

AN EFFICIENT SYNTHESIS OF GEM-DIHYDROPEROXIDES AND 1,2,4,5-TETRAOXANES CATALYZED BYCHLOROSULFONIC ACIDAS A NEW CATALYST

Kaveh Khosravi * Atefeh Asgari

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of science, Arak University, Arak 38156-8-8349, Iran

khosravi.kaveh@gmail.com and k-khosravi@araku.ac.ir

*Corresponding author: E-mail: k-khosravi@araku.ac.ir; Tel: +9808632777400 - 4

ABSTRACT

Chlorosulfonic acid was used as an active, low-cost and reusable solid catalyst for conversion of ketones and aldehydes to corresponding gem-dihydroperoxides using 30% aqueous hydrogen peroxide at room temperature. The reactions proceed with high rates and excellent yields.

Keywords

Gem-dihydroperoxide; Aldehyde; Ketones; Chlorosulfonic acid; Hydrogen peroxide.

Academic Discipline And Sub-Disciplines

Organic chemistry

SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION

Synthetic Organic Chemistry



Council for Innovative Research

Peer Review Research Publishing System

Journal: Journal of Advances in Chemistry

Vol. 11, No. 3 editorjaconline@gmail.com

www.cirjac.com



1. INTRODUCTION

Gem-dihydroperoxides (DHPs) which are interested closely durable peroxidic derivatives of ketones and aldehydes, have important roles in synthesis of peroxidic antimalarial drugs [1, 2, 3]. Furthermore, gem-dihydroperoxides are critical fundamental intermediates in synthesis of some categories of peroxides as well as tetraoxanes [4-6], silateraoxans [7], spirobisperoxyketals [8,9], bisperoxyketals [10], and 1,2,4,5- tetraoxacycloalkanes [11,12]. Also, similar to other peroxides such as 3-chloropebenzoic acid, gem-dihydroperoxides have been utilized as the initiators in radical polymerization procedures [13]. Additionally, newly, these compounds have been employed as the powerful oxidants in several organic reactions such as epoxidation of α,β -unsaturated ketones [14,15,] oxidation of sulfides [16-17], oxidation of alcohols [18], enantios elective oxidation of 2-substituted-1,4-naphtoquinones [19] oxidative aromatization of 2-pyrazolines and isoxazolines [20] and some similar reactions [21]. Normally, there are two reported methods for synthesis of gemdihydroperoxides: (I) reaction of ketals with H₂O₂ in the presence of tungstic acid [22], or BF₃ Et₂O [23], (II) ozonolysis of ketone enol ethers or α-olefines in the presence of aqueous H2O2 [11, 24]. Unfortunately, these methods clearly suffer from notable drawbacks inluding needing for concentrated H₂O₂ and surplus acid, minimal substrate range and formation a mix of peroxidic products, low yield, long reaction time and strong reaction condition [25]. Moreover, little selectivity and drawbacks from existence of ozone sensitive functional groups in the substrates are additional deficiencies in ozonolysis reaction. As a result, to eliminate these disadvantages, lately, in modified method, gem-dihydroperoxides have been synthesized via peroxidation of aldehydes and ketones by aqueous H_2O_2 in the presence of molecular iodine as the catalyst. [26,27] currently, some Lewis or Bronsted acids, including ceric ammonium nitrate (CAN) [28], camphor sulfuric acid (CSA) [29], NaHSO₄.SiO₂ [30], Re₂O₇ [31], Bismuth (III) triflate [32] and PMA [33] have been utilized as the catalysts for synthesis of these compounds. As the importance of gem-dihydroperoxides and also 1,2,4,5-tetraoxanes that are key precursors in synthesis of anti-malaria drugs, in duration of our efforts to adapt this methodology and apply novel and more appropriate catalysts, [34], herein, we wish to report using Chlorosulfonic acid as an effective catalyst (Scheme 1) for synthesis of gem-dihydroperoxides from ketones and aldehydes with 30% aqueous H₂O₂ at room temperature. Besides, we successfully used Chlorosulfonic acid for catalyzing facile synthesis of 1,2,4,5-tetraoxanes from direct condensation of obtained gem-dihydroperoxides with different ketones (scheme 2)

Chlorosulfuric acid is commercially available acid that has been used as a proper catalyst in several chemical reactions [35].

2. EXPERIMENTAL

2.1 Material and instruments

Solvents, reagents, and chemical materials were obtained from Aldrich and Merck chemical companies and purified prior to use. Nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were recorded on JEOL FX 90Q using tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard. Infrared spectra were recorded on a PerkinElmer GX FT IR spectrometer (KBr pellets).

Caution: Although we did not encounter any problem with gem-dihydroperoxides, peroxides are potentially explosive and should be handled with precautions; all reactions should be carried out behind a safety shield inside a fume hood and heating should be avoided.

2.2 General procedure for synthesis of gem-dihyroperoxides: To a mixture of carbonyl compound (1 mmol) and CISA (0.0066 mI, 0.1mmol) in MeCN (3 mI) 30% aqueous H_2O_2 (1 mI) was added, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for an appropriate time (Tables 2,3 and 4). After completion of reaction as monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC), the mixture was diluted with water (5 mI) and extracted by ethyl acetate (3×5 mI). Aqueous layer which contains SA and organic layer that contains products, was separated, dried over anhydrous Mg_2SO_4 , and



evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by silica-packed column chromatography (hexane–EtOAc) to afford pure gem-dihydroperoxides (Tables 2,3 and 4). Products were characterized on the basis of their melting points, elemental analysis and IR, ¹H NMR, and ¹³C NMR spectral analysis and amount of peroxide in products has been determined by iodometric titration.

2.3 General procedure for synthesis of teraoxanes: To a mixture of ketone (1 mmol) and CISA (0.0066 mI, 0.1 mmol) in MeCN (3 mI) gem-dihydroperoxide (1 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for an appropriate time (Tables 5). After the completion of the reaction as monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC), the mixture was diluted with water (5 mI) and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3x5 mI). Then, aqueous layer and organic layer was separated, dried over anhydrous Mg_2SO_4 and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by silicapacked column chromatography (hexane–EtOAc) to afford pure 1,2,4,5-tetraoxanes (Tables 5). Products were characterized on the basis of their melting points, elemental analysis and IR, 1H NMR, and ^{13}C NMR spectral analysis.

The characteristic data for new products are given below.

4-(dihydroperoxymethyl)-N,N-dimethylaniline (table 4, entry 3k): Sticky brown oil. IR vmax /cm $^{-1}$ (nujol mull): 3400, 3092, 1592, 1425, 1363, 1221, 1111, 979; 1 H NMR (CDCl $_{3}$, 90 MHz): δ 10.47 (br, s, 2H, OOH), 7.32-8.17 (m, 4 H), 6.28 (s, 1H), 3.00, (s, 6H); 13 C NMR (DMSO-d $_{6}$, 22.5 MHz), δ: 143.4, 138.0, 130.5, 127.7, 101.0, 38.5; Anal. Calcd for C $_{9}$ H $_{13}$ NO $_{4}$: C, 54.26; H, 6.58% Found: C, 54.44; H, 6.83%.

2-(1,1-dihydroperoxyethyl)thiophene (table 4, entry 3m): White solid, m.p.: 98-101 $^{\circ}$ C. IR vmax /cm⁻¹ (KBr pellet): 3420, 2922, 2829, 1635, 1558, 1458, 1363, 1271, 987, 721, 599, 435, 353; 1 H NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz): δ 8.21 (br, s, 2 H,OOH), 7.20-7.90 (m, 3 H), 2.55 (s, 3H); 13 C NMR (DMSO-d₆, 22.5 MHz): δ 142.7, 130.0, 129.3, 126.7, 100.4, 31.5; Anal. Calcd for C₆H₈O₄S: C, 40.90; H, 4.58; S, 18.20 % Found: C, 41.18; H, 4.60, S, 19.12 %

1,4-bis(dihydroperoxymethyl)benzene (table 4, entry 3j): White solid, m.p: 210-212 $^{\circ}$ C. IR vmax /cm $^{-1}$ (KBr pellet): 3338, 3085, 1687, 1611, 1580, 1416, 1387, 1248, 1088, 989, 833, 826, 641; 1 H NMR (CDCl $_{3}$, 90 MHz): δ 9.8-9.9 (br, s, 4 H, OOH), 7.32-7.91 (m, 4 H),(2H), 5.78 (s, 1H); 13 C NMR (DMSO-d $_{6}$, 22.5 MHz): δ 137.4, 131.9, 131.4, 113.9; Anal. Calcd for $C_{8}H_{10}O_{8}$: C, 41.03; H, 4.30%; Found: C, 42.06; H, 4.25%

7,8,15,16-Tetraoxa-dispiro[5.2.5.2]hexadecane (4a): White solid; m.p: 70-72 $^{\circ}$ C.; IR vmax /cm⁻¹ (KBr pellet): 3338, 3085, 2920, 1697, 1612, 1579, 1419, 1319, 1275, 1203, 1014, 972, 835, 808, 621; 1 H NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz): δ 1.26-2.29 (m, 20H); 13 C NMR (DMSO-d₆, 22.5 MHz): δ 108.5, 30.1, 25.8, 22.3; Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₂₀O₄: C, 63.14; H, 8.83%; Found: C, 62.76; H,8.95%

3-Methyl-7,8,15,16-tetraoxa-dispiro[5.2.5.2]hexadecane (4b): White solid; m.p: $86-88\,^{\circ}$ C; IR vmax /cm⁻¹ (KBr pellet): 3338, 3085, 2920, 1697, 1612, 1579, 1419, 1319, 1275, 1203, 1014, 972, 835, 808, 621; 1 H NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz): δ 3.3 (s, 1H), 1.24-2.34 (m, 18H), 0.94 (d, 3H); 13 C NMR (DMSO-d₆, 22.5 MHz): δ 108.38, 108.33, 35.1, 32.2, 32.0, 31.6, 26.0, 25.0, 24.1, 21.8; Anal. Calcd for C₁₃H₂₂O₄: C, 64.44; H, 9.15%; Found: C, 65.00; H,8.69%.

3,3'-di-Methyl-7,8,15,16-tetraoxa-dispiro[5.2.5.2]hexadecane (4d): White solid; m.p: 153-155 $^{\circ}$ C; IR vmax /cm⁻¹ (KBr pellet): 3338, 3085, 2920, 1697, 1612, 1579, 1419, 1319, 1275, 1203, 1014, 972, 835, 808, 621; 1 H NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz) $^{\circ}$ C: 3.32 (s, 2H), 1.22-1.60 (m, 16H), 0.90 (d, 6H); 13 C NMR (DMSO-d₆, 22.5 MHz): $^{\circ}$ D 108.3, 32.0, 31.7, 30.5, 21.5; Anal. Calcd for C₁₄H₂₄O₄: C, 65.60; H, 9.44%; Found: C, 66.05; H, 9.13%

3-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,2,4,5-tetraoxaspiro[5.5]undecane (4j): White solid; m.p: 98-100 $^{\circ}$ C; IR vmax /cm⁻¹ (KBr pellet): 3338, 3085, 2920, 1697, 1612, 1579, 1419, 1319, 1275, 1203, 1014, 972, 835, 808, 621; 1 H NMR 1 H NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz) δ : 7.30-7.52 (m, 4H), 6.81 (s, 1H), 1.70-2.60 (m, 8H); 13 C NMR (DMSO-d₆, 22.5 MHz): δ 137.8, 130.5, 129.6, 129.5, 109.52, 107.6, 32.4, 32.2, 30.7, 22.8, 22.5; Anal. Calcd for C₁₃H₁₅ClO₄: C, 57.68; H, 5.59%; Found: C, 58.12; H, 5.31%

9-methyl-3-phenyl-1,2,4,5-tetraoxaspiro[5.5]undecane (4n): White solid; m.p: 101-103 °C; ; IR vmax /cm⁻¹ (KBr pellet): 3338, 3085, 2920, 1697, 1612, 1579, 1419, 1319, 1275, 1203, 1014, 972, 835, 808, 621; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz) δ : 7.40-7.55 (m, 5H), 6.80 (s, 1H), 3.21-3.34 (m, 1H), 0.99-1.98 (m, 13H); ¹³C NMR (DMSO-d₆, 22.5 MHz): δ 132.0, 131.3, 129.1, 128.0, 109.2, 108.2, 32.5, 31.8, 30.9, 30.5, 29.9, 21.8; Anal. Calcd for C₁₄H₁₈O₄: C, 67.18; H, 7.25%; Found: C, 69.21; H, 7.03%

3-phenyl-1,2,4,5-tetraoxaspiro[5.5]undecane (4o): White solid; m.p: 78-80 °C; IR vmax /cm-1 (KBr pellet): 3338, 3085, 2920, 1697, 1612, 1579, 1419, 1319, 1275, 1203, 1014, 972, 835, 808, 621; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz) δ : 7.40-7.56 (m, 5H), 6.69 (s, 1H), 2.65-2.85 (m, 2H), 1.70-1.92 (m, 8H); ¹³C NMR (DMSO-d₆, 22.5 MHz): δ 131.9, 131.5, 129.1, 127.8, 109.1, 108.2, 32.0, 30.1, 25.9, 22.5, 22.0; Anal. Calcd for $C_{13}H_{16}O_4$: C, 66.09; H, 6.83%; Found: C, 65.78; H, 6.90%

8-phenyl-6,7,9,10-tetraoxaspiro[4.5]decane (4p): White solid; m.p: 73-75 $^{\circ}$ C; IR vmax /cm⁻¹ (KBr pellet): 3338, 3085, 2920, 1697, 1612, 1579, 1419, 1319, 1275, 1203, 1014, 972, 835, 808, 621; 1 H NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz) δ : 7.38-7.44 (m, 5H), 6.80 (s, 1H),1.80-2.10 (m, 8H); 13 C NMR (DMSO-d₆, 22.5 MHz): δ 132.0, 131.3, 129.0, 127.9, 114.5, 108.0, 35.5, 35.0, 25.5, 24.0; Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₁₄O₄: C, 64.85; H, 6.35%; Found: C, 64.15; H, 6.77%

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In an effort to establish the reaction conditions, various reaction parameters were studied to produce 1,1-dihydroperoxycyclohexane by the model reaction of cyclohexanone with 30 % aqueous H_2O_2 under catalytic effect of CISA, so the results are summarized in Table 1. As we have seen in this Table, the best result in terms of yield and



reaction time was provided using MeCN as a solvent at room temperature with 0.1 mmol of catalyst loading (entry 6, table 1)

Table 1 . Screening the reaction parameters for the formation of 1,1-dihydroperoxycyclohexane ^a								
H ₂ O ₂ (30%) HOO OOH Chlorosulfonic acid (cat)								
Entry	Solvent	CSA (mol%)	Time (min)	Yield (%)				
1	Et ₂ O	0.1	25	70				
2	EtOAc	0.1	20	83				
3	CH ₂ Cl ₂	0.1	50	35				
4	CHCl ₃	0.1	50	45				
5	CCI ₄	0.1	65	40				
6	CH₃CN	0.1	9	98				
7	CH₃CN	0.08	15	92				
8	CH₃CN	0.05	28	68				
9	CH₃CN	0.15	8	90				
10	CH₃CN	0.2	8	70				
^a Conditiones: cyclohexanone (1 mmol), 30 % aqueous H ₂ O ₂ (1 ml), solvent (3 ml), room temperature. ^b Isolated yield.								

With optimized conditions in hand (aldehyde or ketones (1 mmol), aqueous 30% H₂O₂ (3 ml), 0.1 mmol catalyst, MeCN (3 ml, r.t)) we began to study the scope of the reaction using a range of cyclic aliphatic ketones (Table 2), side chain aliphatic aldehydes and ketones (Table 3) and aromatic aldehydes and ketones (Table 4). According to results summarized in these tables, generally, both cyclic and side chain aliphatic ketones react faster than the aromatic ketones because of the conjugating of carbonyl group with aromatic ring to afford the corresponding gem-dihydroperoxides comparatively in higher yields. This conjugating cause that benzophenone recovered intact after 200 minutes. For cyclic ketones, cyclohexanone reacts faster than cyclopentanone in higher yield (table 2, entries 1a and 1d). Also, interestingly, the aromatic aldehydes and ketones substituted by electron-withdrawing substituent didn't react at all or they reacted in very long time with nearly low yields. It has been explained by Katja Zmitek and Co-workers [28]. They reported that the transition state for this reaction has positive charge on carbonyl group. So, this reaction has high negative reaction constant (p= -2.76) that suggests a transition state with a more developed charge in the rate-determining step [28]. For example, we observed that 4-N,N-dimethylamino bebzaldehyde reacts faster than 4-chlorobenzaldehyde (table 4, entry 3k). On the other hands, 4-nitro benzaldehyde converted very slowly to gem-dihydroperoxide in very low conversion (13%) and decomposed after 0.5 hour because of the powerful electron-withdrawing effect of NO2 group, (table 4, entry 3i). summing up, we suggest that Chlorosulfonic acid activates both carbonyl group and hydrogen peroxide. In fact, chlorosulfonic acid is a powerful acid, so generates H+ which activates the carbonyl group. On the other hands, the chlorine atom in chlorosulfonic acid is a powerful; electronegative atom, consequently it causes hydrogen peroxide (or gem-dihydroperoxide) more nucleophile via hydrogen bonding (scheme 3).



Scheme 3. suggested mechanism for catalitic efffect of chlorosulfonic acid

	Tabl	e 2. Peroxidation c	of different cycli	c ketones		
Entry	Ketone	Product ^o	Time (min)	Yield (%) ^c	Mp (°C)	Ref
1a		OOH	9	98	oil	34b
1b		ООН	13	91	oil	28
1c	—————	ООН	11	95	oil	28
1d	\triangleright	OOH	10	92	oil	34b
1e	\bigcirc	ООН	20	90	64-66	26
1f	<u></u>	ООН	14	94	oil	8
1e	4	COOH OOH	25	85	138-140 (decomposed)	26
1h	0	OOH	14	95	148-150	26

^a Conditions: ketone and aldehyde (1 mmol), CH₃CN (3 ml), CISA (0.1 mmol), 30% aq. H₂O₂ (1 ml), reactions are carried out at rt.

^b The structures of the products were established from their physical properties and spectral (¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR and IR) analysis and compared with the data reported in the literature and amount the peroxide is determined by lodometric titration.

^c Isolated Yield.



	Table 3 Peroxidation of side chain aliphatic ketones and aldehydes									
Entry	Ketone	Product ^o	Time (min)	Yield (%) ^c	Mp (°C)	Ref				
2a	بُ	HOOOOH	10	94	oil	34b				
2b	ئى	HOO OOH	12	93	oil	28				
2c	پُر م	HOO DOH	12	91	31-33	26				
2d	~~~	HOOOH	16	90	oil	26				
2e	نال	HOO OOH	21	76	oil	26				
2f	Ů	HOO OOH	10	95	oil	36				
2g	Å,	ноо оон	9	96	oil	34b				
2h	~~~Йн	HO OOH	50	92	oil	34b				
2i	ОН	но оон	45	97	oil	34b				

 $^{^{\}rm a}$ Conditions: ketone and aldehyde (1 mmol), CH $_3$ CN (3 ml), CISA (0.1 mmol), 30% aq. H $_2$ O $_2$ (1 ml), reactions are carried out at rt.

^b The structures of the products were established from their physical properties and spectral (¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR and IR) analysis and compared with the data reported in the literature and amount the peroxide is determined by lodometric titration.

^c Isolated Yield.



	Table	4 Peroxidation of aroma	tic ketones and	daldehydes		
Entry	Ketone	Product [□]	Time (min)	Yield (%)°	Mp (°C)	Ref
3a		HOO OOH	93	75	75-77	34b
3b	MeO	HOO OOH	80	60	oil	34b
Зс	CI	CI HOO OOH	78	55	oil	34b
3d	, Co	HOO OOH	76	60	oil	34b
3e	CHO	OOH	40	82	oil	34b
3f	-СНО	OOH	38	86	54-56	34b
3g	СІ—СНО	CI—OOH	45	82	72-74	34b
3h	МеО—СНО	MeO OOH	39	91	oil	34b
3i	О₃N-∕СНО	O ₂ N OOH	170	13	decompose d	28
3j	онс-Сно	HOO CH CH OOH	340	91	210-212	new
3k	у-Сно	N—CH CH OOH	31	70	Sticky oil	new
31	Š	Hoo	48	93	oil	34b
3m	S	ноо оон	52	88	98-102	new
3n	O'O	-	200	-	_	_

^a Conditions: ketone and aldehyde (1 mmol), CH₃CN (3ml), CISA (0.1mmol), 30% aq. H₂O₂ (1 mL), reactions are carried out at rt.

Moreover, It is interesting that aliphatic aldehydes react with only one molecule of hydrogen peroxide in carbonyl group, so 1,1- hydroxyhydroperoxide derivatives were formed instead of their expected DHPs (table 3, entries 2h and 2i, scheme 4).

^b The structures of the products were established from their physical properties and spectral (¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR and IR) analysis and compared with the data reported in the literature and amount the peroxide is determined by lodometric titration.

^c Isolated Yield.



Scheme 4. peroxidation of aliphatic aldehydes

For the first time, terephthalaldehyde was reacted as a dialdehyde and we observed that both of the aldehyde groups has been converted to gem-dihydroeperoxide after 360 minutes (table 3, entry 3j). In addition, we have successfully converted 2-methyltheilnyl ketone as a heterocyclic ketone to corresponding gem-dihydroperoxide without any by-product (table 3, entry 3m). Like other our reported works, benzophenone was recovered intact after 200 minutes (table 3, entry 3n).

In the next step, we used some of the synthesized gem-dihydroperoxides as nudeophiles. These gem-dihydroperoxides reacted with ketones and variety of 1,2,3,4-tetraoxanes were produced. (Scheme 2, table 5). Reaction's condition is similar to synthesis of gem-dihydroperoxides condition.

	Table	5. Synthesis	of tetraoxanes using of ge	em-dihydroper	oxides		
Entry ^a	gem-dihydroperoxide	ketone	Product ^o	Time (min)	Yield (%)	Mp (oC)	Ref
4a	OOH			9	88	70-72	new
4b	OOH	─	_\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	7	89	86-88	new
4c	OOH	t-Bu————	O-O Bu-t	6	90	102-104	36
4d	ООН	→	─ __	5	90	153-155	new
4e	t-Bu—OOH	t-Bu—————O	1-Bu - 0-0 - Bu-1	5	92	191-193	36
4f	OOH	\bigcirc = \circ		8	84	58-62	36
4g	оон	t-Bu—C	0-0 -0 -0 -0	7	82	131-133	36
4h	оон	\bigcirc	\$\tag{\tag{\tag{\tag{\tag{\tag{\tag{	6	85	Oil	36
4i	СІ—СООН			16	70	73-75	36
4j	СІ—ООН	<u></u> =0	CI — 0-0	11	82	98-100	new
4k	CI—OOH	t-Bu ————————————————————————————————————	CI — O-O — Bu	9	80	114-116	36
41	оон оон	1-Bu ————————————————————————————————————	0-0 0-0 Bu-1	10	84	122-124	36
4n	OOH	→		11	86	101-103	new
40	оон	<u></u> =0		12	86	78-80	new
4p	OOH	\bigcirc		14	85	73-75	new



4q	OOH	ذ°		15	72	61-63	36
a condition	n: gem-dihydroperoxide (*	l mmol), keto	ne (1 mmol), MeCN (3 m	l), CISA (0.1mr	nol), reaction	ons are ca	rried out at
^b The structures of the products were established from their physical properties and spectral (¹ H NMR, ¹³ C NMR and IR) analysis and compared with the data reported in the literature and amount the peroxide is determined by lodometric titration.							
c Isolated	d Yield.						

Finally, this method for peroxidation of cyclohexanone (entry 1a, table 2) is compared with other reported methodologies in the table 6. As has been noted, this methodology is clearly better which really improves the time reaction, yields and reaction condition.

	Table 6. Comparing reported results for peroxidation of cyclohexanone								
Entry	Catalyst	Condition	Concetration of H ₂ O ₂	Time (min)	Yield (%)	Ref			
1	This method (CISA)	r. t		11	98	-			
2	Silica sulfuric acid	r. t		20	98	34b			
3	Bi(OTf) ₃	r. t		18	78	32			
4	phosphomolybdic acid	r. t		150	95	33			
5	Re ₂ O ₇	r. t		30	79	31			
6	CAN reagent	r. t		120	87	28			
7	NaHSO₄·SiO₂	r. t	A \ /	20	98	30			

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, chlorosulfonic acid was explored as a high active, commercially available and simple catalyst towards the conversion of ketones and aldehydes to corresponding gem-dihydroperoxides. These reactions proceeded smoothly with low reactions time at room temperature to furnish the titled products in high to excellent yields. Chlorosulfonic acid catalyst exhibited a high reusability potential and has shown no significant loss of activity after three consecutive runs (entry 1, Table 2). This catalyst makes the process affordable and economical.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank Arak University for financial support this work.

REFERENCES

- [1] Zmitek, K.; Zupan, M.; Iskra, J. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2007, 5, 3895.
- [2] Iskra, J.; Bonnet-Delpon, D.; Begue, J. P. Tetrahedron Lett., 2003, 44, 6309.
- [3] Tang, Y. Q.; Dong, Y. X.; Vennerstrom, J. L. Med. Res. Rev., 2004, 24, 425.
- [4] Dong, Y.; Mini-Re, V. Med. Chem., 2002, 2, 113.
- [5] Terent'ev, A. O.; Kutkin, A. V.; Starikova, Z. A.; Antipin, M. Y.; Ogibin, Y. N.; Nikishina, G. I. Synthesis, 2004, 2356.
- [6] Amewu, R.; Stachulski, A. V.; Ward, S. A.; Berry, N. G.; Bray, P. G.; Davies, J.; Labat, G.; Vivas, L.; O'Neill, P. M. Org. Biomol. Chem., 2006, 4, 4431.
- [7] Terent'ev, A. O.; Platonov, M. M.; Tursina, A. I.; Chernyshev, V. V.; Nikishin, G. I. J. Org. Chem., 2008, 73, 3169.
- [8] Ghorai, P.; Dussault, P. H.; Hu, C. Org. Lett., 2008, 10, 2401.
- [9] Zhang, Q.; Li, Y.; Wu, Y.-K. Chin. J. Chem., 2007, 25, 1304.
- [10] Y Hamada, Y.; Tokuhara, H.; Masuyama, A.; Nojima, M.; Kim, H. S.; Ono, K.; Ogura, N.; Wataya, Y. J. Med. Chem., 2002, 45, 1374.



- [11] Kim, H-S.; Nagai, Y.; Ono, K.; Begum, K.; Wataya, Y.; Hamada, Y.; Tsuchiya, K.; Masuyama, A.; Nojima, M.; McCullough, K. J. J. Med. Chem., 2001, 44, 2357.
- [12] Masuyama, A.; Wu, J.-M.; Nojima, M.; Kim, H.-S.; Wataya, Y. Mini-Re, V. Med. Chem., 2005, 5, 1035.
- [13] Hansma, H.; Schroeder, A. AKZO N. V. Belg. Patent 868,681, 1978; Chem. Abstr. 1979, 90, 153037a.
- [14] Jakka, K.; Liu, J.; Zhao, C. G. Tetrahedron Lett., 2007, 48, 1395.
- [15] Aarifar, D.; Khosravi, K.; Synlett, 2010, 2755.
- [16] Azarifar, D.; Khosravi, K.; Eur. J. Chem 1., 2010, 1, 15.
- [17] Selvam, J. P.; Suresh, V.; Rajesh, K.; Chanti Babu, D.; Suryakiran, N.; Venkateswarlu, Y.; Tetrahedron Lett., 2008, 49, 3463.
- [18] Azarifar, D.; Khosravi, K.; Najminejad, Z. J. Iran. Chem. Soc. 2013, 10, 979.
- [19] Bunge, A.; Hamann, H-J.; McCalmont, E.; Liebscher, J. Tetrahedron Lett., 2009, 50, 4629.
- [20] Khosravi, K. Res. Chem. Intermed., 2015, in press, DOI: DOI 10.1007/s11164-014-1626-5.
- [21] (a) Azarifar, D.; Golbaghi, M.; Pirveisian, P.; Najminejad, Z. J. Advanc. Chem., 2014, 10, 3088. (b) Azarifar, D.; Khatami, S.M.; Najminejad, Z. J. Iran. Chem. Soc. 2014, 11, 587. (c) Azarifar, D.; Khosravi, K.; Najminejad, Z.; Soleimani, K. Heterocycles 2010, 81, 2855.
- [22] Jefford, C. W.; Li, W.; Jaber, A.; Boukouvalas, J. Synth. Commun., 1990, 20, 2589.
- [23] Terent'ev, A. O.; Kutkin, A. V.; Troizky, N. A.; Ogibin, Y. N.; Nikishin, G. I. Synthesis, 2005, 2215.
- [24] Ito, T.; Tokuyasu, T.; Masuyama, A.; Nojima, M.; McCullough, K. J. Tetrahedron, 2003, 59, 525.
- [25] Kharasch, M. S.; Sosnovsky, G. J. Org. Chem., 1958, 23, 1322.
- [26] Zmitek, K.; Zupan, K.; Stavber, S.; Iskra, J. J. Org. Chem., 2007, 72, 6534
- [27] Zmitek, K.; Zupan, K.; Stavber, S.; Iskra, J. Org. Lett., 2006, 8, 2491.
- [28] Das, B.; Veeranjaneyulu, B.; Krishnaiah, M.; Veeranjaneyulu, B.; Ravikanth, B. Tetrahedron Lett., 2007, 48, 6286.
- [29] Bunge, A.; Hamann, H. -J.; Liebscher, J. Tetrahedron Lett., 2009, 50, 524.
- [30] Das, B.; Veeranjaneyulu, B.; Krishnaiah, M.; Balasubramanyam, P. J. Mol Catal A: Chem., 2008, 284, 116.
- [31] Ghorai, P.; Dussault, P. H. Org. Lett., 2008, 10, 4577.
- [32] Sashidhara, K.V.; Avula, S. R.; Singh, L. R.; Palnati, G. R. Tetrahedron Lett., 2012, 53, 4880.
- [33] Li, Y.; Hao, H. -D.; Zhang, Q.; Wu, Y. Org. Lett., 2009, 11, 1615.
- [34] (a) Azarifar, D.; Khosravi, K.; Soleimanei, F. Synthesis, 2009, 2553. (b) Azarifar, D.; Najminejad, Z.; Khosravi, K. Synth. Comm. 2013, 43, 826.
- [35] (a) Shirini, F.; Mamaghani, M.; Atghia, S. V. *Journal Of Nanostructure in Chemistry*, **2012**, 3, 2. (b) Jagtap, P. G.; Chen, Zh.; Southan, G. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **2009**, 50, 2057.
- [36] Ghorai, P.; Dussault, P. H. Org. Lett., 2009, 11, 213.