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#### Abstract

Oxirane ring containing the carboxylic group in the $\alpha, \beta$-position are useful intermediates in the synthesis of biologically active compounds. Epoxidation of 4-(4-acetylamino and/or bromophenyl)-4-oxo-but-2-enoic acids via ultrasound condition afforded $\alpha$-oxirane carboxylic acid followed by regioselective diastereomeric adducts of camphor. The steric factor plays an important role in regioselectivity. Formation of oxirane and furan derivatives via ultrasonic condition was considered as key steps for synthesis of some important heterocyclic compounds. The structure of new synthesized compounds $2-9 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ were elucidated by elemental analysis and spectroscopic data. The antibacterial activity for the synthesized compounds was evaluated.
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## INTRODUCTION

A diversity of therapeutics in use newly that are representative both high antitumor activity and restriction of tumor metastasis such family of medicinal fungi has been derived from the species of epoxide and furanone 1-6, where the earlier medicinal species used for the treatment of fester, bellyache, oxidizing enzyme and bloody gonorrhea 7-11. Also, Phelligridin $G$ is one of furanone derivative shows important antioxidant activity, inhibiting rat liver microsomal lipid peroxidation and reveal moderate selective cytotoxic activity against a human ovarian (A2780) and colon cancer cell line (HCT-8) 12-17. Green heterocyclic chemistry has recently attracted considerable interest from chemists, who have used this technique to achieve the green synthesis of several heterocyclic systems like ultrasonic and microwave free-solvent technique. The importance of the ultrasonic and solvent free reactions in various laboratories develop a consistent one-pot method which would be less cumbersome and lead to a significant rate enhancement with an environmentally benign method by which reagents are placed inside a vessel along with a ball-bearing that is shaken at high speeds in the absence of solvent 18-25. The ultrasonic instrument has enough force to make an amorphous mixture of the reagents which in turn facilitates a green chemical reaction with relatively new to organic synthesis 26-30.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Chemistry

Recently, we reported the behavior of 4-(4-acetylaminophenyl)-4-oxo-2-butenoic and 4-(4-bromophenyl) -4-oxo-2-butenoic acids toward some electrophilic and nucleophilic reagents. They were allowed to react with carbon and nitrogen nucleophiles, e.g. reactive aromatic hydrocarbons, 5-methyl-2,4-dihydro-3H-pyrazol-3-one, 5-phenyl-2,4-dihydro-3H-pyrazol-3-one, barbituric acid, quinazolinone derivatives and (1R,4R) (+)-camphor in different reaction conditions under Michael, aza-Michael and Friedel-Crafts reaction conditions [31-38]. Synthesis of the Diastereomeric Michael adducts [42] have been thought us to make the reaction of the (+)-camphor with their epoxides [31]. The mechanism of epoxidation via reaction of urea and hydrogen peroxide within ultrasound basic conditions was outlined in the following (Scheme 1) where the regioselectivity of the oxirane derivatives (1) in position $\alpha$ toward the carboxylic group might be afforded four diastereomeric adducts.

The anti aroyl and carboxylic groups for isomers 2 i and 3 i were more favored than syn isomers 2 ii and 3ii. Repulsion force between aroyl and carboxylic groups is outweigh the intramolecular hydrogen bond that caused the lower stability in syn isomers2ii and 3ii. In addition to the energy gaps between HOMO and LUMO values reflected the higher stability of isomers 2 i and 3 i (Figure 1). This explained that two diastereomers $2 i\left(2 R, 3 S, 3 R^{\prime}\right)$ and $3 i\left(2 S, 3 R, 3 R^{\prime}\right)$ can be isolated only via ultrasonic condition (Scheme 2 ).
The yield of isomer $2 \mathrm{i}\left(2 \mathrm{R}, 3 \mathrm{~S}, 3 \mathrm{R}^{\prime}\right)$ in case of acetamido phenyl moeity of derivative (2a) was $44 \%$ more than the bromo derivative (2b) was $32 \%$ and vice versa in isomer 3a was $21 \%$ less than 3 b $41 \%$ due tomaximum repulsion between acetamido phenyl and dimethyl groups of $R(+)$ camphor precursor.

On the other hand, the application of one-pot multicomponent reactions (MCRs) and microwave-assisted have been demonstrated to offer smooth reaction conditions, higher overall yield and afforded new products when they compared to classical synthesis methodologies. In our research, in one pot reaction of 4-aryl-4-oxo-2-butenoic acids, camphor, urea, hydrogen peroxide at pH 12 , in MW irradiation (W 250 and T 1500C) was affording furanone 4, and arylidine 5 (Scheme 3).

The mechanism of one pot syntheses of furanone derivatives $4 a, b$, and $5 a, b$ were known to be through the formation of the epoxide product via the reaction $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketones and urea hydrogen peroxide reagent and it reacts with active methylene containing ketones using catalytic amount of strong bases like NaOH . This reaction is followed by condensation, cyclization, and dehydration to afford the corresponding furanone derivatives $4 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$, and $5 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$.

When the ( $2 R, 3 S, 3 R^{\prime}$ ) acids $2 a, b$ and/or ( $2 \mathrm{~S}, 3 \mathrm{R}, 3 \mathrm{R}^{\prime}$ ) acids $3 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ were allowed to react with acetic anhydride, in water bath 2 h afforded the furanone derivatives $4 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and $5 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ respectively (Scheme 4). The steric crowding due to the bridged methyl group was outweigh the reactivity of carbonyl of camphor moiety. Therefore, the isomers $2 a, b$ can be preferred cyclization with the carboxylic group affording furanone $4 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and the absence of steric crowding of bridged methyl group in camphor moiety of the acids $3 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ became a driving force to afford regioselective isomers $5 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ (Scheme 4).

Moreover, when the ( $2 \mathrm{R}, 3 \mathrm{~S}, 3 \mathrm{R}^{\prime}$ )-(+) acids $2 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ were allowed to react with hydrazine hydrate in boiling ethanol and/or hydroxylamine in boiling pyridine, either can be reacted directly or via their furanone derivatives $4 a, b$, they afforded 5,7a-dihydrofuro[2,3-d]pyridazin-

4(3aH)-one derivatives $6 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and 5,7a-dihydrofuro[2,3-d]1,2-oxazin-4(3aH)-one derivatives $7 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ respectively (Scheme 5).
When the ( $2 \mathrm{~S}, 3 \mathrm{R}, 3 \mathrm{R}^{\prime}$ )-(-) acids 3a,b were allowed to react with hydrazine hydrate in boiling ethanol and hydroxyl amine in boiling pyridine, afforded the pyridazinone $8 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and 2,1-oxazinone $9 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ derivatives respectively (Scheme 6). The authors expected formation of the spiro products $10 a, b$ and $11 a, b$ respectively but, the steric crowding due to the bridged methyl group was outweigh and so, the reaction of isomers $3 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ with hydrazine hydrate and/or hydroxylamine can be preferred the 1,2 addition followed by the cyclization with lactonic group.
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Scheme 1: Outline the possibility of the diastereomeric adducts via reaction of the epoxide 1 with (1R,4R)(+)-camphor under Michael reaction condition
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Scheme 2: Outline the diastereomeric adducts via reaction of aroylacrylic acid with(1R,4R)(+)-camphor under ultrasound condition
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Figure 1: Outlines HOMO and LUMO values of the favoredisomers that reflect their more stabilization.

a: $\mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\left(\mathrm{NHCOCH}_{3}\right)$
b: $\mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}(4 \mathrm{Br})$
Scheme 3: Outline the diastereomeric adducts via reaction of the aroyl acrylic acid with(1R,4R)(+)-camphor under microwave condition



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { a: } \mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\left(\mathrm{NHCOCH}_{3}\right) \\
& \mathrm{b}: \mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}(\mathrm{Br})
\end{aligned}
$$

Scheme 4: Outline the synthetic route of the furanone $4 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and $5 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$

## Antibacterial Activity Evaluation

## Filter Paper Disc-Diffusion Method

The newly synthesized heterocyclic compounds listed in Table 1 were tested for their antibacterial activity against Gram positive bacteria [Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC 25923) and Bacillus cereus (ATCC 10987)], Gram negative bacteria [Serratia marcesens (ATCC 274) and Proteus mirabilis (SM514)] at a concentration of $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). Nutrient agar and potato dextrose agars were used to culture the bacteria and fungi, respectively. The plates were inculcated by the bacteria or fungi and incubated for 24 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for bacteria and for 72 h at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for fungi and then the inhibition zones of microbial growth surrounding the filter paper disc ( 5 mm ) were measured in millimeters. Ampicillin and Nystatin, at a concentration $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, were used as standard against bacteria respectively. The most active compounds were 2,3 , and $5(a, b)$ which were strongly inhibitory to all or some of the tested bacteria. The authors can be explained the highly antibacterial activity for the compounds 2,3 and $5(a, b)$ by quantum chemical parameter that small LUMO-HOMO energy gap implies that the molecule has high chemical reactivity and low kinetic stability as bioactive material [32] and they would be inhibited the enzyme of bacteria due to the presence of the activated double bond. The rest of compounds showed moderate activities against the tested bacteria under investigation. (Figure 2).

a) $\mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}(4 \mathrm{NHCOMe})$
b) $\mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}(4 \mathrm{Br})$

Scheme 5: Synthetic route for compounds 6a,b \&7a,b

a) $\mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}(4 \mathrm{NHCOMe})$
b) $\mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}(4 \mathrm{Br})$

## Scheme 6: Synthetic route for compounds 8a,b \&9a,b

Table 1: Antibacterial activity of the synthesized compounds

|  | Inhibition zone (mm) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Comp. No. | Staph. aureus | B. cereus | S. marcesens | Prot. mirabilis |
| 2a | $17 \pm 0.12$ | $16 \pm 0.21$ | $15 \pm 0.21$ | $16 \pm 0.17$ |
| 2b | $18 \pm 0.18$ | $17 \pm 0.15$ | $16 \pm 0.17$ | $16 \pm 0.15$ |
| 3a | $17 \pm 0.21$ | $16 \pm 0.24$ | $16 \pm 0.18$ | $16 \pm 0.13$ |
| 3b | $16 \pm 0.11$ | $16 \pm 0.12$ | $15 \pm 0.07$ | $15 \pm 0.21$ |
| 4a | $11 \pm 0.16$ | $10 \pm 0.03$ | $10 \pm 0.01$ | $10 \pm 0.11$ |
| 4b | $11 \pm 0.17$ | $11 \pm 0.02$ | $10 \pm 0.14$ | $09 \pm 0.13$ |


| 5 a | $17 \pm 0.15$ | $18 \pm 0.20$ | $17 \pm 0.11$ | $18 \pm 0.04$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 b | $17 \pm 0.23$ | $19 \pm 0.08$ | $18 \pm 0.13$ | $18 \pm 0.22$ |
| 6 a | $08 \pm 0.03$ | $08 \pm 0.12$ | $08 \pm 0.09$ | $08 \pm 0.15$ |
| 6 b | $09 \pm 0.13$ | $08 \pm 0.20$ | $07 \pm 0.02$ | $06 \pm 0.05$ |
| 7 a | $06 \pm 0.02$ | $08 \pm 0.01$ | $07 \pm 0.02$ | $06 \pm 0.01$ |
| 7 b | $05 \pm 0.12$ | $04 \pm 0.06$ | $05 \pm 0.12$ | $04 \pm 0.10$ |
| 8 a | $13 \pm 0.15$ | $12 \pm 0.13$ | $11 \pm 0.11$ | $10 \pm 0.15$ |
| 8 b | $03 \pm 0.05$ | $04 \pm 0.21$ | $05 \pm 0.06$ | $05 \pm 0.10$ |
| 9 a | $07 \pm 0.12$ | $08 \pm 0.11$ | $09 \pm 0.08$ | $07 \pm 0.02$ |
| 9 b | $05 \pm 0.11$ | $07 \pm 0.12$ | $08 \pm 0.08$ | $05 \pm 0.20$ |
| Ampicillin | $18 \pm 0.11$ | $19 \pm 0.10$ | $20 \pm 0.10$ | $19 \pm 0.12$ |
| Chloramphenicol | $19 \pm 0.12$ | $20 \pm 0.16$ | $20 \pm 0.11$ | $20 \pm 0.11$ |

Sensitivity of microorganisms to the tested compounds is identified in the following manner: Highly sensitive = Inhibition zone $15-20 \mathrm{~mm}$; Moderately sensitive = Inhibition zone $10-15 \mathrm{~mm}$; Slightly sensitive = Inhibition zone $5-10 \mathrm{~mm}$; Not sensitive = Inhibition zone 0-5mm; Each results represents the average of triplicate readings

The results are shown in Table 2, screen the Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) and calculated values of ADME, HOMO, and к2 that are used to generate the QSAR model. When HOMO is large in negative, it indicates high ADME, therefore it will contribute as good antibacterial The high degree of bonding linearity Figure 3: Outline statistics of antibacterial activity of the synthesized compounds
( $\kappa 2$ index) with groups that increase molecular weight (high value of ADME Weight) represents a positive contribution to the antibacterial activity [32]. The effect of optically active adducts $2 a, 3 a$ and furanone derivatives $5 a$ were stronger than furanone $4 a$, pyridazinone $6 a, 8 a$ and oxazinone 7a, 9a derivatives that outlined the strong antibacterial activity of the synthesized compounds.
Table 2. Minimum Inhibitory Concentration; bCalculated values used to generate QSAR models.

| Comp. No. | Chemical Structure | MIC a (ug/mL) | ADME b Weight | HOMOb | b k2 Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2a |  | 700 | 334.2 | -9.952 | 7.832 |



| 3a |  | 600 | 413.5 | -10.873 | 8.657 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4a |  | 800 | 253.4 | -6.229 | 6.236 |
| 5a |  | 500 | 456.2 | -11.140 | 9.856 |
| 6a |  | 800 | 283.2 | -7.322 | 6.534 |
| 7a |  | 800 | 275.3 | -6.718 | 6.103 |
| 8 a |  | 800 | 301.5 | -7.752 | 7.110 |
| 9a |  | 800 | 267.5 | -6.859 | 6.532 |

ADME: Absorption Distribution Metabolism Exertion
The obtained results are compiled in Table 2 indicate the most of the compounds have moderate to strong antimicrobial activity. Compounds $2 \mathrm{a}, 3 \mathrm{a}$ and 5 a showed antimicrobial activity higher than the standard compounds, which means that they could be considered as promising antimicrobial agents. The minimum inhibition concentrations for the most potent compounds are recorded in Table 3 using the two fold dilution method. These potent compounds showed higher activity against Gram positive bacteria (Bacillus subtilis and Staphylococcus aureus), and Gram-negative bacteria (Escherichia coli and Pseudomonas aeruginosa).

Table 3. MICs for the Most Potent Compounds ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ )

| Compound number | Gram-positive bacteria | Gram-negative bacteria |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Bacillus subtilis | Staphylococcus aureus | Escherichia coli | Pseudomonas aeruginosa |
| 2 a | 12.5 | 12.5 | 50 | 50 |
| 3 a | 12.5 | 12.5 | 50 | 50 |
| 5 a | 12.5 | 12.5 | 50 | 50 |

## Frontier molecular orbital's

The highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) are the most important orbital's in the molecule. These orbitals are named as the frontier molecular orbital's. The HOMO orbital acts as an electron donor and the LUMO orbital acts as the electron acceptor. The LUMO-HOMO energy gap plays an important role in determining the way the molecule interacts with other species and in characterising the kinetic stability and chemical reactivity of the molecule. When the LUMO-HOMO energy gap is large, this indicates that the molecule is generally associated with a low chemical reactivity and high kinetic stability. On the contrary, the small LUMO-HOMO energy gap implies that the molecule has high chemical reactivity and low kinetic stability as bioactive material [32]. As shown in Tables 4, the result of theoretical calculations using (DFT/STO-3G) method indicates that in the case of the compound 2 a , 3 a and 5 a, the energy of highest occupied molecular orbital (EHOMO) is $[(-11.140)-(-9.952)] \mathrm{eV}$, and the energy of the lowest unoccupied
molecular orbital (ELUMO) is [(-6.606)-(-4.946)] eV. Then, the frontier orbital gaps in the compounds 2a, 3a and 5a are [4.534-5.120] eV that the former compounds has high chemical reactivity and low kinetic stability. For the compound 4a, 6a, 7a, 8a and 9a, the energy of HOMO orbital (EHOMO) is [(-7.752)-(-6.220)]eV, and the energy of the LUMO orbital (ELUMO) is [(-0.296)-(1.961)] eV. Thus, the frontier orbital gaps in these compounds are about [7.026-8.938] eV. As a result, it can be said that the compounds 2 a , 3 a and 5 a are chemically more reactive and kinetically less stable and the compounds $4 a, 6 a, 7 a, 8 a$ and $9 a$ are chemically less reactive and kinetically more stable.

Table 4: Theoritical Calculation of LUMO-HOMO
Cpd

| 6a |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELUMO- EHOMO = } \\ & -0.296- \\ & (-7.322)= \\ & 7.026 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7a |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELUMO- EHOMO = } \\ & 1.696- \\ & (-6.718)= \\ & 8.414 \end{aligned}$ |
| 8a |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELUMO- EHOMO = } \\ & 1.186- \\ & (-7.752)= \\ & 8.938 \end{aligned}$ |
| 9a |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELUMO- EHOMO = } \\ & 1.961 \\ & -(-6.859 \\ & 8.820 \end{aligned}$ |

## Experimental

All melting points are corrected and determined on a stuart electric melting point apparatus (Microanalytical centre, ainshams university, Cairo, Egypt). Elemental analyses were carried out by Elementar Viro El-Microanalysis at the Micro-analytical Center, National Research Center, Egypt. IR spectra ( KBr ) were recorded on infrared spectrometer FT-IR 400D (New York, NY, USA) using OMNIC program and are reported frequency of absorption in terms of $\mathrm{cm}-1$ and $1 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra recorded on a Bruker spectrophotometer (Rheinstetten, Germany) at 400 MHz using TMS as internal standard and with residual signals of the deuterated solvent $\delta=7.26 \mathrm{ppm}$ for CDCl 3 and $\delta$ 2.51 ppm for DMSO-d6. 13C-NMR spectra were recorded on the same spectrometer (Rheinstetten, Germany) at 100 MHz and referenced to solvent signals $\delta=77 \mathrm{ppm}$ for CDCl 3 and $\delta 39.50 \mathrm{ppm}$ for DMSO-d6. DEPT 135 NMR spectroscopy were used where appropriate to aid the assignment of signals in the 1 H - and $13 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra. The mass spectra were recorded on Shimadzu GCMS-QP-1000 EX mass spectrometer (Kyoto, Japan) used the electron ionization technique at 70 e.v.

Preparation of urea- H2O2(UHP). In 100 mL pyrex flask 12 g urea and 34 mL hydrogen peroxide (30\%) were added together. The reaction mixture was stirred at 60 oC for 10 min ., after cooling it was transferred to crystallizing dish for slow evaporation.

General Procedure for Epoxidation, Synthesis of the Compounds (1). A mixture of 4-(4-acetylamino/ bromobenzoyl)-4-oxobut-2-enoic acids $(0.02 \mathrm{~mol})$, and $\operatorname{UHP}(0.032 \mathrm{~mol})$ dissolved in ethanol $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and aqueous solution of sodium hydroxides $(0.5 \mathrm{~mol}, 20 \%)$. were grinded together in a mortar. Then this mixture was transferred into a round bottom flask with the addition of ethanol ( 50 mL ). The reaction flask was then placed in the maximum energy area in an ultrasonic cleaning bath (observation of the surface of the reaction solution during vertical adjustment of flask depth shows the optimum position by the point at which maximum surface disturbance occurs). The bath temperature was controlled by addition or removal of water at 30 oC. The progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC using C6H6: EtOAC (95:5) as solvent system. Sonication was continued until starting reactants disappeared as indicated by TLC. A yellow solid product was obtained within 20 min . After the completion of the reaction, the mixture was poured into crushed ice with constant stirring to obtain a white solid mass, which was dried and recrystallized from $95 \%$ ethanol. The mixture was extracted by ether and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. The residue was crystallized from proper solvent.

General Procedure for synthesis of the compounds 2 and 3 . A mixture of the epoxides $1 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ ( 0.01 mol ), active methylene precursor, e.g. $R(+)$ camphor ( $1.55 \mathrm{~g} ; 0.01 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), EtONa ( 8 mL ) and ethanol ( 50 mL ), the mixture was sonicated in the water bath of an ultrasonic cleaner under atmospheric conditions at room temperature for 25 min . After the completion of the reaction (monitored by TLC), the resulting precipitate was filtered and washed with ethanol to afford the pure product as solid in good to excellent yields. By the classical method, The reaction mixture was refluxed for 3 h , stirring 6 h , leave overnight 3 days and poured into ice $/ \mathrm{HCl}$, filter the crude product, and washed by petroleum ether (bp 40-600C), and then crystallized.
(2R,3S,3R')-(+)-4-(4-(Acetylamino)phenyl)-3-hydroxy-2-(1,7,7-trimethylbicyclo [2,2,1]heptan-2-on-3-yl)-4-oxobutanoic acid (2a): white solid, yield, $44 \%(1.8 \mathrm{~g})$, mp 162-164 oC(ethanol), $[\alpha] D 20=+122 \mathrm{o}$. IR ( KBr ) , $\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{cm}-1: 1722,1667(\mathrm{CO})$. 1 HNMR spectrum ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3$ ) $\delta$ $0.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3), 1.06(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH} 3), 1.42-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCH} 2 \mathrm{CH} 2$, camphor moiety), $2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CON}-), 2.23(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.2,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHCO}$, camphor moiety), $2.91(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.2,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{COO}), 4,92(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.8,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OH})-\mathrm{CO}), 5.63(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 7.47-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{ArH}$, aromatic protons), $11.5(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$, and $12.2(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CO} 2 \mathrm{H})$ (a acidic protons which exchanged in D 2 O ) and 13 C NMR(CDCI3) $\delta 24.2(2), 25.6$, $27.6,34.6,37.9,44.1,58.4,67.4,102.3,127.6(2), 128.7,129.5(2), 133.5,138.8,153.7,168.5,172.4,181.2,197.5$.. Anal.Calc. for C22H27NO6: C, 65.83; H, 6.73.found C, 65.86; H, 6.70. MSm/z 401(M+, 15).
(2R,3S,3R')-(+)-4-(4-Bromophenyl)-3-hydroxy-2-(1,7,7-trimethylbicyclo [2,2,1]heptan-2-on-3-yl)-4-oxobutanoic acid(2b): Colorless crystals, yield, $32 \%(1.4 \mathrm{~g}$ ), mp 146-148 oC(toluene), [ $\alpha$ ]D20 $=+118 \mathrm{o}$. IR (KBr) , v, cm-1:1720, 1668 (CO). 1HNMR spectrum (400MHz, CDCl3) $\delta$ $0.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3), 1.06(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH} 3), 1.42-1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCH} 2 \mathrm{CH} 2$, camphor moiety $), 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CON}-), 2.43(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHCO}$, camphor moiety), 2.91 (dd, J = 13.7, $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{COO}$ ), $4,84(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OH})-\mathrm{CO}), 5.67(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 7.29-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{ArH}$, aromatic protons), $12.2(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COOH})$. and $13 \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(\mathrm{CDCI} 3) \delta 22.8,23.3,28.3,34.4,38.6,43.4,58.4,67.4,102.3,128.2,129.2(2), 129.5(2), 134.4$, 138.1, 155.7, 175.0, 183.2, 200.5. Anal.Calc. for C2OH23O5Br C, 56.87; H, 5.45. found C, 56.83; H, 5.42. MSm/z $424(M++2,20), 422(M+50)$.
(2S,3R,3R')-(-)-4-(4-(Acetylamino)phenyl)-3-hydroxy-2-(1,7,7-trimethylbicyclo [2,2,1]heptan-2-on-3-yl)-4-oxobutanoic acid (3a): Colorless crystals, yield $21 \%(0.85 \mathrm{~g})$, mp 118-120oC(pet. ether $80-100 \mathrm{oC}),[\alpha] \mathrm{D} 20=-69 \mathrm{o}$. IR ( KBr ) , $\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{cm}-1: 1721,1668(\mathrm{CO})$. 1 HNMR spectrum $(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3) \delta 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH} 3), 1.37-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCH} 2-\mathrm{CH} 2$, camphor moiety $), 1.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3), 2.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CON}-), 2.13(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $16.0,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHCO}$, camphor moiety), $2.61(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=16.0,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{COO}), 4.48(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.9,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OH})-\mathrm{CO}), 5.60(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 7.17-$ $7.85(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{ArH}$ aromatic protons), 11.2(s, 1H, NH) and $13.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COOH})$ and $13 \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(\mathrm{CDCl} 3) \delta 22.4,23.8,25.4,28.3,32.0,34.4,37.1$, 38.1, 39.4, 45.0, 58.4, 120.3, 121.2, 129.2,129.5, 134.4, 138.1, 142.7, 145.0, 167.4, 173.2, 198.5. Anal. Calc. for C22H27NO6: C, 65.83; H, 6.73. found C, 65.83; H 6.72. MS m/z 401(M+, 45), 255(99).
(2S,3R,3R')-(-)-4-(4-Bromophenyl)-3-hydroxy-2-(1,7,7-trimethylbicyclo [2,2,1]heptan-2-on-3-yl)-4-oxobutanoic acid (3b): Colorless crystals, yield, $41 \%(1.7 \mathrm{~g})$, mp 112-114oC(pet. ether $80-100 \mathrm{oC}),[\alpha] \mathrm{D} 20=-56 \mathrm{o}$. $\mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}), \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{cm}-1: 1721,1680(\mathrm{CO}) .1 \mathrm{HNMR}$ spectrum ( 400 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl} 3) \delta 1.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{a}), 1.2(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{~b}), 1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{CH} 2$, camphor moiety $), 1.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3), 1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$, bridgehead methine, camphor moiety), $2.15(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=15.6,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.65(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=15.6,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{COO}), 3.88(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OH})-\mathrm{CO}), 6.87(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 7.72-$ $7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{ArH}$ aromatic protons), $10.4(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COOH})$, and $13 \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(\mathrm{CDCl} 3) \delta 23.2,26.2,31.2,34.1,34.9,43.2,48.1,49.4,55.0,58.4,119.3$, 120.2, 128.8,129.6, 133.8, 136.7, 141.3, 145.1, 177.3, 198.5. Anal. Calc. for C 20 H 23 O Br: C, 56.87 ; H, 5.45. found C, 56.86 ; H, 5.40 . MS m/z $424(M++2,17), 422(M+50),(154,100)$.

## General Procedure for synthesis of compounds 4 and 5.

Method i: A mixture of 2 and/or $3(a, b)(0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$ and acetic anhydride ( $9.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and then refluxed on water bath for 2 h . The excess acetic anhydride was removed by distillation and the separated product was filtered, dried and were recrystallized.

Method ii: In one pot reaction of 4-aryl-4-oxo-2-butenoic acids ( 0.01 mol ), camphor ( 0.01 mol ), urea ( 0.015 mol ), and hydrogen peroxide $(3 \mathrm{~mL}),(0.5 \mathrm{~g}) \mathrm{NaOH}$, under MW irradiation (W 250 and $T 1500 \mathrm{C}$ ) for 25 min . After the completion of the reaction, the mixture was poured into ice/ HCl . The mixture was extracted by ether and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. The residue was crystallized from proper solvent.

N -(4-((8S)-(+)-1,3,5,6,7,8-Hexahydro-5,9,9-trimethyl-1-oxo-5,8-methanofuro[3,4-b]benzofuran-3-yl)phenyl)acetamide (4a). Pale yellow solid crystal, yield, $70 \%(2.55 \mathrm{~g}$ ), mp 236-238 oC (dioxane), $[\alpha] \mathrm{D} 20=+109 \mathrm{o}$. IR) ( KBr ) , $\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{cm}-1: 1772,1668$ (CO). 1HNMR spectrum $(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d} 6) \delta 1.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{a}), 1.2(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{~b}), 1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{CH} 2$, camphor moiety) $1.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3), 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CON}-)$, 2.43 (dd, J = 14.7, $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHCO}$, camphor moiety), $3.92(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}$, sterogenic methine proton fused furan), 7.47-7.75(m, 4ArH aromatic protons), $11.4(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$ and 13C NMR(DMSO-d6) $\delta 38.4,39.6,39.7,39.8,40.2,41.8,101.2,107.7(2), 107.9,122.8,123.2,126.2,147.4$, 150.6, 154.5, 166.2. Anal.Calc. for C22H23NO4: C, 72.32; H, 6.30. found C, 72.30 ; H, 6.30. MSm/z 365(M+, 35), 150(100).
(8S)-(+)-3-(4-Bromophenyl)-5,9,9-trimethyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-5,8-methanofuro[3,4-b]benzofuran-1(3H)-one (4b): Yellow solid crystal, yield, $73 \%(2.73 \mathrm{~g})$, mp 216-218oC(dioxane), $[\alpha] \mathrm{D} 20=+84 \mathrm{o}$. IR ( KBr ) , $\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{cm}-1: 1780,1700(\mathrm{CO}) .1 \mathrm{HNMR}$ spectrum (400MHz, DMSO-d6) : $\delta$ $1.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{a}), 1.2(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{~b}), 1.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3), 1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{CH} 2$, camphor moiety), 2.26 (dd, J = 15.2, 7.0 Hz , CHCO, camphor
moiety), 3.93 (dd, $\mathrm{J}=15.2,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{COO}$, sterogenic methine proton), 7.67-7.71(m,4ArH aromatic protons), and 13C NMR(DMSO-d6) $\delta 38.4,39.6,46.6,48.8,50.2,56.8,121.7(2), 130.4(2), 131.8,132.2,136.2,154,2166.2$. Anal. Calc. for C20H19O3Br: C, 62.33; H, 4.93. found C, 62.30; H, 4.94. MSm/z 387(M+ +2, 25), 385(M+, 75).

N-(4-((E)-2-((4R,7S)-(-)-7,8,8-Trimethyl-2-oxo-4,5,6,7-tetrahydro-4,7-methanobenzofuran-3(2H)-ylidene)acetyl)phenyl)acetamide (5a): Pale yellow solid crystal, yield, $80 \%(2.9 \mathrm{~g})$, mp $250-252 \mathrm{oC}$ (ethylacetate), $[\alpha] \mathrm{D} 20=-66 \mathrm{o}$. IR ( KBr ) , v, cm-1:1772, 1668 (CO). 1 HNMR spectrum (400MHz, DMSO-d6): $\delta 1.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{a}), 1.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{~b}), 1.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{CH} 2$, camphor moiety), 2.12(s, 3H, CH3), 2.45(s, 3H, CH3CON), 3.13(dd, J = 16.2, $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHCO}$, camphor moiety), 4.91 (dd, J = 16.2, $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{COO}$, sterogenic methine proton), 6.82-7.31 (m, 4ArH aromatic protons), 9.5, $10.2(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}=\mathrm{OH})$ and $13 \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d} 6) \delta 20.8(2), 23.3,24.2,28.3,34.4,38.6,43.4,51.3,57.4,100.4,101.2$, 106.3, 120.2(2), 137.3, 138.1, 146.3, 147.7, 163.0, 177.2. Anal.Calc. for C22H23NO4: C 72.32, H 6.30; found C $72.30, \mathrm{H} 6.30$. $\mathrm{MSm} / \mathrm{z} 365(\mathrm{M}+$, 100).
(4R,7S,E)-(-)-3-(2-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-oxoethylidene)-7,8,8-trimethyl-4,5,6,7-tetrahydro-4,7-methanobenzofuran-2(3H)-one (5b): White crystals, yield, $80 \%(3 \mathrm{~g})$, mp 232-234oC(dioxane), $[\alpha] \mathrm{D} 20=-54 \mathrm{o}$. $\mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}), \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{cm}-1: 1779,1694(\mathrm{CO})$. 1 H NMR spectrum ( 400 MHz , DMSO-d6): $\delta 1.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{a}), 1.2(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{~b}), 1.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3), 1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{CH} 2$, camphor moiety), 2.56 (dd, J=15.6,7.0Hz, CHCO, camphor moiety), 2.93 (dd, J = 15.6, $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{COO}$, sterogenic methine proton), $7.67-7.71(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{ArH}$ aromatic protons), and 13 C NMR(DMSO-d6) $\delta 21.3(2), 23.3,28.3,34.4,38.6,43.4,57.4,123.3,128.7(2), 130.2,130.5,131.3,134.4,138.1,147.2,155.7,170.0,191.2$, Anal. Calc. for C20H19O3Br: C, 62.33; H, 4.93. found C, 62.30; H, 4.94. MS m/z 387(M+ +2, 23), 385(M+, 45), 205 (100).

General Procedure for synthesis of compounds 6. A mixture of $2(0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$ and hydrazine hydrate ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} ; 0.01 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in ethanol ( 30 mL )and was heated under reflux for 5 h . The reaction mixture was allowed to cool and the separated product was filtered, dried and were recrystallized from ethanol.
N-(4-((9S)-(+)-1,2,4a,6,7,8,9,9b-Octahydro-6,10,10-trimethyl-1-oxo-6,9-methano benzofuro[2,3-d]pyridazin-4-yl)phenyl)acetamide (6a): Yellow crystals, yield, $75 \%(2.85 \mathrm{~g})$, mp 196-198oC(ethanol), $[\alpha] \mathrm{D} 20=+28 \mathrm{o}$. $\mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}), \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{cm}-1: 3362-3310(2 \mathrm{NH}), 1710,1676(\mathrm{CO})$. 1HNMR(400MHz, DMSO-d6): 1.04(s, 3H, CH3a), $1.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{~b}), 1.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3), 2.18-2.31(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH} 2), 3.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{methine}$ bridgehead), $4.06(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CO}), 5,12(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{O})-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}), 6.78-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 9.14(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{NH}$ of acetamido and pyridazine moieties) and 13C NMR(DMSO-d6) $\delta 19.8(2), 20.3,23.7,28.9,36.8,37.3,38.4,39.6,44.6,45.8,48.2,56.8,125.7,128.2(2), 130.4$, 131.8, 136.2, 145.2, 155.3, 178.8. Anal. Calc. for C22H25N3O3: C, 69.65; H, 6.59. found C, 69.65; H, 6.58. MS: m/z 379(M+, 100).
(9S)-(+)-4-(4-Bromophenyl)-6,10,10-trimethyl-4a,6,7,8,9,9b-hexahydro-6,9-methanobenzofuro[2,3-d]pyridazin-1(2H)-one (6b): Yellow crystals, yield, $75 \%(3 \mathrm{~g})$, mp 184-186oC(ethanol), [ $\alpha$ ]D20 $=+42 \mathrm{o}$. IR(KBr) , v, cm-1:3345 (NH), 1710, 1690 (CO). 1HNMR (DMSO-d6) 1.1 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3), 1.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3), 1.59-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH} 2), 1.98(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, methine bridgehead), $3.83(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-$ $\mathrm{CO}), 4,27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{O})-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}), 7.68-7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 11.34(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$ and 13 C NMR(DMSO-d6) $\delta 20.8(2), 21.3,25.9,26.8,37.3$, $38.4,39.6,44.6,45.8,48.2,56.8,129.7(2), 130.4,131.8,136.2,146.2,156.3,173.8$.Anal. Calc. for C 20 H 21 N 2 O 2 Br : C, 60.00 ; H, 5.25. found C, 60.02; H, 5.27. MSm/z 402(M++2, 21), 400(M+, 61), 250(100).

General Procedure for synthesis of compounds 7 . A mixture of $2(0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$ and hydroxyl amine ( $1.03 \mathrm{~g} ; 0.015 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in pyridine ( 20 mL ) and then refluxed for 3 h . The reaction mixture was poured onto ice $/ \mathrm{HCl}$ and the separated solid was filtered, dried and were recrystallized from dioxane.

N -(4-((9S)-(+)-4a,6,7,8,9,9b-Hexahydro-6,10,10-trimethyl-1-oxo-1H-6,9-methanobenzofuro[2,3-d][1,2]oxazin-4-yl)phenyl)acetamide (7a): White crystals, yield, $65 \%(2.45 \mathrm{~g})$, mp $212-214 \mathrm{oC}($ dioxane $),[\alpha] \mathrm{D} 20=+102 \mathrm{o}$. $\mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}), \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{cm}-1: 3362(\mathrm{NH})$, 1718, 1676(CO). 1HNMR (400MHz, DMSO-d6) 1.04(s,3H, CH3a), 1.11 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{~b}), 1.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3), 2.18-2.21(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH} 2), 3.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, methine bridgehead), $4.11(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CO}), 5,12(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{O})-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}), 6.62-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 9.5,10.24(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ of acetamido moiety) and 13 C NMR(DMSO-d6) $\delta 14.8,17.3,18.7,39.8,40.3,40.4,53.6,55.8,59.2,100.8,110.2(2), 111.4,118.8,135.2,146.1,148.3,166.7$, 177.8. Anal.Calc. for C22H24N2O4: C, 69.47; H, 6.31. found C, 69.45; H, 6.32. MS: m/z 380 (M+,55), 252(100).
(9S)-(+)-4-(4-Bromophenyl)-6,10,10-trimethyl-4a,6,7,8,9,9b-hexahydro-1H-6,9-methanobenzofuro[2,3-d][1,2]oxazin-1-one (7b): White crystals, yield, $66 \%(2.65 \mathrm{~g})$, mp 192-194oC(dioxane), [ $\alpha$ ]D20 = +89o. IR (KBr) , v, cm-1:1710, 1690 (CO). 1HNMR (DMSO-d6) 1.1 (s,3H, $\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{a}), 1.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{~b}), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3), 1.59-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH} 2), 1.98(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, methine), $3.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CO}), 4,37(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{O})-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}), 7.71-7.79(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H})$, and 13C NMR(DMSO-d6) $\delta$ 18.3(2), 19.7, 21.9, 26.8, 37.3, 38.4, 44.6, 45.8, 48.2, 56.8, 129.7(2), $130.4,131.8,136.2,144.3,154.4,168.2,178.8$. Anal. Calc. for C20H20NO3Br: C, 59.85; H, 4.98. found C, 59.86; H, 4.96. MSm/z 403(M+, 60), 401(M+, 100), 250(66).

General Procedure for synthesis of compounds 8 . A mixture of $3(0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$ and hydrazine hydrate ( 0.5 mL ; 0.01 mol ) in ethanol ( 30 mL )and was heated under reflux for 5 h . The reaction mixture was allowed to cool and the separated product was filtered, dried and were recrystallized from ethanol.
N-(4-(5-((1S,3R,4S)-(-)-3-Hydroxy-4,7,7-trimethylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-yl)-6-oxo-1,6-dihydropyridazin-3-yl)phenyl)acetamide (8a): White crystals, yield, $67 \%(2.55 \mathrm{~g}), \mathrm{mp} 196-198 \mathrm{oC}($ ethanol), [ $\alpha$ ]D20 $=-75 \mathrm{o}$. IR ( KBr ) , v, cm-1:3334, $3270(\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{NH}), 1710,1668$ (CO). 1 HNMR (400MHz, DMSO-d6) 1.07 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{a}$ ), 1.13(s, 3H, CH3b), 1.18 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3$ ), 2.12 (m,5H, CH-CH2-CH2), 2.35(s, 3H, CH3CO), 3.80(m, 1H, attached camph $), 4.14(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHOH}), 5.12(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 6.78-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 9.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ pyridazinone moiety), 12.34 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ of acetamido moiety), and 13C NMR(DMSO-d6) $\delta 18.3,18.7,21.9,24.0,26.8,37.3,38.4,39.6,44.6,45.8,48.2,56.8,73.6,122.2$, 129.7(2), 130.4, 131.8, 136.2, 143.2, 152.6, 165.3 Anal. Calc. for C22H27N3O3: C, 69.29; H 7.08; found C, 69.29; H 7.00. MSm/z 381(M+, 12), 267(100),175(37),137(56).

6-(4-Bromophenyl)-4-((1S,3R,4S)-(-)-3-hydroxy-4,7,7-trimethylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-yl)pyridazin-3(2H)-one (8b): White crystals, yield, $82 \%(3.12 \mathrm{~g}), \mathrm{mp} 184-1860 \mathrm{C}($ ethanol), [ $\alpha$ ]D20 $=-58 \mathrm{o}$. IR (KBr) , v, cm-1: 3303 (NH), 1708 (CO). 1HNMR (400MHz, DMSO-d6) 1.12 (s, 3H, $\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{a}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3 b), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3), 1.52-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH} 2-\mathrm{CH} 2), 3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, attached camph$), 3.24(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHOH})$,
$5.72(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 7.48-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 11.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ pyridazinone moiety) and 13C NMR(DMSO-d6) $\delta 19.7(2), 21.9,23.6,26.8,37.3$, $38.4,45.8,48.2,56.8,74.7,121.4,129.7,130.4(2), 131.8,136.2,142.4,153.2,165.8$. Anal. Calc. for C20H23N2O2Br: C, 59.70;H,5.72. found C, 59.75; H, 5.72. MS m/z 402(M+, 22), 251(100), 175(77),156(47).

General Procedure for synthesis of compounds 9. A mixture of 3 ( 0.01 mol ) and hydroxyl amine ( $1.03 \mathrm{~g} ; 0.015 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in pyridine ( 20 mL ) and then refluxed for 3 h . The reaction mixture was poured onto ice $/ \mathrm{HCl}$ and the separated solid was filtered, dried and were recrystallized from ethanol.

N-(4-(5-((1S,3R,4S)-(-)-3-Hydroxy-4,7,7-trimethylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-yl)-6-oxo-6H-1,2-oxazin-3-yl)phenyl)acetamide(9a): White crystals, yield, $70 \%(2.67 \mathrm{~g})$, mp 234-236 oC(ethanol), [ $\alpha$ ]D20 = -67o. IR (KBr) , v, cm-1:3420 (OH), 1710, 1676 (CO). 1HNMR (400MHz, DMSO-d6) $1.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{a}), 1.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{~b}), 1.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3), 2.12-2.18(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH} 2-\mathrm{CH} 2), 2.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CO}), 3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, attached camph$)$, 3.84( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHOH}$ ), $5.12(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 6.68-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ of acetamido moiety ), and 13C-NMR (DMSO-d6) $\delta 20.3(2)$, $23.7,26.8,37.3,38.4,39.6,44.6,45.8,48.2,56.8,75.8,125.2,129.7(2), 130.4,131.8,136.2,147.3,156.3,166.1(2)$. Anal. Calc. for C22H26N2O4: C, 69.11; H, 6.81. found C, 69.17; H, 6.81. MSm/z 382(M+,100).

3-(4-Bromophenyl)-5-((1S,3R,4S)-(-)-3-hydroxy-4,7,7-trimethylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-yl)-6H-1,2-oxazin-6-one (9b): White crystal, yield, $74 \%(2.98 \mathrm{~g})$, mp 218-220 oC(ethanol), [ $\alpha$ ]D20 = -41o. IR(KBr) , u, cm-1:3412(OH), 1720 (CO).1HNMR(400MHz, DMSO-d6) 1.12 (s, 3H, $\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{a}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{~b}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3), 1.52-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH} 2-\mathrm{CH} 2), 3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, attached camph$), 3.24(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHOH})$, 5.72 (bs, 1H, OH acidic proton exchangeable), 7.48-7.56 (m,5H,Ar-H), and 13C-NMR (DMSO-d6) $\delta 20.9(2), 27.5,38.1,38.9,41.2,46.1,47.2$, 48.6, 56.9, 75.9, 128.5, 129.7(2), 130.4, 131.8, 136.2, 147.3, 156.3, 163.5. Anal. Calc. for C20H22NO3Br: C, 59.55; H, 5.46. found C, 59.38; H 5.37. MSm/z 403(M+, 45), 251(100),175(68),156(54).

## Conclusion

The authors have demonstrated a facile and efficient method for the preparation of the two important diastereomeric adducts 2,3 and furanone 4, 5 via epoxide (1) under ultrasonic and microwave conditions. The adducts 2, 3 and 5 heterocyclic moieties afforded highly antibacterial activity due to activated double bonds as enzymatic inhibitor.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to extend their sincere appreciation to the Deanship of Scientific Research at chemistry department faculty of Science Ain Shams Universities for their cooperation in this research.

Author Contributions: The listed authors contributed to this work as described in the following. Maher A. El-Hashash, established the concepts and synthetic of the work, interpreted the results and prepared the manuscript, Sameh A. Rizk, carried out the synthetic work, and cooperated in the preparation of the manuscript, and Amira A. El-Sayed carried out the analysis of work, quantum computation and cooperated in the preparation of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.
Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Wang, Y.; Mo, S.-Y; Wang, S.-J.; Li, S.; Yang, Y.-C.; Shi, J.-G. A Unique Highly Oxygenated Pyrano[4,3-c][2]benzofuran-2,5-dione Derivative with Antioxidant and Cytotoxic Activities from the Fungas Phellinus igniarius. Org. Lett. 19, 1675-1678 (2017).
2. Whitehead, C.; Sessions, E.H.; Ghiviriga, I.; Wright, D.L. Two Step Electrochemical Annulation for the Assembly of epoxide Systems. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 3763-3765.
3. Sperry, J.; Whitehead, C.; Ghiviriga, I.; Walczak, R.M.; Wright, D.L. Electrooxidative Coupling of Furans and Silyl Enol Ethers:Application to the Synthesis of Annulated Furans. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 3726-3734.
4. Sperry, J.; Wright, D.L. The gem-Dialkyl Effect in Electron Transfer Reactions: Rapid Synthesis of three-Membered Rings through an Electrochemical Annulation. J. Am. Chem. 2015, 137, 8034-8035.
5. Sperry, J.; Wright, D.L. The Application of Cathodic and Anodic Oxidations in the Synthesis of Complex Molecules. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2016, 45, 605-621.
6. Oblak, E.Z.; Wright, D.L. Tandem Metathesis Reactions of Oxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptanes: Studies on the Spirocyclic Core of Cyclopamine. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 2433-2435.
7. Peng, X.P.; Wang, Y.; Liu, P.P.; Hong, K.; Chen, H.; Yin, X.; Zhu, W.M. Aromatic compounds from the Halotolerant Fungal strain of Wallemia. sebi PXP-89 in a hypersaline medium. Arch. Pharm. Res. 2011, 34, 907-912.
8. Zheng, J.K.; Xu, Z.H.; Wang, Y.; Hong, K.; Liu, P.P.; Zhu, W.M. Cyclic tripeptides from the Halotolerant Fungus Aspergillus sclerotiorum PT06-1. J. Nat. Prod. 2010, 73, 1133-1137.
9. Peng, X.P.; Wang, Y.; Sun, K.L.; Liu, P.P.; Yin, X.; Zhu, W.M. Cerebrosides and 2-pyridone alkaloids from the Halotolerant Fungus Penicillium. chrysogenum grown in a hypersaline medium. J. Nat. Prod. 2011, 74, 1298-1302.
10. Mancuso, C.; Siciliano, R.; Barone, E.; Preziosi, P. Natural substances and Alzheimer's disease: From preclinical studies to evidence based medicine. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 2012, 1822, 616-624.
11. Park, N.; Kim, J.; Park, W.; Cho, J.; Lim, Y.; Park, S. An efficient protocol for genetic transformation of watercress (Nasturtium officinale) using Agrobacterium rhizogenes Molecul. Bio. Rep.2011, 38, 4947-4953.
12. Prabodh, C.S.; Sunil, V.S.; Sandeep, J.; Dalbir, S.; Bhojraj, S. Synthesis of some new oxirane derivatives as possible anti-candida agents. Acta Pol. Pharm. Drug Res. 2009, 66, 101-112.
13. Marcin, M.; Michal, Z.; Magdalena, T.; Stanislaw, R. Synthesis, immunological activity and computational study of 5-amino-3-methyl-4-furan-carboxylic acid semicarbazides and thiosemicarbazides. Acta Pol. Pharm. Drug Res. 2008, 65, 543-551.
14. Justyna, Q.; Dorota, O.; Zofia, Z.; Ewa, A.; Lucjusz, Z. Synthesis of 2,3-dihydro -7-nitro- furo [5,1-b]pyridazine as potential tuberculostatic agents. Acta Pol. Pharm. Drug Res. 2008, 65, 229-240.
15. Khan, N.; Afaq, F.; Mukhtar, H. Cancer chemoprevention through dietary antioxidants: progress and promise. Antioxid. Redox

Signal. 2008, 10, 475-510.
16. Nugraheni, M.; Santoso, U.; Wuryastuti, H. Potential of Coleus tuberosus as an antioxidant and cancer chemoprevention agent. Inter. Food Res. J. 2011, 18, 1471-1480.
17. Domenico, F.; Foppoli, C.; Coccia, R.; Perluigi, M. Antioxidants in cervical cancer: Chemopreventive and chemotherapeutic effects of polyphenols.Biochim. Biophys Acta. 2012, 1822, 737-747.
18. Mahdavinia, G.H.; Rostamizadeh, S.; Amani, A.M.; Emdadi, Z. Ultrasound-promoted greenersynthesis of aryl-14-Hdibenzo[a,j]xanthenes catalyzed by NH4H2PO4/SiO2 in water. Ultrason.Sonochem. 2009, 16, 7-10.
19. Cravotto, G.; Cintas, P. Power ultrasound in organic synthesis: Moving cavitational chemistryfrom academia to innovative and large-scale applications. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2006, 35, 180-196.
20. Ji, S.J.; Shen, Z.L.; Gu, D.G.; Huang, X.Y. Ultrasound-promoted alkynylation of ethynylbenzeneto ketones under solvent-free condition. Ultrason. Sonochem. 2005, 12, 161-163.
21. Li, J.T.; Yin, Y.; Li, L.; Sun, M.X. A convenient and efficient protocol for the synthesis of 5-aryl-1,3-diphenylpyrazole catalyzed by hydrochloric acid under ultrasound irradiation. Ultrason.Sonochem. 2010, 17, 11-13.
22. Pizzuti, L.; Martins, P.L.G.; Ribeiro, B.A.; Quina, F.H.; Pinto, E.; Flores, A.F.C.; Venzke, D.;Pereira, C.M.P. Efficient sonochemical synthesis of novel 3,5-diaryl-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazole- 1-carboximidamides. Ultrason. Sonochem. 2010, 17, 34-37.
23. Mason, T.J. Sonochemistry and the environment—Providing a "green" link between chemistry,physics and engineering. Ultrason. Sonochem. 2007, 14, 476-483.
24. Martings, M.A.; Pereira, C.M.; Cunico, W. Moura, S.; Rosa, F.A.; Peres, R.L.; Machado, P.;Zanatta, N.; Bonacorso, H.G. Ultrasound promoted synthesis of 5-hydroxy-5-trihalomethyl-4,5-dihydroisoxazoles and beta-enamino trihalomethyl ketones in water. Ultrason. Sonochem. 2006,13, 364-370.
Shen, Z.L.; Ji, S.J.; Wang, S.Y.; Zeng, X.F. A novel base-promoted synthesis of $\beta$-indolylketones via a three-component condensation under ultrasonic irradiation. Tetrahedron 2015, 71, 10552-10558.
26. Capello, C.; Fischer, U.; Hungerbühler, K. What is a green solvent? A comprehensive framework for the environmental assessment of solvents. Green Chem. 2007, 9, 927-934.
27. Michael, W.; Epperly, W.; Jeffrey, J. Tracy, D.; Carlos, M.; Joel, G. Antioxidant- Chemoprevention Diet Ameliorates Late Effects of Total-Body Irradiation and Supplements Radioprotection by MnSOD-Plasmid Liposome Administration. Rad. Res.2011, 175, 759765.

Wang, G.-W. Mechanochemical organic synthesis. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 7668-7700.
28
Mack, J.; Shumba, M. Rate enhancement of the Morita-Baylis-Hillman reaction through mechanochemistry. Green Chem. 2007, 9, 328-330.
30. Dong, Y.-W.; Wang, G.-W.; Wang, L. Solvent-free synthesis of naphthopyrans under ball-milling conditions. Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 10148-10154
31. Azab, M.E.; Rizk, S.A.; Amr, A.E. Synthesis of some novel heterocyclic and schiff base derivatives as antimicrobial agents. Molecules 2015, 20, 18201-18218.
El-Hashash, M.A.; Rizk, S.A.; Atta-Alla, S.R. Synthesis and Regioselective Reaction of Some Unsymmetrical Heterocyclic Chalcone Derivatives and Spiro Heterocyclic Compounds as Antibacterial Agents. Molecules, 2015, 20, 22069-22083.
33. El-Hashash, M.; Rizk, S.; Aburzeza, M. Utility of p-acetamidobenzoyl prop-2enoic acid in the synthesis of new $\alpha$-amino acids and using them as building blocks in heterocyclic synthesis. Egypt J. Chem. 2011, 54, 383-391.
34. Rizk, S.; El-Hashash, M.; Shaker, S; Mostafa, K. Utility of 4-(4-Bromophenyl -4-oxo but-2-enoic acid in synthesis of some important heterocyclic compounds. Egypt J. Chem.2012, 55, 1-13.
Rizk, S.; El-Hashash, M.; Mostafa, K. Utility of $\beta$-aroyl acrylic acids in heterocyclic synthesis. Egypt J. Chem. 2008, 51, 116-123.
Rizk, S.A. Utility of E-1-(4-acetamidobenzoyl)-2-oxirane carboxylic acid in synthesis some fused heterocycles and spiro compounds. Amm. J. Chem. 2011, 1, 65-71.
37. El-Hashash, M.A.; Rizk, S.A. Regioselective Diastereomeric Michael adducts as building blocks in heterocyclic synthesis. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 2016, 53, 1236-1240.
El-Hashash, M.A.; Rizk, S.A. One-pot Synthesis of Novel Spirooxindoles as Antibacterial and Antioxidant Agents. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 2017, 54, 712-720.

