



Pseudo-Slant Submanifolds of a Locally Decomposable Riemannian Manifold

Mehmet Atçeken, Süleyman Dirik, Ümit Yıldırım

Gaziosmanpasa University, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Department of Mathematics, 61000 Tokat/TURKEY
mehmet.atceken@gop.edu.tr

Amasya University, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Department of Statistic, 05200, Amasya/TURKEY
suleyman.dirik@amasya.edu.tr

Gaziosmanpasa University, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Department of Mathematics, 61000 Tokat/TURKEY
umit.yildirim@gop.edu.tr

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we study pseudo-slant submanifolds of a locally decomposable Riemannian manifold. We give necessary and sufficient conditions for distributions which are involved in the definition of pseudo-slant submanifold to be integrable. We search these type submanifolds with parallel canonical structures and we obtain some new results.

Keywords

Riemannian manifold; Riemannian space form; pseudo-slant submanifold.

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 53B25, 53C15, 53C42, 53C55.



Council for Innovative Research

Peer Review Research Publishing System

Journal: JOURNAL OF ADVANCES IN MATHEMATICS

Vol .11, No.8

www.cirjam.com, editorjam@gmail.com



1. INTRODUCTION

Study of slant submanifolds was initiated by B. Y. Chen [3,4], as a generalization of both holomorphic and totally real submanifolds of a Kähler manifold. Slant submanifolds have been studied in different kind structure such as almost contact, neutral Kähler, Lorentzian Sasakian and Sasakian by several geometers.

Semi-slant submanifolds of a Kähler manifold was introduced by N. Papaghič [5], as a natural generalization of slant submanifolds. After then, bi-slant submanifolds was worked in an almost Hermitian manifold.

Recently, A. Carriazo defined and studied bi-slant submanifolds in an almost Hermitian manifold and gave the some notions of pseudo-slant submanifold in an almost Hermitian manifold[2].

After then, V. A. Khan and M. A. Khan [7], defined and studied the contact versions of pseudo-slant submanifold in a Sasakian manifold.

H. M. Taştan and F. Özdemir studied the pseudo-slant submanifolds in a locally product Riemannian manifold. They obtained a basic inequality involving Ricci curvature and squared mean curvature of a pseudo-slant submanifold of a locally product Riemannian manifold[9].

The purpose of the present paper is to define and study pseudo-slant submanifolds in a locally decomposable Riemannian manifold and work integrability conditions of distributions these submanifolds and type submanifolds with parallel canonical structures. Moreover, an example is used demonstrate that the method presented in this paper is effective.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let M be an n – dimensional manifold with a tensor F of type $(1,1)$ such that $F^2 = I$, $F \neq \mp I$. In this case (M, F) is said to be an almost product structure F . Since $F^2 = I$, we can set

$$P = \frac{1}{2}(I + F), \quad Q = \frac{1}{2}(I - F),$$

then we have

$$P + Q = I, \quad P^2 = P, \quad Q^2 = Q, \quad PQ = QP = 0, \quad F = P - Q.$$

Thus P and Q define two complementary distributions. We easily see that the eigenvalues of F are 1 and -1 . An eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue 1 is in P , and an eigenvector corresponding to -1 is in Q . Thus if F has eigenvalue 1 of multiplicity p and eigenvalue -1 of multiplicity q , then the dimensions of P is p and that of Q is q .

Conversely, there exist in M two globally complementary distributions P and Q of dimensions p and q , respectively ($p + q = n$), then we can define an almost product structure F on M by $F = P - Q$.

If an almost product manifold (M, F) admits a Riemannian metric g such that

$$g(X, Y) = g(FX, FY) \tag{1}$$

for any vector fields X, Y on M , then M is called an almost product Riemannian manifold.

Moreover, If the almost Riemannian product structure F is parallel that is, $(\nabla_X F) = 0$, then (M, F, g) is called locally decomposable Riemannian manifold[10].

If $M_1(c_1)$ is a real space form with sectional curvature c_1 and $M_2(c_2)$ is a real space form with sectional curvature c_2 , then the Riemannian curvature tensor R of locally decomposable Riemannian manifold $M_1(c_1) \times M_2(c_2)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)Z = & \frac{1}{4}(c_1 + c_2)\{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + g(FY, Z)FX \\ & - g(FX, Z)FY\} + \frac{1}{4}(c_1 - c_2)\{g(FY, Z)X \\ & - g(FX, Z)Y + g(Y, Z)FX - g(X, Z)FY\} \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$



for any vector fields X, Y and Z on \tilde{M} .

In this section, we will recall the definitions and some notations used throughout this paper. For an arbitrary submanifold \tilde{M} of a Riemannian manifold M the Gauss and Weingarten formulas are, respectively, given by

$$\nabla_X Y = \tilde{\nabla}_X Y + h(X, Y) \quad (3)$$

and

$$\nabla_X Y = -A_V X + \nabla_X^\perp V, \quad (4)$$

for any vector fields X, Y tangent to \tilde{M} and V normal to \tilde{M} , where ∇ and $\tilde{\nabla}$ denote the Riemannian connections on M and \tilde{M} , respectively. On the other hand, $h: \Gamma(T\tilde{M}) \times \Gamma(T\tilde{M}) \rightarrow \Gamma(T^\perp\tilde{M})$ is the second fundamental form and $A_V: \Gamma(T\tilde{M}) \rightarrow \Gamma(T\tilde{M})$ is also the shape operator of \tilde{M} in M , where $\Gamma(T\tilde{M})$ denotes the differentiable vector fields set on \tilde{M} . ∇^\perp is the normal connection on the normal bundle $\Gamma(T^\perp\tilde{M})$. On the other hand, A_V and h are related by the formula

$$g(A_V X, Y) = g(h(X, Y), V), \quad (5)$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(T\tilde{M})$ and $V \in \Gamma(T^\perp\tilde{M})$.

If we denote the Riemannian curvature tensor of the connection ∇ by R then Gauss and Codazzi equations are, respectively, given by formulas

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)Z &= \tilde{R}(X, Y)Z + A_{h(X, Z)}Y - A_{h(Y, Z)}X \\ &\quad + (\nabla_X h)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y h)(X, Z), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

and

$$(R(X, Y)Z)^\perp = (\nabla_X h)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y h)(X, Z), \quad (7)$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(T\tilde{M})$, where $(R(X, Y)Z)^\perp$ denotes normal part of $R(X, Y)Z$. If $(R(X, Y)Z)^\perp = 0$, then the submanifold \tilde{M} is said to be curvature invariant.

Let \tilde{M} be a submanifold of a locally decomposable Riemannian manifold (M, F, g) . Then we can write

$$FX = fX + \omega X, \quad (8)$$

for any $X \in \Gamma(T\tilde{M})$, where fX and ωX denote the tangent and normal components of FX , respectively. In same way, we have

$$FV = BV + CV, \quad (9)$$

for any $V \in \Gamma(T^\perp\tilde{M})$, where BV and CV are also the tangent and normal components of FV , respectively. By using (8) and (9) and the properties of F , we obtain

$$f^2 + B\omega = I, \quad \omega f + C\omega = 0 \quad (10)$$

and

$$fB + BC = 0, \quad \omega B + C^2 = I. \quad (11)$$

If $f = 0$ (res. $\omega = 0$), \tilde{M} is said to be an anti-invariant (resp. an invariant) submanifold. Moreover, if

$f \neq 0$ and $\omega \neq 0$, then \tilde{M} is called semi-invariant submanifold.



3. PSEUDO-SLANT SUBMANIFOLDS

Let \tilde{M} be a submanifold of a locally decomposable Riemannian manifold (M, F, g) . A distribution D on \tilde{M} is said to be a slant if for $X \in D_p$ the angle θ between FX and D_p is constant, that is, it is independent of $p \in M$ and $X \in D_p$. The constant angle θ is called the slant angle of the distribution. So a submanifold \tilde{M} of M is said to be a slant submanifold if the tangent bundle $T\tilde{M}$ of \tilde{M} is slant[8].

Thus invariant and anti invariant submanifolds are special cases of slant submanifolds.

Definition 3.1. Let \tilde{M} be a submanifold of a locally decomposable Riemannian manifold (M, F, g) . \tilde{M} is said to be pseudo-slant of M if there exist two distributions D^\perp and D^θ on \tilde{M} such that

- i) $T\tilde{M}$ has the orthogonal direct decomposition $T\tilde{M} = D^\perp \oplus D^\theta$
- ii) The distribution D^\perp is an anti-invariant, that is, $F(D^\perp) \subseteq T^\perp\tilde{M}$,
- iii) The distribution D^θ is a slant distribution with slant angle θ .

Next, we denote p and q the dimensions of D^\perp and D^θ , respectively, then we have the following classifications;

- i) If $p = 0$, then \tilde{M} is an anti-invariant submanifold.
- ii) If $q = 0$ and $\theta = 0$, then \tilde{M} is invariant submanifold.
- iii) If $q = 0$ and $\theta \neq \{0, \frac{\pi}{2}\}$, then \tilde{M} is a proper slant submanifold.
- iv) If $pq \neq 0$ and $\theta = 0$, then \tilde{M} is semi-invariant submanifold.
- v) If $pq \neq 0$ and $\theta \neq \{0, \frac{\pi}{2}\}$, then \tilde{M} is a pseudo-slant submanifold[7].

Now, let \tilde{M} be a pseudo-slant submanifold of a locally decomposable Riemannian manifold (M, F, g) and we denote the orthogonal complementary of $F(D^\perp)$ and $F(D^\theta)$ in $T^\perp\tilde{M}$ by ν , then we have direct sum

$$T^\perp\tilde{M} = F(D^\perp) \oplus F(D^\theta) \oplus \nu. \quad (12)$$

We note that $F(D^\perp)$ and $F(D^\theta)$ are mutually orthogonal distributions in normal bundle because D^\perp and D^θ are orthogonal distributions.

The following theorem characterize pseudo-slant submanifolds of in a locally product Riemannian manifolds.

Theorem 3.1. Let \tilde{M} be a submanifold of a locally Riemannian product manifold (M, F, g) . Then \tilde{M} is a slant submanifold if and only if there exists a constant $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ such that $f^2 = \lambda I$. In this case, if θ is the slant angle of \tilde{M} , then it satisfies $\lambda = \cos^2 \theta$.

Theorem 3.1. Let \tilde{M} be a submanifold of a locally decomposable Riemannian manifold (M, F, g) . Then

\tilde{M} is a pseudo-slant submanifold if and only if there exists a constant $\lambda \in (0, 1)$

and a distribution D^θ on \tilde{M} such that

- i) $D^\theta = \{X \in T\tilde{M} \mid B\omega X = \lambda X\}$
- ii) For $X \in T\tilde{M}$ orthogonal to D^θ , $B\omega X = X$. Furthermore, if θ is slant angle, it satisfies $\lambda = \sin^2 \theta$.



Proof. We suppose that \tilde{M} is a pseudo-slant submanifold. Then for $X \in D^\theta$, from Theorem 3.1 and (10), we obtain $B\omega X = \sin^2 \theta X$, i.e., i) is satisfied. For $X \in \Gamma(T\tilde{M})$ orthogonal to D^θ , $f^2 X = 0$, also it implies that $B\omega X = X$. So ii) is also satisfied.

Conversely, the conditions i) and ii) are satisfied. We put $\lambda = \sin^2 \theta$, from (1) and (10), for $X \in D$, we obtain $f^2 X = X \cos^2 \theta$ which proves D is a slant distribution. We denote the orthogonal distribution of D in \tilde{M} by D^\perp , for $X \in D^\perp$, from ii), we can easily see $B\omega X = X$, that is, $f^2 X = 0$. This tells us D^\perp is an anti-invariant distribution.

Now, we will give an example to show that we work on space is nonempty.

Example 3.1. We consider the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^6 = \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ with usual Riemannian metric and Riemannian product structure F .

Let \tilde{M} be submanifold of \mathbb{R}^6 defined by

$$x(u, v, s, t) = (\sqrt{13}t, 2u + v + 3s + t, u + 2v - 3s - 2t, u + v - 3t, -u - 3s - 3t, v - 3s).$$

Then the tangent bundle of \tilde{M} are spanned by the vectors

$$e_1 = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_5}, \quad e_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_6},$$

$$e_3 = 3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} - 3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} - 3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_5} - 3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_6}, \quad e_4 = \sqrt{13} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} - 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} - 3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} - 3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_5}$$

where $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6)$ are usual coordinates of \mathbb{R}^6 and $\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right\}$, $1 \leq i \leq 6$ are standard basis vector fields of

E^6 . Then we can easily see that

$$Fe_1 = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_5}, \quad Fe_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_6},$$

$$Fe_3 = 3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} - 3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} + 3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_5} + 3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_6}, \quad Fe_4 = \sqrt{13} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} - 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} + 3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} + 3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_5}.$$

According to the product structure F and the usual metric tensor g of \mathbb{R}^6 , we obtain

$$g(Fe_3, e_1) = g(Fe_3, e_2) = g(Fe_3, e_3) = g(Fe_3, e_4) = 0,$$

$$g(Fe_4, e_1) = g(Fe_4, e_2) = g(Fe_4, e_3) = g(Fe_4, e_4) = 0$$

and

$$\cos \theta = \frac{g(Fe_1, e_1)}{\|Fe_1\| \|e_1\|} = \frac{g(Fe_1, e_2)}{\|Fe_1\| \|e_2\|} = \frac{g(Fe_2, e_2)}{\|Fe_2\| \|e_2\|} = \frac{3}{7}.$$

Thus the slant distribution $D^\theta = \text{Span}\{e_1, e_2\}$ and anti-invariant distribution $D^\perp = \text{Span}\{e_3, e_4\}$, that is, \tilde{M} is a 4-dimensional pseudo-slant submanifold of \mathbb{R}^6 with usual almost Riemannian product structure F and metric tensor g .

Let \tilde{M} be a pseudo-slant submanifold of a locally decomposable Riemannian manifold (M, F, g) . Then we have

$$\nabla_X FY = F \nabla_X Y$$

$$\nabla_X fY + \nabla_X \omega Y = F \tilde{\nabla}_X Y + Fh(X, Y)$$

$$h(X, fY) + \tilde{\nabla}_X fY - A_{\omega Y} X + \nabla_X^\perp \omega Y = f \tilde{\nabla}_X Y + \omega \tilde{\nabla}_X Y + Bh(X, Y) + Ch(X, Y) \quad (13)$$



for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(T\tilde{M})$. Corresponding the tangent and normal components of (13), we get

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X f)Y = A_{\omega Y}X + Bh(X, Y) \quad (14)$$

and

$$(\nabla_X \omega)Y = -h(X, fY) + Ch(X, Y). \quad (15)$$

In the same way, for any $X \in \Gamma(T\tilde{M})$ and $V \in \Gamma(T^\perp\tilde{M})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X FV &= F\nabla_X V \\ \nabla_X BV + \nabla_X CV &= F(-A_V X) + F\nabla_X^\perp V \\ h(X, BV) + \tilde{\nabla}_X BV - A_{CV}X + \nabla_X^\perp CV &= -fA_V X - \omega A_V X + B\nabla_X^\perp V + C\nabla_X^\perp V, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$(\nabla_X B)V = A_{CV}X - fA_V X \quad (16)$$

and

$$(\nabla_X C)V = -\omega A_V X - h(X, BV). \quad (17)$$

On the other hand, for any $Z, W \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$, from (14), we have

$$-A_{FW}Z = f\nabla_W Z + Bh(Z, W),$$

that is,

$$F[Z, W] = A_{FZ}W - A_{FW}Z \quad (18)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g(A_{FW}Z - A_{FZ}W, U) &= g(h(Z, U), FW) - g(h(W, U), FZ) \\ &= g(\nabla_U Z, FW) - g(\nabla_U W, FZ) \\ &= -g(h(W, U), FZ) + g(\nabla_U FZ, W) \\ &= -g(A_{FZ}W, U) - g(A_{FZ}W, U) \\ &= -2g(A_{FZ}W, U) \end{aligned}$$

which proves

$$A_{FW}Z = -A_{FZ}W \quad (19)$$

for all $U \in \Gamma(T\tilde{M})$. From (18) and (19), we conclude that

$$f[Z, W] = 2A_{FZ}W. \quad (20)$$

Thus we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. Let \tilde{M} be a pseudo-slant submanifold of a locally decomposable Riemannian manifold (M, F, g) .

The orthogonal distribution D^\perp is integrable if and only if

$$A_{FD^\perp}D^\perp = 0.$$

Theorem 3.4. Let \tilde{M} be a pseudo-slant submanifold of a locally decomposable Riemannian manifold

(M, F, g) . The slant distribution D^θ is integrable if and only if the second fundamental form h of \tilde{M} satisfies the condition

$$h(X, fY) - h(fX, Y) \in \Gamma(\nu \oplus \omega(D^\theta)) \quad (21)$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma(D^\theta)$.



Proof. For $X, Y \in \Gamma(D^\theta)$ and $Z \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g([X, Y], Z) &= g(\nabla_X Y, Z) - g(\nabla_Y X, Z) \\ &= g(\nabla_Y Z, X) - g(\nabla_X Z, Y) \\ &= g(\nabla_Y FZ, FX) - g(\nabla_X FZ, FY) \\ &= g(\nabla_Y \omega Z, fX) + g(\nabla_Y \omega Z, \omega X) \\ &\quad - g(\nabla_X \omega Z, fY) - g(\nabla_X \omega Z, \omega Y) \\ &= -g(A_{\omega Z} Y, fX) + g(A_{\omega Z} X, fY) \\ &\quad + g((\nabla_Y \omega)Z + \omega(\nabla_Y Z), \omega X) \\ &\quad - g((\nabla_X \omega)Z + \omega(\nabla_X Z), \omega Y). \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account of ν and ωD^θ being mutually orthogonal and (15), we arrive

$$\begin{aligned} g([X, Y], Z) &= g(h(X, fY), \omega Z) - g(h(Y, fX), \omega Z) \\ &\quad + \sin^2 \theta g(\nabla_Y Z, X) - \sin^2 \theta g(\nabla_X Z, Y) \\ &= g(h(X, fY) - h(Y, fX), \omega Z) \\ &\quad + \sin^2 \theta g(\nabla_X Y, Z) - \sin^2 \theta g(\nabla_Y X, Z) \\ &= g(h(X, fY) - h(Y, fX), \omega Z) + \sin^2 \theta g([X, Y], Z), \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\cos^2 \theta g([X, Y], Z) = g(h(X, fY) - h(Y, fX), \omega Z),$$

which proves our assertion.

Theorem 3.5. Let \tilde{M} be a pseudo-slant submanifold of a locally decomposable Riemannian manifold (M, F, g) . \tilde{M} is a mixed geodesic submanifold if and only if

$$A_{\omega BV} Z + A_{\omega Z} BV \in \Gamma(D^\perp) \quad (22)$$

for $Z \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$ and $V \in \Gamma(T^\perp \tilde{M})$.

Proof. For any $X \in \Gamma(D^\theta)$ and $Z \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(A_V X, Z) &= g(\nabla_X Z, V) = g(\nabla_X FZ, FV) \\ &= g(\nabla_X \omega Z, BV) + g(\nabla_X \omega Z, CV) \\ &= g(\nabla_X \omega Z, BV) + g((\nabla_X \omega)Z + \omega \nabla_X Z, CV). \end{aligned}$$

Making use of C being symmetric and (17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g(A_V Z, X) &= -g(A_{\omega Z} BV, X) + g(Ch(X, Z), CV) \\ &= g(A_{C^2 V} Z - A_{\omega Z} BV, X) \\ &= g(A_V Z - A_{\omega BV} Z - A_{\omega Z} BV, X) \end{aligned}$$

which proves our assertion.



In a pseudo-slant submanifold, slant and anti-invariant distributions are totally geodesic in submanifold, pseudo-slant submanifold is called pseudo-slant product.

Theorem 3.6. Let \tilde{M} be a pseudo-slant submanifold of a locally decomposable Riemannian manifold (M, F, g) . \tilde{M} is a pseudo-slant product if and only if the shape operator A of \tilde{M} satisfies

$$A_{F(D^\perp)} f(D^\theta) = 0. \quad (23)$$

Proof. By using (3), (4) and (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_Z U, X) &= g(\nabla_Z FU, FX) \\ &= g(\nabla_Z \omega U, fX) + g(\nabla_Z \omega U, \omega X) \\ &= -g(A_{\omega Z} fX) + g((\nabla_Z \omega)U + \omega(\nabla_Z U), \omega X) \\ &= -g(h(Z, fX), \omega U) + g(\omega \nabla_Z U, \omega X) \end{aligned}$$

for any $U, Z \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$ and $X \in \Gamma(D^\theta)$. This implies that

$$\cos^2 \theta g(\nabla_Z U, X) = -g(h(Z, fX), \omega U) = -g(A_{\omega Z} fX, Z). \quad (24)$$

Furthermore, by a direct calculation, we reach

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_X Z, Y) &= g(\nabla_X FZ, FY) \\ &= g(\nabla_X \omega Z, fY) + g(\nabla_X \omega Z, \omega Y) \\ &= -g(A_{\omega X} fY) + g((\nabla_X \omega)Z + \omega(\nabla_X Z), \omega Y) \\ &= -g(h(X, fY), \omega Z) + g(\omega \nabla_X Z, \omega Y) \end{aligned}$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D^\theta)$ and $Z \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$. So we have

$$\cos^2 \theta g(\nabla_X Z, Y) = -g(A_{\omega X} fY, X). \quad (25)$$

Combining (24) and (25), we conclude that \tilde{M} is a pseudo-slant product if and only if (23) is satisfied.

Theorem 3.7. Let \tilde{M} be a pseudo-slant submanifold of a locally decomposable Riemannian manifold (M, F, g) . The tensor field ω is parallel if and only if shape operator A_V satisfies

$$A_V Y = \sec^2 \theta A_{CV} fY$$

for any $Y \in \Gamma(T\tilde{M})$ and $V \in \Gamma(T^\perp \tilde{M})$.

Proof. If ω is parallel, from (15), we have

$$Ch(X, Y) - h(X, fY) = 0,$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(T\tilde{M})$. This implies

$$Ch(X, fY) - \cos^2 \theta h(X, Y) = 0.$$

Thus we have

$$g(Ch(X, fY), V) - \cos^2 \theta g(h(X, Y), V) = 0$$

for any $V \in \Gamma(T^\perp \tilde{M})$. This is equivalent to

$$A_V Y = \sec^2 \theta A_{CV} fY.$$



The converse is obvious.

For pseudo-slant submanifold \tilde{M} in M , \tilde{M} is called D^\perp -geodesic if $h(X, Y) = 0$ for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$.

Theorem 3.8. Let \tilde{M} be a pseudo-slant submanifold of a locally decomposable Riemannian manifold (M, F, g) . If the tensor field f is parallel on \tilde{M} , then \tilde{M} is a D^\perp -geodesic submanifold.

Proof. If f is parallel, then from (14), we have

$$A_{\omega X}Y + Bh(X, Y) = 0$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$. Taking into account of h -being symmetric and (19), we conclude $A_{\omega X}Y = Bh(X, Y) = 0$ and $f\nabla_X Y = 0$ i.e., $\tilde{\nabla}_X Y \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$. Thus we have $g(F\nabla_X Y, V) = g(\nabla_X Y, FV) = g(h(X, Y), CV) = 0$, for any $V \in \nu$. This implies that $Ch(X, Y) = 0$. So \tilde{M} is D^\perp -geodesic submanifold.

Theorem 3.9. Let \tilde{M} be a pseudo-slant submanifold of a locally decomposable Riemannian manifold (M, F, g) . If the tensor field C is parallel, then \tilde{M} is D^\perp -geodesic submanifold.

Proof. Since C is parallel, from (17), we reach

$$\omega A_V X + h(X, BV) = 0,$$

for $X \in \Gamma(T\tilde{M})$ and $V \in \Gamma(T^\perp\tilde{M})$. Here, taking $V = FY = \omega Y$ for $Y \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$, we have $\omega A_{\omega Y} X + h(X, B\omega Y) = 0$. Making use of (19), we arrive at

$$h(X, B\omega Y) + h(B\omega X, Y) = 0,$$

$$X \in \Gamma(D^\perp).$$

On the other hand, because of $B\omega Y = Y - f^2 Y = Y$, we get $h(X, Y) = 0$. This proves our assertion.

By using (15) and (16), we get

$$g((\nabla_X B)V, Y) = g((\nabla_X \omega)Y, V),$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma(T\tilde{M})$ and $V \in \Gamma(T^\perp\tilde{M})$.

Theorem 3.10. Let \tilde{M} be a pseudo-slant submanifold of a locally decomposable Riemannian manifold (M, F, g) . If the tensor field B is parallel, then linear map

$$C^2 : V \rightarrow V$$

has either h -eigenvector with $\cos^2 \theta$ eigenvalue or \tilde{M} is totally geodesic submanifold in \tilde{M} .

Proof. Since B is parallel, by using (16), we have

$$A_{CV}X = fA_V X, \tag{27}$$

$X \in \Gamma(T\tilde{M})$ and $V \in \Gamma(T^\perp\tilde{M})$. Substituting (27) into $V = FY = \omega Y$ for $Y \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$, we get $fA_{\omega Y}X = 0$, that is, $A_{\omega Y}X \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$. Thus $g(h(X, fZ), \omega Y) = 0$, which implies $h(X, fZ) \in \Gamma(\nu)$, for $X, Z \in \Gamma(T\tilde{M})$. Taking into (27), we conclude

$$C^2 h(X, Z) = Ch(X, fZ) = h(X, f^2 Z) = \cos^2 \theta h(X, Z).$$

This tells us that linear map C^2 has either eigenvector h with eigenvalue $\cos^2 \theta$ or it is totally geodesic submanifold.

Theorem 3.11. Let \tilde{M} be a pseudo-slant submanifold of a locally decomposable Riemannian manifold (M, F, g) . If the tensor field C is parallel, then \tilde{M} is a pseudo-slant minimal submanifold.



Proof. Since C is parallel, from (17), we have

$$h(X, BH) + \omega A_H X = 0, \quad (28)$$

for $X \in \Gamma(T\tilde{M})$, where H denotes the mean curvature tensor of \tilde{M} in M . Thus (28) implies

$$g(\omega A_H X, H) + g(h(X, BH), H) = 2g(h(X, BH), H) = 0.$$

Here we suppose that $H \neq 0$ and $BH = 0$. Then

$$\omega A_{CH} X + h(X, BCH) = 0,$$

from (11), we mean $\omega A_{CH} X = 0$, which from

$$g(A_{CH} X, Y) = g(h(X, Y), CH) = 0.$$

This proves $CH = 0$. The proof is complete.

4. PSEUDO-SLANT SUBMANIFOLD IN LOCALLY DECOMPOSABLE RIEMANNIAN SPACE FORMS

In this section, we have researched pseudo-slant submanifolds in product Riemannian space forms.

Theorem 4. 1. Let \tilde{M} be a pseudo-slant submanifold of locally decomposable Riemannian space form $M_1(c_1) \times M_2(c_2)$. If \tilde{M} is a curvature-invariant pseudo-slant submanifold, then \tilde{M} is a proper slant submanifold.

Proof. We suppose that \tilde{M} is curvature-invariant pseudo-slant submanifold of a $M_1(c_1) \times M_2(c_2)$. From (2) and (6), we have

$$(\nabla_X h)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y h)(X, Z) = \frac{1}{4}(c_1 + c_2)g(FY, Z)\omega X + \frac{1}{4}(c_1 - c_2)g(Y, Z)\omega X = 0,$$

for any $X \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$ and $Y, Z \in \Gamma(D^\theta)$. This implies that

$$\{(c_1 - c_2)g(Y, Z) + (c_1 + c_2)g(FY, Z)\}\omega X = 0 \quad (29)$$

and

$$\{(c_1 - c_2)g(FY, Z) + (c_1 + c_2)g(Y, Z)\}\omega X = 0. \quad (30)$$

From the solutions of (29) and (30), we conclude the $g(Y, Z)\omega X = 0$. This tell us \tilde{M} is a proper slant submanifold.

Now, let $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_p, e_{p+1}, e_{p+2}, \dots, e_{p+q}\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\Gamma(T\tilde{M})$ such that $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_p\}$ are basis vectors of $\Gamma(D^\theta)$ and $\{e_{p+1}, e_{p+2}, \dots, e_{p+q}\}$ are basis vectors $\Gamma(D^\perp)$. We denote the Riemannian curvature and Ricci tensors of \tilde{M} by \tilde{R} and S , respectively, by using (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(X, Y)Z &= \frac{1}{4}(c_1 + c_2)\{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + g(FY, Z)FX \\ &\quad - g(FX, Z)FY\} + \frac{1}{4}(c_1 - c_2)\{g(FY, Z)X \\ &\quad - g(FX, Z)Y + g(Y, Z)FX - g(X, Z)FY\} \\ &\quad + A_{h(Y, Z)}X - A_{h(X, Z)}Y + (\nabla_Y h)(X, Z) - (\nabla_X h)(Y, Z), \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

and



$$\begin{aligned}
S(X, Y) &= \frac{1}{4}(c_1 + c_2)\{(p + q - 1 - \cos^2 \theta)g(X, Y) + \text{tr}(f)g(fX, Y)\} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4}(c_1 - c_2)\{(p + q - 2)g(fX, Y) + \text{tr}(f)g(X, Y)\} \\
&\quad + (p + q)g(h(X, Y), H) - \sum_{i,j=1}^{p+q} g(h(e_i, X), h(e_j, Y)).
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Also, scalar curvature σ of \tilde{M} is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma &= \frac{1}{4}(c_1 + c_2)\{(p + q - 1 - \cos^2 \theta)(p + q) + \text{tr}^2(f)\} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4}(c_1 - c_2)\{(p + q - 2)\text{tr}(f) + (p + q)\text{tr}(f)\} \\
&\quad + (p + q)^2 \|H\|^2 - \|h\|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

From (23) and (31), we have following Theorem.

Theorem 4. 2. Let \tilde{M} be a pseudo-slant submanifold of a locally decomposable Riemannian space form $M = M_1(c_1) \times M_2(c_2)$. If \tilde{M} is a totally geodesic submanifold, then $\tilde{M} = \tilde{M}_1(c_1) \times \tilde{M}_2(c_2)$, where $\tilde{M}_1(c_1)$ is a real space form of constant curvature c_1 and $\tilde{M}_2(c_2)$ is a real space form of constant curvature c_2 .

Theorem 4. 3. Let \tilde{M} be a $(p + q)$ -dimensional pseudo-slant minimal submanifold of a $2m$ -dimensional locally decomposable Riemannian space form $M = M_1(c) \times M_2(c)$. Then \tilde{M} is a totally geodesic submanifold if and only if \tilde{M} satisfies one of the following conditions;

- i) \tilde{M} is a Riemannian product manifold of two $M^p(c)$ and $M^q(c)$.
- ii) $S = \frac{1}{2}c\{(p + q - 1 - \cos^2 \theta)g(.,.) + \text{tr}(f)g(f.,.)\}$,
- iii) $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}c\{(p + q - 1 - \cos^2 \theta)(p + q) + \text{tr}^2(f)\}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ateken, M. and Hui, S.K. 2009. Slant and pseudo-slant submanifolds in $(LCS)_n$ -manifolds. Czechoslovak Math. J. 63, 177-190.
- [2] Carriazo, A. 2002. New developments in slant submanifolds theory, Narasa Publishing House New Delhi. India.
- [3] Chen, B.Y. 1990. Geometry of slant submanifolds. Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Leuven.
- [4] Chen, B.Y. 1990. Slant immersions. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 41(1), 135-147.
- [5] Papaghuic, N. 1994. Semi-slant submanifolds of a Kaehlerian manifold, An. St. Univ. Al. I. Cuza. Univ. Iasi. 40 55-61.
- [6] De, U. C and Sarkar A. 2011. On pseudo-slant submanifolds of trans Sasakian manifolds. Proceedings of th Estonian A.S.60,1-11.2011.doi:10.3176\ proc.2011.1.01.
- [7] Khan,V. A. and Khan,M. A. 2007. Pseudo-slant submanifolds of a Sasakian manifold, Indian J. prue appl. Math. 38,31-42.
- [8] Lotta, A. 1996. Slant submanifolds in contact geometry, Bulletin Mathematical Society Roumanie. 39,183-198.
- [9] Tastan, H. M and zdemir, F. 2015. The geometry of hemi-slant submanifolds of a locally product Riemannian manifold, Turk. J. Math.(39)(2015). doi:10.3906 \ mat1407-18.
- [10] Yano, Y. and Kon, M. 1984. Structures on manifolds. Ser. Prue Math. World Scientific.