Time Series Analysis of Performance Efficiency of MCB Bank Limited

Dr.Nadeem Ahmed Bhatti

Training Consultant

Human Resource Department, POBOX 4143-Riyadh 11149

Saudi Arabia

Albeena Mirza

Assistant Professor-Depptt: of Economics-University of Sindh Jamshoro

Dr.NajmaShaikh

Assistant Professor-Depptt: of EconomicsUniversity of Sindh Jamshoro

Dr.Munawwar Ali Kartio

VP/Area Manager-Askari-Bank Limited

Dr.Faiz Muhammad Shaikh

Associate Professor-SZABAC-Dokri

Abstract

This research investigates the Time Series Analysis of Performance efficiency of MCB Bank Limited. Data were collected from Primary as well as secondary sources from management of commercial banks and from SBP officials comprising middle and top management, a closed ended questionnaire. It was revealed that As stated by the findings, five a considerable length of time Normal proportion about MCB is 81. 20%, which will be higher after that the business Normal. This indicates the execution from claiming MCB will be similarly finer as contrasted with those Normal of UBL, which might have been attempting openly division At as of late privatized. Same time those execution from claiming UBL may be superior At that point ABL which might have been handy in the begin However fair for administration issue Previously, 1999. This demonstrates that UBL need Additionally carried out great its possessions to fill in Be that not finer after that MCB.

Introduction

The history has witnessed several changes in ownership style from commanded economy to free economy; both the nationalization and privatizations are being defended on same socio economic grounds. It is quite difficult to distinguish the superiority of one model to other on the basis of ownership however it is generally agreed that competition and effective regulations may decide the efficiency and performance rather the ownership model.

The privatization is a vogue concept as noted by RW Bailey (Bailey, 1987; Kay and Thompson, 1986).The concept yet not clarified however it is tentatively defined as an effort to improve the efficiency by relieving disincentives in publicly owned enterprises (POE). Kay and Thompson defined it a terminology which encompasses many distinct and alternative relation between government and private sector.

Privatization frequently refers to the sale and transfer of shares and assets of a publicly owned enterprise (POE) to the private sector (including individual and firms), this is very narrow definition; broadly it restricts government policies and interference to strengthen free market economy. The former narrow definition covers only one aspect which may be termed as denationalization. Both the terms are some time used interchangeably however it is only a method of privatization; these should however not be misused and confused.

In the last few decades the role of government has undergone radical changes particularly after the failure of socialism and communism globally. The government's direct interference and its role is going to be limited which does not mean "no government "but it means "better government"

In the wake of globalization the privatization of banks and deregulation of financial institutions will contribute towards better management and will boost the efficiency and performance of the privatized banks to meet the international standards. Resultantly a healthy competition will result into cost effectiveness, innovation and better services. Even a meager improvement in quality of financial services would have a very positive impact on performance of financial sector.

The distinctive nations have diverse destinations Previously, their endeavor should privatize state identity or ventures. Those targets about privatization from claiming Every nation must obviously characterize thereabouts that those accomplishment alternately disappointment about privatization may be legitimately measured. In certain instances privatization is required Eventually Tom's perusing wish about administration of the day should expansion rivalry in the

country, same time clinched alongside different nations those destination Might make immaculate denationalization. The vast majority nothing market economies, with exactly remainder of state run enterprises, endeavor should make effectiveness in the advertise Eventually Tom's perusing permitting All the more rival. Those instance for 'New Zealand' obviously fits this target. Other targets of privatization Might a chance to be income era to the legislature. Exactly vigorously obligated countries, with unsustainable harmony of installment problems, captivated done privatization practice should produce incomes for which they Might decrease their plan deficits. An for example may be mexican privatization exercise What's more to specific degree those instance of Zambia. Their elementary objective might have been will raise income and straightforwardness a percentage of the monetary issues from claiming administration other targets for example, those upgrade about efficiency, liberalization, Furthermore deregulation were Additionally considered, Be that as they were auxiliary to way. An alternate privatization target is the financial strengthening to the greater part of the number. Furthermore should issues for effectiveness upgrade deregulation Also competitions, A percentage legislatures need aid confronted with issue about colossal wage disparities that need will be tended to. An instance about malaysian privatization programmed What's more should An specific degree that salary redistribution might make tended to through privatization route. Privatization will be finished Might bring about Possibly a great alternately unsuccessful privatization, we need kept tabs particularly on the worth of effort carried that pointed crazy this Section need been partitioned over two fundamental segments Section-1 pertains to fiscal segment changes and section-2 comprises anxiety trying. The late worth of effort need carried

Eventually Tom's perusing islamic banks and committed islamic saving money limbs about traditional banks rose on 2. 4% of the whole managing an account industry starting with 1. 8% Previously, over 2,800 doctor look assignments led from April 1, 2009 to March 31, 2010. Right away there need aid six full-fledged islamic banks What's more 13 business banks with islamic saving money extensions. Again the most recent three decades islamic saving money What's more particular fund need produced under An full-fledged framework Furthermore order reportedly developing In the rate from claiming 15 percent for every annum2. Today, islamic monetary institutions, to person type alternately the other, need aid working Previously, around 75 nations of the universe. Also unique budgetary establishments operating Previously, large portions countries, deliberations have been underway will execute islamic saving money on a nation totally What's more far reaching support to a amount about nations. Those instruments utilized Toward them, both once possessions Furthermore liabilities sides, have formed altogether and therefore, they would likewise taking an interest in the nations of the Gulf the place islamic banks Also fiscal establishments need aid attempting parallel with those traditional framework. Saving money need verwoerd later origin; it didn't exist to whatever manifestation In the the long run of the coming for islam. Indeed in the Western countries, saving money in the type to which it capacities today may be item for up to date time.

The turbulence over saving money division from claiming pakistan might have been because of mishandling from claiming kudos portfolio What's more undue political obstruction in saving money division. Nationalized business banks (NCBs) Also advancement money establishments (DFIs) endured tremendous misfortunes because of their awful advances What's more their misfortunes helped more or less 90% of the awful advances about whole keeping money framework which makes extreme misfortune should money related division from claiming pakistan. Those principle target of saving money segment changes might have been to present intensity "around those banks What's more fiscal segment through a stable administrative skeleton What's more a powerful observing. The main objective of banking sector management and as well as academics is to overhaul the deficiencies and brought an effectiveness and efficiency towards the banking sector performance. Many studies and researches are carried out which shows that which factors affecting the efficiency and which contribute towards higher efficiency and the recommendations to top level management for retaintion of the efficiency and performance of banks. Abid A. Burki and GhulamShabir Khan Niazi (2003),Berg, (1993); Berger and Mester, (1997); Leaven, (1999 Miller and Noulas, (1996).

In balance sheet and income statement accounts, the financial service firms reflect their efficiency and the strategy being followed by them. Oral and Yolalan (1990) have explored some serious nature issues affecting performance of service sector such as banks through use of Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) model.They have carried out study of banks working in Turkey around 20 banks were selected for the purpose. In DEA approach bank transactions are used as output while credit ,no of accounts and work force were taken as inputs.

Megginson, Nash, and Van randenborgh (1994) taken into account average of three years financial as well as operating ratios for the period before and after privatization. They selected 61 firms, 32 industrial units working in 18 different economies during the period 1961to 1989.The results revealed that performance and efficiency improved after privatization in terms of profits & operating efficiency.

Boubarki and Cosset (1998) have also undergone the research of same nature, selected 79 units from 21 developing economies, average performance of three years was taken into account before privatization. The financial ratios were calculated to measure the efficiency and performance after privatization. This confirmed positive impact in terms of profitability and output.

D' Souza and Megginson (1999) also conducted research study to ascertain the impact of privatization; they selected 78 units from 10 developing and 15 developed economies during 1990 to 1994. The results confirmed that performance was improved after privatization.

Verbrugge, Megginson and lee (1999) have taken sample of 65 banks which included partially privatized and fully privatized banks for the period 1981-96. They also compared results with 32 banks performing in OECD countries and five from developing economies which revealed a moderate improvement in performance efficiency after privatization.

I S S N   2 2 7 8 - 5 6 1 2
V o l u m e   1 2   N u m b e r   1
International Journal of Management and Information Technology

Beck, Cull and Jerome (2003) studied the impact of privatization on selected sample of 69 banks by using the panel-unbalanced, period under study was from 1990 to 2001.The results revealed that performance was improved after privatization.

Chen and Yeh (1998) conducted study of 33 banks from Taiwan to evaluate their performance after privatization by using DEA model by considering several KPIs and factors such as HR ,cost ,no of branches, deposits and operating expenses were treated as inputs and portfolio investment ,advances ,non interest as well as interest income were taken into account as output. It was revealed that performance and efficiency of sample banks improved slightly after privatization.

Sathye (2001) in his research study chose 29 Banks in Australia as sample to measure X- efficiency. In his research he took three inputs and two outputs for the purpose of measuring X efficiency. Loans and Demand deposits were treated as output whereas loan able funds, capital and per capita HR cost ,per capita rent cost of premises /fixed assets and average mark up expense were treated as input factors.

Mukherjee, et al. (2002) has examined the linkage between firms' performance and strategic groups to measure efficiency of sample 68 banks from India.In their study they used different financial variable as output and input .Deposits , net profit, advances ,interest spread ,interest income were treated as output whereas Equity ,no of branches ,number of employees , Operating expenses , borrowing from banks were taken as inputs.

Jemric and Vujcic (2002) in their study DEA model for efficiency measurement ,48 sample banks were chosen for this research these were Cratian commercial banks.Two out puts and three out puts were used ,input were Deposits ,no of employees ,software and fixed assets whereas out put were Short Term loans and Total loans.

These studies revealed that competition in financial market paves path for and attract potential but efficient market players which ultimately results into better performance of the financial market in terms of better output and performance. Researchers also have worked on the aftereffects of deregulation and liberalization of financial institutions Investigations in the regard suggests that in short run banking sector may flourish but in long run may collapse as tight monitoring and supervision by central banks and government is basic requirement for long term stay with stability in market place.

Elyasiani and Mehdian (1990) Berger and Humphrey (1991) Kaparakis, Miller Berger, Hunter and timme (1993), Miller and Noulas (1994and Noulas (1994); and);

After liberalization of seventies in United States, many studies have sought to measure banking efficiency, It has been reported that liberalization has negative impact on efficiency of banks,

Denizer, Dinc and Tarmcilar (2000) explored that foreign banks and private could not perform as required after liberalization.

Cook, Hababon and Roberts (2001).explored that Tunisian banking has not shown any significant and visible improvement in efficiency after liberalization however private banks were more efficient in comparison to state owned banks.

Hardy and Patti, (2001) explored that in case of Pakistan efficiency was positively been observed.

Research Methodolgy

Data were collected from various secondary sources 2000 to 2016.   SBP and Annual reports of MCB. Data were analyzed by using SPSS-21 version.

Results:

Table 3.13   Ratios for the MCB

	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	Average
Earning Assets to Total Assets	81.06%	77.20%	81.48%	79.72%	86.52%	81.20%
Return on Earning Assets	0.33%	0.47%	0.55%	0.76%	0.99%	0.62%
Interest margin to Average Earning Assets	5.015	5.25%	5.20%	6.51%	5.28%	5.45%
Loan Loss Coverage Ratio (times Per Year)	2.42%	26.86%	3.06%	7.50%	4.21%	8.81%
Equity Capital To Total Assets	2.39%	2.44%	2.52%	2.62%	2.68%	2.53%
Deposit Time Capital (Time Per Year)	34.69%	33.74%	31.71%	30.68%	29.85%	32.13%
Loans to Deposits	51.98%	51.72%	63.50%	49.55%	43.20%	51.99%

Source. Ratios are calculated from annual reports of MCB

* Ratios are calculated on actual figures; therefore, there may be little bit difference.

3115 | P a g e
A p r i l   2 0 1 7
D O I   10.24297/ijmit.v12i1.6062
w w w . c i r w o r l d . c o m

Table 3.14 Percentage Changes in the Ratios

Years	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
Earning Assets to Total Assets *	100%	-3.86	4.28	1.76%	3.3%
Earning Assets to Total Assets **	100%	-3.11%	-2.66%	-1.28%	2.32%
Return on Earning Assets *	100%	43.06%	18.90%	37.01%	29.71%
Return on Earning Assets **	100%	43.06%	70.09%	133.05%	202.28%
Interest Margin to Average earning Assets *	100%	4.81%	-1.00%	25.18%	-18.87%
Interest Margin to Average earning Assets **	100%	4.81%	3.76%	29.88%	5.37%
Equity capital To Total Assets *	100%	2.36%	3.13%	3.89%	2.25%
Equity capital To Total Assets **	100%	2.36%	5.57%	9.67%	12.14%
Loans to Deposits*	100%	-0.51	22.79%	-21.97%	-12.83%
Loans to Deposits**	100%	-0.51	22.16%	-4.67%	-16.90%
* Ratios are calculated by taking last year with respect to each year as a base year.					
** Ratios are calculated by taking 1998 as a base year for each year.					

Table 3.15   Ratios for ABL

	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	Average
Earnings Assets to Total Assets	51.59%	52.53%	78.61%	76.63%	77.04%	67.2 8%
Return on Earning Assets	0.32%	0.15%	0.03%	0.03%	0.01%	0.11%
Interest Margin to Average Earning Assets	5.74%	4.16%	0.74%	1.23%	0.44%	2.46%
Loans Loss Coverage Ratio	-	-	-	-	-	-
Equity Capital to Total Assets	1.21%	1.40%	1.77%	1.89%	1.57%	1.57%
Deposit Times Capital	50.12%	42.76%	41.08%	45.89%	55.07%	46.98%
Loans to Deposits	57.80%	58.03%	57.12%	55.81%	59.35%	57.62%

Source: Annual Reports of Allied bank Of Pakistan Limited for 1994 to 1999.

* Ratios are calculated on actual figures; therefore, there may be little bit difference.

Table 3.16   Percentage Change in Ratios

	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999
Earning to Total Assets *	100%	-2.52%	23.03%	21.02%	-0.86%
Earning to Total Assets **	100%	-2.52%	19.93%	45.14%	43.89%
Return on Total Assets*	100%	-57.89%	-82.63%	19.98%	-55.26%
Return on Total Assets**	100%	-57.89%	-92.68%	-91.22%	-96.07%
Interest Margin to Average Earning Assets*	100%	-27.52%	-82.25%	65.98%	-63.85%
Interest Margin to Average Earning Assets**	100%	-27.52%	-87.13%	-78.65%	-92.28%
Equity capital To Total Assets*	100%	15.85%	26.84%	6.35%	-16.77%
Equity capital To Total Assets**	100%	15.85%	46.94%	56.27%	30.06%
Loans to Deposits*	100%	0.39%	-1.57%	-2.29%	6.35%

3116 | P a g e
A p r i l   2 0 1 7
DOI   10.24297/ijmit.v12i1.6062
w w w . c i r w o r l d . c o m

I S S N 2 2 7 8 - 5 6 1 2
V o l u m e 1 2 N u m b e r 1
International Journal of Management and Information Technology

Loans to Deposits**		100%	0.39%	-1.18%	-3.45%	2.68%

Figures are calculated by taking last year with respect to each year as a base year.*				
Figures are calculated by taking 1995 as abase year for each year.**				

Table 3.17   Ratios for UBL

	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	Average
Earning Assets to Total Assets	79.19%	75.51%	73.24%	70.58%	75.71%	74.84%
Return on Earning Assets	2.81%	0.46%	0.58%	-6.57%	1.09%	-0.33%
Interest margin to Average Earning Assets	1.79%	2.49%	4.11%	4.45%	4.44%	3.45%
Loan Loss Coverage Ratio	-3.31%	1.17%	5.17%	-8.31%	4.14%	-0.12%
Equity Capital To Total Assets	-5.38%	3.87%	3.98%	2.36%	2.77%	1.52%
Deposit Time Capital	-17.26%	21.48%	20.31%	34.63%	30.47%	17.93%
Loans to Deposits	41.17%	48.17%	57.63%	58.16%	47.84%	50.59%

Source. Ratios are calculated from the annual reports of UBL

Table 3.18   Percentage Changes in Ratios (UBL)

	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
Earning Assets to Total Assets *	100%	-4.65%	-3.00%	-3.64%	7.27%
Earning Assets to Total Assets **	100%	-4.65%	-7.51%	-10.88%	-4.40%
Return on Earning Assets *	100%	-83.81%	26.38%	-1241.17%	-116.53%
Return on Earning Assets **	100%	-83.81%	-79.54%	-333.53%	-61.39%
Interest Margin to Average earning Assets *	100%	38.96%	65.31%	8.23%	-0.18%
Interest Margin to Average earning Assets **	100%	38.96%	129.72%	148.62%	148.18%
Equity capital To Total Assets *	100%	-171.84%	2.95%	-40.69%	17.16%
Equity capital To Total Assets **	100%	-171.84%	-173.96%	-143.86%	-151.39%
Loans to Deposits*	100%	16.99%	19.64%	0.92%	17.74%
Loans to Deposits**	100%	16.99%	39.97%	41.26%	16.20%

I S S N 2 2 7 8 - 5 6 1 2
V o l u m e 1 2 N u m b e r 1
International Journal of Management and Information Technology

* Ratios are calculated by taking last year with respect to each year as a base year.	
** Ratios are calculated by taking 1998 as a base year for each year.	

Table 3.19   Comparison

	MCB (Average)	UBL (Average)	ABL (Average)	Industry Average
Earning assets to total assets	81.20%	74.84%	67.28%	73.49%
Return on earning assets	0.62%	-0.33%	.12%	0.14%
Interest margin to average earning assets	5.45%	3.45%	2.46%	3.79%
Loan Loss coverage Ratio	8.81	(.12)	-	4.35
Equity Capital To Total Assets	2.53%	1.52%	1.57%	1.87%
Deposit Time Capital	32.13	17.93	46.98	32.35
Loans to Deposits	51.99%	50.59%	57.62%	53.40%

Table 3.20 Financial Indicators MCB

	Assets (% of Assets of NCBs)	Deposits (% of deposits of NCBs)	Advances (% of advances of NCBs)	NPLs (% 0f total loans)
1994	18.1	17.6	17.7	18.1
1997	21.5	20.8	21.9	11.6
2000	18.2	18.3	21.5	14.4
2003	28.3	26.5	26.7	11.3

Source: Financial Sector Assessment 2001-2002,State bank of Pakistan

I S S N 2 2 7 8 - 5 6 1 2
V o l u m e 1 2 N u m b e r 1
International Journal of Management and Information Technology

Table 3.21 Financial Indicators of ABL

	Assets (% of Assets of NCBs)	Deposits (% of deposits of NCBs)	Advances (% of advances of NCBs)	NPLs (% 0f total loans)
1994	9.6	9.8	10.9	16.6
1997	10.4	10.6	12.5	17.9
2000	11.7	13	14.2	29.4
2003	12.2	14.3	11.2	43.8

Source: Financial Sector Assessment 2001-2002,State bank of Pakistan

2.   Findings:

Earning Assets to Total Assets

As stated by the findings, five quite some time Normal proportion about MCB will be 81. 20%, which will be higher then those business Normal. This indicates the execution from claiming MCB is relatively exceptional as contrasted with the Normal about UBL, which might have been working openly division Be that as as of late privatized. Same time the execution of UBL is preferred then ABL which might have been beneficial in the begin Be that middle of the road with oversaw economy issue in 1999. This demonstrates that UBL need Additionally finished great its holdings to fill in yet all the not preferred that point MCB.

Give back with respect to procuring holdings.

The business Normal from claiming profit once procuring holdings proportion will be acquired Likewise 0. 14%. Toward looking at those results, it might make seen that return for procuring holdings proportion from claiming MCB may be 0. 62%, which higher after that industry Normal. It implies that profitability of MCB might have been generally superior then the business. The place Concerning illustration those Normal proportion from claiming ABL is 0. 12% bring down that point Normal proportion from claiming UBL that is 0. 33% easier then industry Normal. Those examination obviously demonstrates that barely privatization is not sufficient for change done effectiveness yet all the best possible oversaw economy will be likewise essential to improvemen and effectiveness. ABL may be gave In with administration of the Bank, the place the oversaw economy stays those same The point when those bank might have been attempting done general society part.

Enthusiasm edge on Normal procuring holdings.

Investment edge with Normal procuring advantages proportion for those industry is computed Likewise 3. 79%. MCB Normal proportion is higher afterward those industry Normal i. E. 5. 45%, the place is UBL Furthermore ABL proportion would bring down At that point the business i. E. 3. 45% & 2.46% individually. This demonstrates those MCB productivity is higher after that the business. Inasmuch as UBL Furthermore ABL not performed great As far as benefit as contrast with the business.

Credit passing scope proportion.

Those industry Normal to the advance misfortune scope proportion is 4. 35 times. The Normal advance misfortune degree to MCB is 8. 81 times What's more to UBL is. 12 times. This demonstrates that MCB need higher security against advance and great nature advantages. Inasmuch as inverse will be the the event Previously,.

UBL. However, for ABL this proportion may be not calculated, as ABL needed not settled on procurement in 1995, Furthermore 1996 too it required not composed off its terrible obligation in the quite a while 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998 Also 1999.

Equity money on aggregate possessions.

The industry Normal to value capital Furthermore aggregate advantage proportion may be 1. 87%, inasmuch as five quite some time proportions for MCB, UBL and ABL are 2. 53%, 1.52% and 1.57% individually. The information is demonstrating plainly that MCB need better outcomes afterward the business Furthermore need that's only the tip of the iceberg pad of value against those hazard for utilizing debt, same time inverse is those situation Previously, UBL Also ABL. Store period capital. Those industry Normal for stores the long run capital proportion may be 32. 35 times, while Normal proportions to MCB, UBL What's more ABL need aid 32. 13, 17. 93 What's more 46. 98 times individually. Best ABL need higher Normal proportion over those industry. The opposite two banks need more level proportions this reveals to that they have a greater amount money against stores Furthermore more edge of wellbeing.

Credit stores. The industry Normal to value money Also downright possession proportion will be 53. 40%, while five a considerable length of time Normal proportions for MCB, UBL Also ABL would 51. 99, 50.59% What's more 57.62% separately. Eventually Tom's perusing looking at the outcomes it is clear that ABL required a greater amount hazard starting with debt stand purpose as contrast with UBL and MCB.

3. Finishes. State controllers not just to pakistan At through crazy those universe consistently evaluate the monetary condition from claiming each bank Also particular hazard faced through on webpage examinations Furthermore occasional reports. Central controllers rate banks as stated by those uniform monetary organizations rating system, which Right away includes six general Classes from claiming execution under the name CAMELS. Every letterpress alludes all the on a particular category, including:. C= for money sufficiency A= for possession nature. M=for oversaw economy caliber.

E=for income L=for liquidity. S=for affectability to advertise danger. Controllers numerically rate each bank Previously, each category, going starting with the higher alternately those best rating (1) of the Most exceedingly bad alternately least (5) rating. It Additionally assigns a composite rating to those banks In constantly on operation. An composite rating (1) alternately (2) demonstrates a Generally callous bank. A rating from claiming (3) demonstrates that bank demonstrates a few underlying shortcoming that if make remedied. An rating about (4) Furthermore (5) demonstrates an issue bank for a portion close to term possibility to disappointment. Those TARCSIMEL utilized within this ponder will be comparable should CAMELS yet all the with a portion additional Classes.

Outline from claiming experimental investigations on state versus private proprietorship about saving money unmistakably indicates that private claimed banks would productive over state claimed banks. Bonin, Caprio and paulWachtel (2002) analyze the sway of proprietorship structure state, private Furthermore outside manager ship on bank execution in six move economies. Those writers discover hearty confirmation that productivity ---measured by profit once benefits What's more profit ahead equity –is higher for fully private banks over for banks with exactly state-ownership. Berth, Caprio and Levine (2003) utilized another information base on bank regulation and supervision done 107 nations with survey those association the middle of particular administrative Also supervisory polishes Also saving money segment development, effectiveness and delicacy. They discovered that legislature manager boat of banks may be negatively associated with ideal saving money conclusions Also positively joined for defilement. Cornette, Guo, Khaksari Also Tehranian (2003) inspect execution contrasts between privately possessed Furthermore state possessed banks Previously, 16 far east nations starting with 1989 through 1998. They Figure that state possessed banks would fundamentally lesquerella gainful that point privately possessed banks. The execution contrasts need aid additional intense for the individuals nations the place administration association in the keeping money framework is those best. On the support of the discoveries of these diverse investigations and effects of my own Investigation i reason that privatization from claiming banks will have certain effect once effectiveness about banks over pakistan.

A feature 1 utilizing dea approach; Akhtar (2002) need directed a preliminary investigation about X- effectiveness Investigation about business banks with proprietorship types. With respect to comparative lines Be that with privatization prospective, dea approach need been connected should muslim business bank and associated bank on figure out those relative effectiveness from claiming these two banks chose Likewise an instance ponder. Table no 3. 1, 3.2, 3.3, and 3. 4 demonstrates that for both cases relative effectiveness need surpassed solidarity implying that the banks need progressed their execution after continuously privatized.

A feature. 1l.

Nine regions would inspected in this study Furthermore the vast majority from claiming them would demonstrating to change. The fundamental issue for pakistani saving money segment might have been disappointment of governance because of administration Furthermore political impact Also non-performing loans. The ponder reveals to that both issues were regulated through state bank prudential tenets. Profitability What's more liquidity of the two banks chosen as detailed analysis also progressed. Due to rival new items would imagined yet the spread rate may be even now secondary Also necessities decline with pull in borrowers will animate budgetary exercises. It may be would have liked that with the entry about occasion when and expansion in rivalry the segment will further enhance effectiveness.

Sway examination about privatization (MCB).

There need been An denoted change in the execution from claiming MCB accompanying its privatization, Likewise might make seen from its monetary indicators. An solid development in the stakes of the bank could a chance to be observed, which by the limit of 2003, quell In 28 percent of the possessions of the nationalized business banks. Comparative development might Additionally make seen in the stores Furthermore progresses of MCB, for stores Furthermore progresses standing toward 26. 5 Also 26. 7 % separately clinched alongside 2003.

The non-performing loans Likewise rate of aggregate progresses need declined altogether Throughout the time under consideration, arriving at 11 percent of terrible progresses Toward 2003. Assuming that.

We detract those net NPL proportion to those bank, this figure goes out near 2 percent, which compares exact positively for net NPL proportion for the whole keeping money framework toward 5. 5 percent. Benefit of the bank need Additionally enhanced significantly, same time give back looking into possessions expanded from 0. 2 percent On 1993 to over 0. 8 percent Previously, 2003.

Conclusions.
The business Normal from claiming come back ahead procuring benefits proportion may be got Concerning illustration 0. 14%. By looking at those results, it could a chance to be seen that return around procuring stakes proportion for MCB is 0. 62%, which higher At that point business Normal. It implies that benefit from claiming MCB

might have been generally preferred afterward those industry. The place Concerning illustration those Normal proportion of ABL is 0. 12% easier that point Normal proportion about UBL that is 0. 33% more level after that business Normal.Those Investigation unmistakably indicates that barely privatization will be not enough to change Previously, effectiveness Be that fitting management may be likewise essential to improvement and effectiveness. ABL is gave In will administration of the Bank, the place the administration remains the same The point when the bank might have been working clinched alongside general society part. Investment edge with Normal procuring stakes proportion to the business may be computed as 3. 79%. MCB Normal proportion is higher At that point the industry Normal i. E. 5. 45%, the place may be UBL and ABL proportion would more level then the industry i. E. 3. 45% & 2.46% individually. This demonstrates the MCB profitability will be higher afterward the business. Inasmuch as UBL What's more ABL not performed great As far as productivity Likewise contrast with the business. There need been a denoted change in the execution about MCB Emulating its privatization, Similarly as camwood make seen from its budgetary indicators. An sound development in the stakes of the bank camwood be observed, which Toward the conclusion about 2003, spoken to again 28 percent of the stakes of the nationalized business banks. Comparative Growth camwood Additionally make seen in the stores Furthermore developments from claiming MCB, for stores and developments standing during 26.5 Furthermore 26. 7 percentage individually done 2003. The non-performing credits as rate of downright progresses need declined altogether Throughout the period under consideration, arriving at 11 percent of terrible developments Toward 2003. Though we make the net NPL proportion to those bank, this figure goes crazy near 2 percent, which compares exceptionally favored for net NPL proportion for the whole keeping money framework toward 5. 5 percent. Productivity of the bank need also moved forward significantly, same time profit around holdings expanded starting with 0. 2 percent clinched alongside 1993 to again 0. 8 percent over 2003.

References

1. Smith, K.A. and Gupta, J.N.D. (2002) Neural Networks in Business: Techniques and Applications. Idea Group Publishing, Hershey. SPSS, Inc. (2010) PASW Modeler 14 Algorithms Guide, SPSS, Inc., Chicago. Standard and Poor's Ratings Services (2012a) 'Credit ratings definitions & FAQs', [online].Available at : http://www.standardandpoors.com/ratings/definitions-andfaqs/en/us (Accessed at: 23 June 2012) Standard and Poor's Ratings Services (2012b) 'Standard and Poor's ratings definitions', [online]. Available at: https://www.globalcreditportal.com/ratingsdirect/renderArticle.do?articleId=101944 2&SctArtId=147045&from=CM&nsl_code=LIME (Accessed at: 29 June 2012) Standard and Poor's Ratings Services (2013) 'Guide to credit rating essentials: What are credit ratings and how do they work?', [online].Available at :http://img.en25.com/Web/StandardandPoors/SP_CreditRatingsGuide.pdf (Accessed:25 March 2013). Stein, J.C. (1998) ' An adverse-selection model of bank asset and liability management with implications for transmission of monetary policy', RAND Journal of Economics, Vol. 29, No. 3, pp. 466-486. 238

2. Stiglitz, J.E. and Weiss, A. (1981) ' Credit rationing in markets with imperfect information', The American Economic Review, Vol. 71, No. 3, pp. 393-410.

3. Studenmund, A.H. (2000) Using Econometrics: A Practical Guide, 4 th ed., Addison Wesley Longman, New York. Sy, A.N.R. (2009) 'The systemic regulation of credit rating agencies and rated markets', IMF Working Paper No. 09/129.

4. Taffler, R.J. (1978) 'The assessment of financial viability using published accounting information and a multivariate approach', British Accounting Review, Vol. 10, No. 1, pp.53-68.

5. Taffler, R.J. (1982) 'Forecasting company failure in the UK using discriminant analysis and financial ratio data', Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Vol. 145, No. 3, pp.342- 358.

6. Taffler, R.J. (1983) 'The assessment of company solvency and performance using a statistical model', Accounting and Business Research, Vol. 13, No. 52, pp.295-308.

7. Taffler, R.J. (1984) 'Empirical models for the monitoring of UK corporations', Journal of Banking and Finance, Vol. 8, No. 2, pp.199-227.

8. Talmor, E. (1980) 'A normative approach to bank capital adequacy', Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis, Vol. 15, No. 4, pp.785-811.Tam, K.Y. (1991) 'Neural network models and the prediction of bank bankruptcy', OMEGA, Vol. 19, No. 5, pp.429-445.

9. Tam, K.Y. and Kiang, M. (1990) 'Predicting bank failures: a neural network approach', Applied Artificial Intelligence, Vol. 4, No. 4, pp.265-282.

10. Tam, K.Y. and Kiang, M.Y. (1992) 'Managerial applications of neural networks: the case of bank failure predictions', Management Science, Vol. 38, No. 7, pp.926-947.

11. Thomas, L.C., Edelman, D.B. and Crook, J.N. (2002) Credit Scoring and its Applications, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia. Tsai, C.-F. and Chen, M.-L. (2010) 'Credit rating by hybrid machine learning techniques', Applied Soft Computing, Vol. 10, No. 2, pp.374-380.

12. Tsukuda, J. and Baba, S.-I. (1994) 'Predicting Japanese corporate bankruptcy in terms of financial data using neural networks', Computers and Industrial Engineering, Vol. 27, No. 1-4, pp.445-448.

13. U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (2003) 'Report on the role and function of credit rating agencies in the operation of the securities markets', [online]. Available on: http://www.sec.gov/news/studies/credratingreport0103.pdf (Accessed : 9 April 2011) Udo, G. (1992) 'The implications of neural networks for improving business effectiveness: an analysis', International Journal of Management, Vol. 9, No. 4, pp.389-398. 239

14. Udo, G. (1993) 'Neural network performance on the bankruptcy classification problem', Computers and Industrial Engineering, Vol. 25, No. 1-4, pp.377-380.

15. Van-Roy, P. (2006) 'Is there a difference between solicited and unsolicited bank ratings and if so, why?', National Bank of Belguim Working Paper No. 79. Verbeek, M. (2012) A Guide to Modern Econometrics, 4 th ed., John Wiley & Sons, Inc., West Sussex, United Kingdom. Vives, X. (2006) 'Banking and regulation in emerging markets: the role of external discipline', The World Bank Research Observer, Vol. 21, No. 2, pp.179-206.

16. Wagner, W. (2007) 'The liquidity of bank assets and banking stability', Journal of Banking and Finance, Vol. 31, No. 1, pp.121-139.Wagster, J. (1999) 'The Basel Accord of 1988 and the international credit crunch of 1989-1992', Journal of Financial Services Research, Vol. 15, No. 2, pp.123-143.

17. Wall, L.D. and Peterson, D.R. (1987) 'The effect of capital adequacy guidelines on large bank holding companies', Journal of Banking and Finance, Vol. 11, No.4, pp.581-600

18. . Weber, R.H. and Darbellay, A. (2008) 'The regulatory use of credit ratings in bank capital requirement regulations', Journal of Banking Regulation, Vol. 10, No. 1, pp.1-16.

19. West, D. (2000) 'Neural network credit scoring models', Computers and Operations Research, Vol. 27, No. 11-12, pp.1131-1152.

20. Westgaard, S. and Wijst, N.V.D. (2001) 'Default probabilities in a corporate bank portfolio: a logistic model approach', European Journal of Operational Research, Vol. 135, No. 2, pp.338-349.

21. Wheelock, D.C. and Wilson, P.W. (2000) 'Why do banks disappear: the determinants of U.S. Bank failures and acquisitions', The Review of Economics and Statistics, Vol. 82, No. 1, pp.127-138.

22. White, L.J. (2010) 'Markets: The credit rating agencies', Journal of Economic Perspectives, Vol. 24, No. 2, pp.211-226. Widrow, B., Rumelhart, D.E. and Lehr, M.A. (1994) 'Neural networks: applications in industry, business and science', Communications of the ACM, Vol. 37, No. 3, pp.93- 105.

23. Wiginton, J.C. (1980) 'A note on the comparison of logit and discriminant models of consumer credit behavior', Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis, Vol. 15, No. 3, pp.757-770.

24. Wilcox, J.W. (1971) 'A simple theory of financial ratios as predictors of failure', Journal of Accounting Research, Vol. 9, No. 2, pp.389-395.

25. Wilson, R.L. and Sharda, R. (1994) 'Bankruptcy prediction using neural networks', Decision Support System, Vol. 11, No. 5, pp.545-557. 240

26. Wong, B.K., Bodnovich, T.A. and Selvi, Y. (1997) 'Neural network applications in business: a review and analysis of the literature (1988-95)', Decision Support Systems, Vol. 19, No. 4, pp.301-320.

27. Yang, Z., Wang, Y., Bai, Y. and Zhang, X. (2004) 'Measuring scorecard performance', Computational Science-ICCS 2004, Vol. 3039, pp.900-906.

28. Yap, B.W., Ong, S.H. and Husain, N.H.M. (2011) 'Using data mining to improve assessment of credit worthiness via credit scoring models', Expert Systems with Applications, Vol. 38, No. 10, pp.13274-13283.

29. Zhang, G., Hu, M.Y., Patuwo, B.E. and Indro, D.C. (1999) 'Artificial neural networks in bankruptcy prediction: general framework and cross-validation analysis', European Journal of Operational Research, Vol. 116, No. 1, pp.16-32.

30. Zhao, H., Sinha, A.P. and Ge, W. (2009) 'Effects of feature construction on classification performance: an empirical study in bank failure prediction', Expert Systems with Applications, Vol. 36, No. 2, pp.2633-2644