



## Multi $G$ –cyclic Operators are $G$ -cyclic

Zeana Z. Jamil

University of Baghdad – College of Science – Dept. of Mathematics  
Zeana.zaki@scbaghdad.edu.iq

### ABSTRACT

Paris proved in 2001 Herrero's conjectured "that every multi-hypercyclic (multi- supercyclic) operator on a Hilbert space is in fact hypercyclic (supercyclic)".

In this paper we study this conjectured in many cases of operators between hypercyclic operators and supercyclic operators. And we proved that multi  $G$ -cyclic operator is  $G$ -cyclic operator.

### Keywords

Hypercyclic operators; supercyclic operators.

### SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 47A16, 47B37.



# Council for Innovative Research

Peer Review Research Publishing System

**Journal:** Journal of Advances in Mathematics

Vol 8, No 3

[editor@cirjam.org](mailto:editor@cirjam.org)

[www.cirjam.com](http://www.cirjam.com), [www.cirworld.com](http://www.cirworld.com)



## INTRODUCTION:

Let  $H$  be an infinite dimensional separable complex Hilbert space, and let  $B(H)$  be the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $H$ . Let  $T \in B(H)$ , it is called hypercyclic if there is a vector  $x$  in  $H$  such that the set  $orb(T, x) := \{T^n x; n \geq 0\}$  is norm-dense in  $H$  [1]. An operator  $T$  is supercyclic if there exists an  $x \in H$  such that  $Sorb(T, x) := \{\alpha T^n x; n \geq 0, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}\}$  is norm-dense in  $H$  [1].

$T$  is called multi-hypercyclic if there is a set of vectors  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$  in  $H$  such that the set  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n orb(T, x_i)$  is norm-dense in  $H$  [2]. An operator  $T$  is multi-supercyclic if there exists a set of vectors  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$  in  $H$  such that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n Sorb(T, x_i)$  is norm-dense in  $H$  [2].

In 1999, Herrero conjectured that every multi-hypercyclic (multi-supercyclic) operator is hypercyclic (supercyclic) [1]. Peris in 2001 settle this conjecture in the affirmative even for continuous linear operator [2].

In 2005, Naoum and Jamil introduced  $G$ -cyclic operator, an operator  $T$  is called  $G$ -cyclic over a multiplication semigroup of  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $1$ , if there exists a vector  $x$  such that  $Gorb(T, x) := \{\alpha T^n x; n \geq 0, \alpha \in S\}$  is norm dense in  $H$ , such a vector is called  $G$ -cyclic vector for  $T$  over  $S$  [3].

It is easy to see that every hypercyclic operator is  $G$ -cyclic operator, and every  $G$ -cyclic operator is supercyclic

In this paper we introduce the concept multi  $G$ -cyclic operator,  $T$  is called multi  $G$ -cyclic operator if there exists a finite set of vectors  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$  in  $H$  such that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n Gorb(T, x_i)$  is norm-dense in  $H$ . We prove that every multi  $G$ -cyclic operator is  $G$ -cyclic operator.

### Main result:

First we need the following lemmas. Since every  $G$ -cyclic operator is supercyclic then we get:

#### Lemma 1:

If  $T \in B(H)$  is multi  $G$ -cyclic, then  $T^*$  has at most one eigenvalue

#### Lemma 2:

Let  $T \in B(H)$ , then  $\text{int}[\overline{Gorb(T, x)}] \cap \text{int}[\overline{Gorb(T, y)}] \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $\text{int}[\overline{Gorb(T, x)}] = \text{int}[\overline{Gorb(T, y)}]$ .

#### Proof:

$\Leftarrow$ ) Clearly

$\Rightarrow$ ) Since  $\text{int}[\overline{Gorb(T, x)}] \cap \text{int}[\overline{Gorb(T, y)}] \neq \emptyset$ , we find  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$\alpha T^n x \in \text{int}[\overline{Gorb(T, x)}] \cap \text{int}[\overline{Gorb(T, y)}] \subseteq \overline{Gorb(T, y)}$ . Since  $\overline{Gorb(T, y)}$  is  $T$ -invariant, then  $\alpha T^k x \in \overline{Gorb(T, y)}$ ,

for all  $k \geq n$ . Thus  $\text{int}[\overline{Gorb(T, x)}] = \text{int}[\overline{\{\alpha T^k x | \alpha \in S, k \geq n\}}] \subseteq \text{int}[\overline{Gorb(T, y)}]$  By the same argument we get the other inclusion.

Before we prove our main result, we list without proof some facts which will be frequently used in the proof.

#### Observations 3:

1. Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $F_1, \dots, F_n$  a finite family of closed subsets of  $X$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n F_i$ . If  $\text{int}(F_1) = \emptyset$  then  $X = \bigcup_{i=2}^n F_i$ .
2. Let  $X$  be a topological space,  $A \subset X$ , and  $F$  a closed subset of  $X$  with  $\text{int}(F) = \emptyset$ . Then  $\text{int}(\overline{A}) = \text{int}(A - \overline{F})$ .
3. If  $D$  is a complex Hausdorff local convex space  $A$  and  $U$  a proper subspace, then  $D - A$  is connected.
4. Any subspace of  $H$  containing a non-empty open set must be all of  $H$ .
5. Let  $P$  be a complex polynomial,  $P(T)$  has dense range if and only if  $P(\lambda) \neq 0$  for every eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $T^*$ .



6. Now we are ready to state and prove the main theorem.

#### Theorem 4:

If  $T \in B(H)$  is multi  $G$ -cyclic operator, then  $T$  is  $G$ -cyclic operator.

#### Proof

Since  $T$  is multi  $G$ -cyclic, then there exists a finite set  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset H$  such that  $H = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \overline{Gorbt(T, x_i)}$  and  $n$  is minimal. We define  $F_i = \overline{Gorbt(T, x_i)}$ ;  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . If  $n = 1$ ,  $T$  is  $G$ -cyclic. Now, if  $n > 1$ , then by ((3), part (1)) and the minimality of  $n$ ,  $int(F_i) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $i$ ;  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . By (2) given any  $u \in H$  with  $int[\overline{Gotbt(T, y)}]$  is not empty.

$$int[\overline{Gotbt(T, y)}] = int F_i \text{ for some } i; 1 \leq i \leq n. \quad (1)$$

Moreover,

$$int[\overline{Gotbt(T, y)}] \subseteq int[\overline{Gotbt(T, y)}] \quad (2)$$

Otherwise, there are  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\alpha \in S$  such that  $\alpha T^m y \in F_i$  for some  $j \neq i$ . And by ((3), part (2)),  $int F_i = int[\overline{Gorbt(T, y)}] = int[\{\alpha T^k y | \alpha \in S, k \geq m\}]$ , thus  $int F_i \subseteq int F_j$ , a contradiction. Since  $T^*$  has one possible eigenvalue, say  $\lambda$ , then let  $P \neq 0$  be a polynomial in  $T$  such that  $P(\lambda) \neq 0$ . By ((3), part (5))  $P(T)$  has dense range, thus  $H = P(T)(H) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n P(T)(F_i)$ . Since  $P(T)(F_i) = \overline{Gorbt(T, P(T)x_i)}$  and the minimality of  $n$ , then  $int[\overline{Gorbt(T, P(T)x_i)}] \neq \emptyset$  for all  $i$ ;  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . So by  $\{T^n P(T)x_i | n \geq 0\} \subseteq int[\overline{Gorbt(T, P(T)x_i)}] \stackrel{(1)}{=} int[F_{j(i)}]$ , with  $j(i) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then  $C := \bigcup_{P(\lambda) \neq 0} \overline{orbt(T, P(T)x_i)} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n int(F_i)$ . Now observe that  $C = \overline{span orbt(T, x_1) - (T - \lambda I)(H)}$ . Thus by ((3), part (3))  $C$  is connected, hence  $C \subseteq int(F_1)$ . By ((3), part (4))  $C$  is dense, then  $H = \overline{C} \subseteq F_1$ . Therefore  $T$  is  $G$ -cyclic operator.

#### References:

- [1] D. A. Herrero, Hypercyclic operators and chaos, J. Operator Theory 28 (1992), pp. 93–103
- [2] Peris, Multi-hypercyclic operators are hypercyclic, Math. Z. 236, (2001), pp. 779–786
- [3] G. Naoum, Z. Z. Jamil,  $G$ -cyclicity, Journal of Al-Nahrain University, 8(2), (2005), pp. 103-108.