq X$, such K is convex and $x \in K$, then x is extreme point if there is no proper open line segment in K , i.e if $x = ta + (1-t)b$, such that $a, b \in K$ and $0 < t < 1$ then $a = b$. And we denote to the set of extreme point by $e(K)$

Theorem (The KrienMilman theorem) 1.9[4]:-Let X be a locally convex space and K be a non-empty compact convex subset of X . Then $e(K) \neq \emptyset$ and is equal to the closed convex hull of $e(K)$.

Definition 1.10[3]:- Let A be a real Banach algebra with unit e and C non-empty subset of A . We call C a cone if it satisfies the following

1. $a + b \in C$ for all $a, b \in C$,
2. $\lambda a \in C$ for all $a \in C$ and $\lambda \geq 0$.

In addition if C satisfies $C \cap -C = \{0\}$, then C will be called a proper cone induced an ordering (\leq) on A by $a \leq b$ if and only if $b - a \in C$ for all $a, b \in C$. We say that C is algebra cone if it is satisfies the following:

1. $a \cdot b \in C$ for all $a, b \in C$,
2. $e \in C$.

Definition (Ordered Banach Algebras) 1.11[3]:- Let A be a real Banach algebra with unit e is called order Banach algebra (OBA) when A is ordered by a relation (\geq) such that for every $a, b, c \in C$ and $\lambda \geq 0$

1. $a, b \geq 0 \Rightarrow a + b \geq 0$
2. $a \geq 0, \lambda \geq 0 \Rightarrow \lambda a \geq 0$
3. $a, b \geq 0 \Rightarrow a \cdot b \geq 0$.
4. $e \geq 0$

So if A ordered by an algebra cone C , we will obtain (A, C) is an order Banach algebra.

Definition 1.12[6]:- Let A be OBA and C be algebra cone so

- We will say that C is *normal* if there is $\beta > 0$, and for any $a, b \in A$, $0 \leq a \leq b \Rightarrow \|a\| \leq \beta \|b\|$.
- We will say that C is α -*normal* if there is $\alpha > 0$, and for any $a, b, c \in A$, $b \leq a \leq c \Rightarrow \|a\| \leq \alpha \max(\|b\|, \|c\|)$.

If $\alpha=1$ we say that C is *1-max-normal*.

*Proposition 1.13[6]:-*Every normal algebra cone C is a proper algebra cone.

Definition 1.14:- Let A be a order Banach algebra, we called the function f is *multiplicative* if satisfies: $f(ab) = f(a)f(b)$. A functional f from order Banach A into the set of real numbers \mathbb{R} is called real character if f is linear, multiplicative, and $f(e)=1$

. Notation:-When A is ordered by an algebra cone C . If we denote M_A to the set of all real characters, and M_A^+ to the subset of M_A such that $f(x) \geq 0$, for all x in C .

Lemma 1.15:- $-M_A$ and M_A^+ are convex sets.

Proof:- Let $f_1, f_2 \in M_A$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq 1, a, b \in A$

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - (\beta f_1 + (1 - \beta) f_2)(a + b) &= \beta f_1(a + b) + (1 - \beta) f_2(a + b) \\ &= \beta f_1(a) + \beta f_1(b) + (1 - \beta) f_2(a) + (1 - \beta) f_2(b) \\ &= \beta f_1(a) + (1 - \beta) f_2(a) + \beta f_1(b) + (1 - \beta) f_2(b) \\ &= (\beta f_1 + (1 - \beta) f_2)(a) + (\beta f_1 + (1 - \beta) f_2)(b) \end{aligned}$$

$$2 - (\beta f_1 + (1 - \beta) f_2)(\alpha a) = \beta f_1(\alpha a) + (1 - \beta) f_2(\alpha a)$$

Since $f_1, f_2 \in M_A$ then we obtain



$$= \alpha\beta f_1(a) + \alpha(1 - \beta)f_2(a) = \alpha[\beta f_1(a) + (1 - \beta)f_2(a)] = \alpha[\beta f_1 + (1 - \beta)f_2](a)$$

3-To prove that $[\beta f_1 + (1 - \beta)f_2](ab) = (\beta f_1 + (1 - \beta)f_2)(a) \cdot (\beta f_1 + (1 - \beta)f_2)(b)$

Let $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $f_1, f_2 \in M_A$

Let $t = \frac{i}{2^n}$ for some $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $|\beta - t| < \varepsilon$

And $tf_1 + (1 - t)f_2 \in M_A$.

Let $\{f_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be net in M_A satisfy $f_\lambda \rightarrow \beta$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and

$f_\lambda f_1(ab) + (1 - f_\lambda)f_2(ab) = f_\lambda f_1 + (1 - f_\lambda)f_2(a) \cdot (f_\lambda f_1 + (1 - f_\lambda)f_2)(b)$ for every $a, b \in A$.

Hence $(\beta f_1(ab) + (1 - \beta)f_2(ab)) = \beta f_1 + (1 - \beta)f_2(a) \cdot (\beta f_1 + (1 - \beta)f_2)(b)$, for every $a, b \in A$. Then from (1), (2), (3) we obtain $\beta f_1 + (1 - \beta)f_2 \in M_A$.

M_A^+ Will be convex by follows the convexity of M_A . \square

Proposition 1.16:- Let A be an OBA without identity and f be linear, multiplicative on A . Then we can extended f to real character \bar{f} on OBA with identity A_e such that A is sub algebra of A_e and $\bar{f}|_A = f$.

Proof:- Let A be a OBA, and let $A_e = A \times \mathbb{R}$

To prove that A_e is an OBA with identity as follows :

a- Algebra

We, define operations on A_e as follows:

$$1 - (a, \alpha) + (b, \mu) = (a + b, \alpha + \mu) \quad \text{for all } a, b \in A, \alpha, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$2 - \beta(a, \mu) = (\beta a, \beta \mu) \quad \text{for all } \beta \in \mathbb{R}, a \in A$$

$$3 - (a, \alpha) \cdot (b, \mu) = (ab + \alpha b + \mu a, \alpha \mu)$$

It clear that A_e is an algebra with identity $(0, 1)$ and A is sub algebra of A_e .

b- Normed algebra

If $\|(a, \alpha)\| = \|a\| = |\alpha|$ for all $a \in A, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then A_e be a normed algebra.

c- Banach algebra

To prove that A_e be a complete.

Let $\{x_n, \alpha_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in A_e

for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $\|(x_n, \alpha_n) - (x_m, \alpha_m)\| < \varepsilon \forall n > k$

$$\|(x_n - x_m, \alpha_n - \alpha_m)\| < \varepsilon \forall n > k$$

$$\|x_n - x_m\| + |\alpha_n - \alpha_m| < \varepsilon$$

$$\|x_n - x_m\| < \varepsilon \text{ and } |\alpha_n - \alpha_m| < \varepsilon$$

Since $\{x_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in A , $\{\alpha_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{R} . since A is Banach algebra, there exist $x \in A$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

i.e $\|x_n - x\| < \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq k$

Since \mathbb{R} is complete so there exist $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $|\alpha_n - \alpha| < \varepsilon$

Obtain $\|x_n - x\| + |\alpha_n - \alpha| < \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq k$

Implies that $\|(x_n, \alpha_n) - (x, \alpha)\| < \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq k$

Since $\{x_n\}$ in A and, $\{\alpha_n\}$ in \mathbb{R} .



Then $\{(x_n, \alpha_n)\}$ in A_e so A_e is complete .

d- Order Banach algebra

Let $a, b \in A, a \succcurlyeq 0, b \succcurlyeq 0$ since A is OBA then $a+b \succcurlyeq 0$ and if $\alpha \geq 0, \mu \geq 0$ then $\alpha + \mu \geq 0$.

Now to show that $C_e = C \times \mathbb{R}$ be an algebra cone, let $a, b \in C$ and $\alpha, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x = (a, \alpha), y = (b, \mu) \in C_e$.

- 1) $x + y = (a, \alpha) + (b, \mu) = (a + b, \alpha + \mu) \in C_e$ for all $x, y \in C_e$,
- 2) $\mu x = \mu(a, \alpha) = (\mu a, \mu \alpha) \in C_e$,
- 3) $x \cdot y = (a, \alpha) \cdot (b, \mu) = (ab + \alpha b + \mu a, \alpha \mu)$.
- 4) $(0, 1) \in C_e$

A cone C_e on A_e induced an ordering relation \succcurlyeq on A_e by the way for all $(a, \alpha), (b, \mu) \in C_e$ if $a \succcurlyeq b, \alpha \geq \mu$. This ordering satisfies:

1-[reflexive]

for all $(a, \alpha) \in A_e$ since $a \succcurlyeq a$ and $\alpha \geq \alpha$. Then $(a, \alpha) \succcurlyeq (a, \alpha)$

2-[transitive]

For all $(a, \alpha), (b, \mu), (c, \lambda) \in A_e$ since $a \succcurlyeq b, b \succcurlyeq c$ obtain $a \succcurlyeq c$, and since $\alpha \geq \mu, \mu \geq \lambda$

obtain $\alpha \geq \lambda$ so if $(a, \alpha) \succcurlyeq (b, \mu)$ and $(b, \mu) \succcurlyeq (c, \lambda)$ then $(a, \alpha) \succcurlyeq (c, \lambda)$

Now to satisfy conditions of OBA for all $(a, \alpha), (b, \mu) \in A_e, (a, \alpha) \succcurlyeq (b, \mu)$ such that $a \succcurlyeq b, \alpha \geq \mu$

- 1 - $(a, \alpha) \succcurlyeq 0, (b, \mu) \succcurlyeq 0 \Rightarrow (a + b, \alpha + \mu) \succcurlyeq 0$
- 2 - $(a, \alpha) \succcurlyeq 0, \lambda \geq 0 \Rightarrow \lambda(a, \alpha) = (\lambda a, \lambda \alpha) \succcurlyeq 0$
- 3 - $(a, \alpha) \succcurlyeq 0, (b, \mu) \succcurlyeq 0 \Rightarrow (a, \alpha) \cdot (b, \mu) = (ab + \alpha b + \mu a, \alpha \mu) \succcurlyeq 0$,
- 4 - $(0, 1) \succcurlyeq 0$.

e- \bar{f} character

We define $\bar{f}: A_e \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows: $\bar{f}((a, \alpha)) = f(a) + \alpha$ for all $a \in A, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

To prove that \bar{f} is character

$$\bar{f}((a, \beta) + (b, \alpha)) = f(a + b, \beta + \alpha) = f(a) + f(b) + \alpha + \beta = \bar{f}(a, \alpha) + \bar{f}(b, \alpha)$$

$$\bar{f}((\lambda a, \lambda \alpha)) = f(\lambda a) + \lambda \alpha = \lambda \bar{f}(a, \alpha)$$

$$\bar{f}((a, \alpha)(b, \mu)) = \bar{f}(ab + \alpha b + \mu a, \alpha \mu)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= f(ab + \alpha b + \mu a) + \alpha \mu \\ &= f(ab) + f(\alpha b) + f(\mu a) + \alpha \mu \\ &= [f(a) + \alpha] \cdot [f(b) + \mu] \end{aligned}$$

$$= \bar{f}((a, \alpha)) \cdot \bar{f}((b, \mu)) \text{ for all } \mu \in \mathbb{R}$$

Hence we obtain that \bar{f} is real character. □

Definition 1.19:- A_e is called order adjunction with identity of an order Banach algebra without identity (A, C) .

Proposition 1.20 [1]:- Let A be a real Banach algebra with identity and $a \in A$ is an invertible element, suppose $b \in A$ with $\|b - a\| < \frac{1}{\|a^{-1}\|}$, then b is invertible and $\|(a - b)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{\|a^{-1}\|^2 \|b - a\|}{1 - \|a^{-1}\| \|b - a\|}$.

Lemma 1.21:- let A be a real Banach algebra without identity. Then for every character in M_A is bounded.



Proof: - Since A without identity then we extend f to the character \bar{f} of $A_e = A \times \mathbb{R}$ in this way we have \bar{f} such that $\bar{f}: A \rightarrow A_e$ defined by $\bar{f}(a) = (a, \alpha)$, which is inclusion map. To prove that \bar{f} is bounded i.e. to prove $|\bar{f}(a)| \leq \|a\|$

Assume that $a \in A_e$ with $|\bar{f}(a)| > \|a\|$.

Take $\beta = \bar{f}(b)$ and $a_1 = \beta^{-1}b$ which has a norm $\|a_1\| < 1$ by proposition (1.20) $a = 1$ and $b = 1 - a_1$, which follows that $1 - a_1 = 1 - \beta^{-1}b$ is invertible,

since $\beta \neq 0$, so we have $c = \beta(1 - a_1) = \beta - b$ is invertible,

we obtain $\bar{f}(c) = \beta\bar{f}(1) - \bar{f}(b) = \beta - \bar{f}(b) = 0$,

but

$1 = \bar{f}(1) = \bar{f}(cc^{-1}) = \bar{f}(c^{-1})\bar{f}(c) = 0$ which is impossible, so $|\bar{f}(a)| \leq \|a\|$. \square

2. Dual cone in Order Banach algebra

Definition 2.1[6]:- Let A^* be a dual of order Banach space with algebra cone C , a *dual cone* is the set of all continuous (bounded) linear functional and it is non-negative on C which is denoted by C^* i.e. $C^* = \{f \in A^* : f(x) \geq 0, \text{ for all } x \in C\}$

Lemma 2.2[6]:- Let S be a closed and convex set of a Banach space A with respect to real numbers and $a \notin S$. Then there is a continuous (bounded) linear functional f such that $f(a) < f(b)$ for all $b \in C$.

Lemma 2.3:- Let C be an algebra cone in A . Then $a \in C$ if and only if $f(a) \geq 0$ for all $f \in C^*$ and $C \neq A$ implies $C^* \neq \{0\}$

Proof:- Suppose that $a \notin C$, since C is closed and convex cone so from above lemma with $f(a) < f(b)$ for all $b \in C$, thus $f(a) < 0 = f(0)$, since $b \in C$ then we obtain $\beta b \in C$ and $\beta > 0$. Then $f(\beta b) \geq 0$, Hence $f \in C^*$. \square

Definition 2.4:- Let A^* be a dual of order Banach space and C^* be a dual cone in A^* which is called *-generated*, if for each $f \in A^*$ and $g_1, g_2 \in C^*$ such that $f = g_1 - g_2$ satisfies that $\alpha \|f\| \geq \|g_1\| + \|g_2\|$, if $f = g_1 - g_2$ for each $g_1, g_2 \in C^*$ and $\|f\| = \|g_1\| + \|g_2\|$.

we will call that C^* by *1-generated*.

Proposition 2.5[2]:- Let (A, C) be an order Banach algebra. Then C is α -normal if and only if C^* is α -generated. In particular C is 1-normal if and only if each $f \in A^*$ satisfies $f = g_1 - g_2$ for each $g_1, g_2 \in C^*$.

Definition 2.8:- A closed ball of A^* which is denoted by $\mathfrak{S}_A = \{f \in A^* : \|f\| \leq 1\}$.

Lemma 2.9:- M_A and M_A^+ and $\mathfrak{S}_A^+ = \mathfrak{S}_A + C^*$ are W^* -compact

Proof: - Since $\|f\| \leq 1$ and $M_A \subset \mathfrak{S}_A$ then we obtain \mathfrak{S}_A is W^* -compact

since A^* is normed space. Then $\mathfrak{S}_A = CL(A^*)$ by [Alaoglu's theorem].

To show that M_A is W^* -compact we have to prove M_A is W^* -closed in \mathfrak{S}_A

Let take a net $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ in M_A and $f \in \mathfrak{S}_A$ such that $f = \lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f_\lambda$ to show that $f \in M_A$

$$f(ab) = \lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f_\lambda(ab), \forall a, b \in A$$

It is clear from that f is linear and Multiplicative and f is not trivial since $f(e) = \lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f_\lambda(e) = 1$

Hence $f \in M_A$ and M_A is W^* -closed in \mathfrak{S}_A .

To show that M_A^+ is W^* -compact we have to prove that M_A^+ is W^* -closed

Let $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a net in M_A^+ and let $f \in M_A^+$

Then for $a \in C$ we get $(f_\lambda)(a) \geq 0$ so $f(a) \geq 0$ for all $a \in C$

Thus $f \in M_A^+$ and M_A^+ is W^* -closed



To prove that \mathfrak{S}_A^+ is W^* -closed

Let $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a net in \mathfrak{S}_A^+ and let $\|f\| \leq 1$, such that $f \in M_A$ and $f = \lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f_\lambda$.

Hence therefor $a \in C$ we have $\|f_\lambda(a)\| \geq 0$ and $\|f(a)\| \geq 0$

Thus $f \in \mathfrak{S}_A^+$ and we obtain \mathfrak{S}_A^+ is W^* -closed. \square

3. Main results

Proposition 3.1:- every character in M_A is extreme point.

Proof:- Let f be a character and let $f = tf_1 + (1-t)f_2$ such that $f_1, f_2 \in M_A$

To prove that $f_1 = f_2$

Assume that $f_1 \neq f_2$, there exist $a \in A$ such that $f_1(a) \neq f_2(a)$. Then $tf_1(a) \neq tf_2(a)$ and $(1-t)f_1(a) \neq (1-t)f_2(a)$, implies that $tf_1(a) \cdot f_1(b) + (1-t)f_1(a) \cdot f_1(b) \neq tf_2(a) \cdot f_2(b) + (1-t)f_2(a) \cdot f_2(b)$ for some $b \neq 0$.

$$tf_1(a) \cdot f_1(b) + (1-t)f_2(a) \cdot f_2(b) \neq (tf_1(a) + (1-t)f_2(a)) \cdot (tf_1(b) + (1-t)f_2(b))$$

Then $f(ab) \neq f(a)f(b)$ this impossible because f character. \square

- Now we consider the following conditions
- 1- $\bar{f}(yab) \geq 0$ for every $f \in M_A^+$ and $a, b, y \in A_e$
- 2- $(ya) \in C_e$ for every $a \in C_e$, and $y \in A_e$.

Lemma 3.2:- let A be real order Banach algebra and $\{f_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a net A_e satisfying that $f_\lambda a \rightarrow a$ for all $a \in A_e$, let $y \in A_e$. then A_e satisfies the conditions (1) and (2).

Proof:- Let $\{f_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ a net and $f_\lambda a \rightarrow a$ for all $a \in A_e$, let $y \in A_e$, then we have $yf_\lambda \in C_e$ and $(yf_\lambda)(af_\lambda) \in C_e$

Then $(yf_\lambda)(af_\lambda)$ is positive, thus $(yf_\lambda)(af_\lambda) \geq 0$

$$\text{Let } y', a', b' \in A \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } y = (y', \beta), ab = ((a'b'), \alpha)$$

Without products $(yf_\lambda)(af_\lambda)$ and yab , since f is continuous and liner map we obtain $f((yf_\lambda)(af_\lambda) - \alpha\beta f_\lambda)$

Convergent to $\bar{f}((f_\lambda)(af_\lambda) - \alpha\beta)$, since $\|f\| \leq 1$

We have $f(\beta\alpha f_\lambda) \leq \beta\alpha$

$$0 \leq f(yf_\lambda)(af_\lambda) = f(yf_\lambda)(ab) - \beta\alpha f_\lambda + f(\beta\alpha f_\lambda) \leq f(yf_\lambda)(ab) - \beta\alpha f_\lambda + \beta\alpha \text{ Convergent to } \bar{f}(yab - \beta\alpha) + \beta\alpha = \bar{f}(yab)$$

so condition (1) is satisfied and condition (2) directly by a $\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (yf_\lambda)a$. \square

Proposition 3.3:- let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space and $C_0(X) = \{f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \text{continuous}\}$, let $C_0^+(X) = \{f \in C_0(X): f(x) \geq 0, \forall x \in X\}$, then $C_0^+(X)$ be an algebra cone and make $C_0^+(X)$ by an OBA by $C_0^+(X)$.

Proof:- To show that $C_0^+(X)$ be an algebra cone, let $f_1, f_2 \in C_0^+(X), \lambda \geq 0$ and $x \in X$ such that $f_1(x) \geq 0, f_2(x) \geq 0$

- 1- $f_1(x) + f_2(x) = (f_1 + f_2)(x) \geq 0$
- 2- $\lambda f_1(x) \geq 0$ for all $\lambda \geq 0$
- 3- $f_1 f_2(x) = f_1(x) f_2(x) \geq 0$,
- 4- $f_1(1) = 1 \geq 0$.

It can show for every $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in C_0^+(X)$ this satisfies that ordering is

$$\text{a-[reflexive] i.e. } f_1 \succcurlyeq f_1 \text{ if } f_1(x) \geq f_1(x).$$

$$\text{b-[transitive] i.e. } f_1 \succcurlyeq f_2 \text{ and } f_2 \succcurlyeq f_3 \text{ then } f_1 \succcurlyeq f_3 \text{ if } f_1(x) \geq f_2(x) \text{ and } f_2(x) \geq f_3(x) \text{ then } f_1(x) \geq f_3(x).$$

So we obtain that $C_0^+(X) = \{f \in C_0(X): f(x) \geq 0, \forall x \in X\}$ be an algebra cone which is make $C_0(X)$ an order Banach algebra (OBA). \square



Theorem3.4:- Let (A, C) be an order Banach algebra and C be a closed and α -normal cone. Then A is isomorphic to $C_0(X)$, if and only if for a in A there is a net $\{f_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ such that $f_\lambda a \rightarrow a$.

Proof:- let F be a set of extreme points of M_A .

By (Urysohn's Lemma) that there is a net $\{f_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ such that $f_\lambda a \rightarrow a$ satisfying for all $a \in A$.

Now let $X = F/\{0\}$ with w^* -topology.

Suppose that $C=A$. then for all $a, b \in A$ have $a \leq b$.

Since C is α -normal this a contradiction,

Thus $C \neq A$ and $C^* \neq \{0\}$,

so by lemma 2.3 $M_A^+ \neq \{0\}$, thus $X \neq \emptyset$,

So $X = F/\{0\}$ is a locally compact Hausdorff space.

Let $\gamma: C(X) \rightarrow A$ defined by $\gamma(f) = f(a)$ for all $f \in C(X)$.

Since f is character, γ is an algebra homomorphism.

And γ will be isomorphism to show that, let $a, b \in A$ such that $a \leq b$, so $\gamma(f)(b-a) = f(b-a) \geq 0$ for all $f \in C(X)$ and $\gamma(f)(b) - \gamma(f)(a) \in C^+(X, \mathbb{R})$,

$$\text{thus } \gamma(f)(b) \geq \gamma(f)(a).$$

Conversely, let $a, b \in A$ and $\gamma(f)(b) \geq \gamma(f)(a)$.

Then $f(b-a) \geq 0$, for all $f \in C(X)$,

so by (Klein- Mailman theorem) and since $M_A^+ = F$ is the w^* -closed convex hull and M_A^+ generates C^* ,

so $f(b-a) \geq 0$ for all $f \in C^*$ and $b-a \in C$ that by lemma 2.3 \square

Lemma3.5:- Let (A, C) be a real order Banach algebra with closed and normal C . Then A is an isomorphism to $C_0(X)$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:-

1. For $a, b \in A$ and $\|a\| = \|b\| = \alpha$, there exist $c \in A$ with $\|c\| = \alpha$ such that $c \geq a, b$ and $c \geq 0$
2. For $0 \leq a, b \leq 1, \alpha \geq 0$ and $\|a\| = \|b\| = \alpha$ Then $ab \leq a$ and $ab \leq b$
3. For every $x \in C$ there is two nets $\{f_{\lambda_1}\}_{\lambda_1 \in \Lambda}, \{f_{\lambda_2}\}_{\lambda_2 \in \Lambda}$ of positive elements and $\|f_{\lambda_1}\| \leq 1, \|f_{\lambda_2}\| \leq 1$ such that $\lim_n f_{\lambda_1} = f = \lim_n f_{\lambda_2}$, let C be an algebra cone. Then there exist a net $\{f_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ such that $f_\lambda a \rightarrow a$ satisfies for all $a \in A$.

Proof:- To prove that $C_0(X)$ satisfies condition (1), (2) and (3)

let $f_1, f_2 \in C_0(X)$ and $\|f_1\| = \|f_2\| = \alpha$, let $f_3 = \max\{|f_1|, |f_2|\}$

then $f_3 \in C_0(X)$ and $\|f_3\| = \alpha$ with $f_3 \geq f_1, f_2$ and $f_3 \geq 0$, so condition (1) satisfies.

If $f_1, f_2 \in C_0^+(X)$ i.e $f_1(x) \geq 0$ and $f_2(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in X$ and $\|f_1\| = \|f_2\| = \alpha$.

Then $(f_1 - f_1 f_2)(x) = (f_1(x) - f_1(x)f_2(x)) \geq 0$ for all $x \in X$.

Then $f_1 f_2 \leq f_1$. In the same way we obtain $f_1 f_2 \leq f_2$.

To show that condition (3) satisfies.

Let $k f_\lambda \cdot (1 + k f_\lambda)^{-1} \in C_0^+(X)$ and $\|k f_\lambda \cdot (1 + k f_\lambda)^{-1}\| \leq 1$,

$0 \leq k f_\lambda(x) \cdot (1 + k f_\lambda(x))^{-1} \leq \frac{1}{k}$ for each $x \in X, k \in \mathbb{N}$.



Therefore

$$\|f - f_\lambda(kf_\lambda \cdot (1 + kf_\lambda)^{-1})\| = \left\| \frac{f_\lambda(1+kf_\lambda) - kf_\lambda^2}{1+kf_\lambda} \right\| = \left\| \frac{f_\lambda + kf_\lambda^2 - kf_\lambda^2}{1+kf_\lambda} \right\| = \left\| \frac{f_\lambda}{1+kf_\lambda} \right\|$$

$$= \|f_\lambda(1 + kf_\lambda)^{-1}\| = \sup_{x \in X} \|f_\lambda(x)(1 + kf_\lambda(x))^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{k}.$$

It follows that $\lim_n f_\lambda(kf_\lambda \cdot (1 + kf_\lambda)^{-1}) = \lim_n (kf_\lambda \cdot (1 + kf_\lambda)^{-1}) \cdot f_\lambda$.

there is a net $\{f_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$, such that $f_\lambda a \rightarrow a$ satisfying for all $a \in A$.

Now to prove the sufficient part

i.e. Proved that $f_\lambda a \rightarrow a$ satisfying for all $a \in A$.

Observe the normality constant and Λ be the set of all positive elements λ with $\|\lambda\| = \alpha$ and let $f_\lambda = \lambda$ such that $\{f_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a net we first show that $f_\lambda a \rightarrow a$ for all $a \in A$ and $a \geq 0$. If $a = 0$ the equation directly, suppose $a \neq 0$. We will assume that $\|a\| = \alpha$, let $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$ from (3) there is $b \geq 0$ and $\|b\| \leq 1$ such that $\|a - ba\| < \varepsilon$ let $\lambda_1 = \|b\|^{-1}b$ and suppose that $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$ obtain $\lambda \geq \|b\|^{-1}b \geq b$ so $(\lambda - b)a \in C$ and thus $a - f_\lambda a = a - \lambda a \leq a - ba$.

From (2) obtain $f_\lambda a \leq a$, so $a - f_\lambda a \geq 0$

this shown $\|a - f_\lambda a\| \leq \sigma \|a - ba\| < \sigma \varepsilon$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$.

then with arbitrary ε obtain $f_\lambda a \rightarrow a$ for all $a \in A$ with $a \geq 0$.

Now when $a \in A$. If $a = 0$ the equation directly. Suppose $a \neq 0$, from (1) there exist $b \geq 0$ such that $b \geq -a\|a\|^{-1}$, $a\|a\|^{-1}$.

Let $a = a_1 - a_2$ such that $a_1 = \frac{1}{2}(b\|a\| + a)$ and $a_2 = \frac{1}{2}(b\|a\| - a)$. therefore $a_1, a_2 \geq 0$. Since $f_\lambda a \rightarrow a$ for all $a \in A$ with $a \geq 0$.

Then $\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f_\lambda a_1 = a_1$ and $\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f_\lambda a_2 = a_2$.

Thus $\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f_\lambda a = \lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f_\lambda (a_1 - a_2) = \lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f_\lambda a_1 - \lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f_\lambda a_2 = a_1 - a_2 = a$.

Then we obtain $f_\lambda a \rightarrow a$ for all $a \in A$ □

References

- [1] D.Holland "Banach Algebra Notes", Oregon State University .American, February 2015.
- [2] D.W. Robinson and S. Yammer, "Addition of an identity to an ordered Banach Space", J. Austral. Math. Soc. 35 (1983), 200-210.
- [3] H.Raubenheimer and S. Rode "Cone in Banach Algebra", M.Sc.thesis, University Of the Orange Free State, Indag.Mathem .N.S 7(4).489.502, 1996.
- [4] J.B. Conway, "A course in Functional Analysis", New York, 1990.
- [5] J.M. Erdman, "Lecture Notes on Operator Algebra", Portland state University, Ph.D. thesis, Portland State University, 2011.
- [6] R. de Jong, "Ordered Banach Algebras" Ms. Thesis, Leiden University, 2010.